Soybean Cyst Nematode Resistance Quantitative Trait Locus *cqSCN-006* Alters the Expression of a γ -SNAP Protein

Katelyn J. Butler,¹ Christina Fliege,² Ryan Zapotocny,¹ Brian Diers,² Matthew Hudson,² and Andrew F. Bent^{1,†}

¹ University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Plant Pathology, Madison, WI, U.S.A.

² University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Department of Crop Sciences, Urbana, IL, U.S.A.

Accepted 2 August 2021.

Soybean cyst nematode (SCN) is the most economically damaging pathogen of soybean and host resistance is a core management strategy. The SCN resistance quantitative trait locus *cqSCN-006*, introgressed from the wild relative *Glycine soja*, provides intermediate resistance against nematode populations, including those with increased virulence on the heavily used *rhg1-b* resistance locus. *cqSCN-006* was previously fine-mapped to a genome interval on chromosome 15. The present study determined that *Glyma.15G191200* at *cqSCN-006*, encoding a γ -SNAP, contributes to SCN resistance. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of the *cqSCN-006* allele reduced SCN resistance in transgenic roots. There are no encoded amino acid polymorphisms between resistant and susceptible alleles. However, other *cqSCN-006*-specific DNA polymorphisms in the *Glyma.15G191200* promoter and gene body were identified, and we observed differing induction of γ -SNAP protein abundance at SCN infection sites between resistant and susceptible roots. We identified alternative RNA splice forms transcribed from the *Glyma.15G191200* γ -SNAP gene and observed differential expression of the splice forms 2 days after SCN infection. Heterologous overexpression of γ -SNAPs in plant leaves caused moderate necrosis, suggesting that careful regulation of this protein is required for cellular homeostasis. Apparently, certain *G. soja* evolved quantitative SCN resistance through altered regulation of γ -SNAP. Previous work has demonstrated SCN resistance impacts of the soybean α -SNAP proteins encoded by *Glyma.18G022500* (*Rhg1*) and *Glyma.11G234500*. The present study shows that a different type of SNAP protein can also impact SCN resistance. Little is known about γ -SNAPs in any system, but the present work suggests a role for γ -SNAPs during susceptible responses to cyst nematodes.

Keywords: gamma SNAP proteins, *Glycine soja*, nematode–plant interactions, plant resistance, *Rhg1*, soybean cyst nematode

Soybean cyst nematode (SCN) (*Heterodera glycines*) is consistently the most economically damaging pathogen of soybean (*Glycine max*), one of the most important world crops (Allen et al. 2017). This soilborne pathogen infects soybean roots and establishes complex feeding sites known as syncytia. Nematode-secreted effectors dramatically reprogram host cells to form into these syncytia from which nematodes feed during their development (Gheysen and Mitchum 2011). Adult females will continue to feed at the syncytium for three to four weeks, during which time they are fertilized by males that have left the root (Niblack et al. 2002). The body cavity of fertilized females fills with eggs and eventually their bodies harden to form cysts. Cysts with viable eggs can endure in the soil for more than a decade, providing a continual source of inoculum that is difficult to eradicate.

Due to the persistent nature of this pathogen and the expense, nontarget toxicity, or low efficacy of available nematicides, SCN management has relied primarily on crop rotation and host resistance. For decades, resistance in commercial varieties in the United States has been based heavily on a single source, *Rhg1* (Resistance to *Heterodera glycines* 1) from *G. max* accession PI 88788 (Rincker et al. 2017). As a result, nematode populations with an increasing capacity to develop on soybean lines that carry *Rhg1* have emerged across the nation (Acharya et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2010; Faghihi et al. 2010; Hershman et al. 2008; McCarville et al. 2017; Mitchum et al. 2007; Niblack et al. 2008). The identification of new sources of resistance is needed to sustain soybean production.

Wild relatives of domesticated species are commonly exploited for novel traits (Dempewolf et al. 2017). Resistance to SCN has previously been identified in *Glycine soja* accession PI 468916 (Kabelka et al. 2005). This trait can be bred into commercially relevant *G. max* varieties without any apparent negative agronomic consequences (Kabelka et al. 2006). Two independent SCN resistance quantitative trait loci (QTL), *cqSCN-006* and *cqSCN-007*, have been fine-mapped in this accession to chromosomes 15 and 18, respectively, and each QTL can confer resistance on its own (Kim and Diers 2013; Yu and Diers 2017). When originally mapped, QTL *cqSCN-006* on chromosome 15 explained 23% of the variation for SCN resistance and *cqSCN-007* on chromosome 18 explained 27% of the variation (Wang et al. 2001). When these loci are stacked with other resistance loci, including or not including *Rhg1*, resistance to highly virulent nematode populations can

[†]Corresponding author: A. Bent; afbent@wisc.edu

Katelyn J. Butler and Christina Fliege are co-first authors.

Current address for Katelyn Butler: Department of Biology, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, NY, U.S.A.

Funding: This work was funded by the United Soybean Board grant number 1920-172-0122-B.

*The e-Xtra logo stands for “electronic extra” and indicates there are supplementary materials published online.

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY 4.0 International license.

be achieved (Brzostowski and Diers 2017), suggesting these QTL could be important for cultivar improvement to thwart evolving SCN populations.

Fine-mapping has narrowed the *cqSCN-006* locus to a genetic interval corresponding, in the SCN-susceptible Williams 82 reference genome, to a 212-kb segment on chromosome 15 that contains three functionally annotated genes and three additional genes with unknown function (Supplementary Table S1) (Yu and Diers 2017). Included in this interval is *Glyma.15G191200*, which encodes a γ -SNAP (gamma-soluble NSF [N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein] attachment protein). This protein bears some resemblance to the product of one of the three genes involved in resistance at *Rhg1*, *Glyma.18G022500*, which encodes an α -SNAP protein (Cook et al. 2012). SNAP proteins are involved in vesicle trafficking as part of the 20S complex that consists of SNAPs, the ATPase NSF, and membrane-bound SNARE (SNAP-receptor) proteins of various types (Clary et al. 1990). The 20S complex forms after vesicle fusion has occurred and unwinds the membrane bound SNARE bundles that drew the two membranes together, releasing the SNARE proteins for subsequent rounds of vesicle fusion. While there has been extensive research exploring the function of α -SNAPs in this and other processes, there has been strikingly little research in any system about the role of γ -SNAPs. γ -SNAPs share about 23 to 25% amino acid sequence similarity to the other SNAPs, α -SNAP and β -SNAP, but the known tertiary structure is highly similar to that of α -SNAPs (Bitto et al. 2007). Their participation in 20S complexes has also been demonstrated (Whiteheart et al. 1993). It has been proposed that, in mammalian cells, γ -SNAPs are involved in only a subset of vesicle fusion events, namely endocytosis-related trafficking, based on the limited set of SNAREs with which γ -SNAP was observed to interact (Inoue et al. 2015).

The α -SNAPs encoded at SCN resistance-conferring *Rhg1* alleles contain amino acid polymorphisms that interfere with vesicle trafficking events and 20S complex formation (Bayless et al. 2016; Cook et al. 2014). The toxic *Rhg1* α -SNAP accumulates to elevated levels in syncytia during SCN infection (Bayless et al. 2016), presumably disrupting vesicle trafficking events required for syncytium vitality. Findings about *Rhg1* α -SNAP indicate that vesicle trafficking is a key process that can be targeted to disrupt the host biotrophic interface that some pathogens, like SCN, require to complete their lifecycle. It is possible, therefore, that the γ -SNAP from *cqSCN-006* has also evolved to target this process in a unique way.

In this study, we report a role for the *cqSCN-006* γ -SNAP in the SCN resistance conferred by the locus, apparently due to altered regulation and response to nematode infection. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing of the resistance allele increased SCN susceptibility. From there, we identified genetic and regulatory differences of γ -SNAP in *cqSCN-006* resistant plants compared with susceptible varieties. This includes promoter and intronic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that appear to impact protein accumulation and gene expression of previously unidentified splice forms. Both protein levels and splice form expression differ between resistant and susceptible roots and both respond to SCN infection. This work identifies a unique role for γ -SNAPs, an understudied protein, in plant-nematode interactions.

RESULTS

Glyma.15G191200 contributes to SCN resistance at *cqSCN-006*.

The SCN resistance allele from *cqSCN-006* was previously bred into *G. max* from *G. soja* accession PI 468916. Prior fine-structure genetic mapping had identified a genetic interval spanning six

predicted genes, *Glyma.15G191000* to *Glyma.15G191500*, as the source of *cqSCN-006* resistance (Kabelka et al. 2005; Kim and Diers 2013; Yu and Diers 2017). Three of the genes in this interval had annotated functions, including *Glyma.15G191200*, which encodes a γ -SNAP (Supplementary Table S1). A whole-genome sequence (WGS) of PI 468916 was generated using paired-read Illumina technology and assembled de novo to identify genomic sequence and structural changes between PI 468916 and the susceptible reference Williams 82 (Schmutz et al. 2010). Additionally, cloned fosmids from a fosmid library generated from PI 468916 were used along with the WGS to identify any major structural variation at *cqSCN-006*. This provided substantial but incomplete coverage of the candidate genetic interval and identified numerous single nucleotide and indel variants, but the analysis did not direct strong attention toward a particular candidate gene. Work was initiated to silence the expression of a few individual candidate genes in transgenic soybean roots and then test those roots for altered SCN resistance. None of the tested genes gave preliminary results confirming altered SCN resistance, but none were tested with sufficient replication to draw strong conclusions in those initial efforts. In light of previous results identifying an encoded α -SNAP variant as a contributor to resistance at the *Rhg1* locus of soybean (Bayless et al. 2016; Cook et al. 2012), *Glyma.15G191200* was then investigated in greater detail as the strongest candidate gene at the *cqSCN-006* locus.

A CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing system was employed to test the hypothesis that *Glyma.15G191200* contributes to SCN resistance in soybean lines, such as LD10-30110, that carry the resistant genotype at *cqSCN-006*. Transgenic roots were generated expressing Cas9 and two guide RNAs (gRNAs) specific to *Glyma.15G191200* (Jacobs et al. 2015) (Supplementary Fig. S1A). As controls, empty vector (EV) roots expressing Cas9 but not gRNAs in resistant LD10-30110 and in LD10-30092, a closely related line with the susceptible genotype at *cqSCN-006*, were also generated. Heteroduplex analysis was used to identify roots from the resistant line LD10-30110 that carried successful editing (mutated alleles of *Glyma.15G191200* [Supplementary Fig. S1B]).

Roots were then tested in SCN infection assays in four biological replicate experiments. Roots with mutations in *Glyma.15G191200* were more susceptible to SCN, observed as a greater proportion of nematodes maturing past the second stage juveniles (J2) stage 12 to 14 days postinoculation (DPI) relative to the resistant EV control (Fig. 1). Resistant LD10-30110 roots with detectable gene editing were also more susceptible to SCN than resistant LD10-30110 roots that had been transformed with the *Glyma.15G191200*-targeting gRNAs but for which no editing was detected (Fig. 1).

Promoter, intronic, and exonic SNPs are associated with *cqSCN-006*.

Genomic DNA sequence data from the cloned and isolated PI 468916 fosmids and PI 468916 WGS scaffolds covered the entire γ -SNAP candidate gene *Glyma.15G191200* together with flanking intergenic regions. Comparison between the PI 468916 and Williams 82 sequences of *Glyma.15G191200* revealed just one single nucleotide variant (SNV) within an exon; this change is synonymous. However, we identified multiple SNVs between PI 468916 and Williams 82 that were located in the inferred regulatory region upstream of *Glyma.15G191200* and within the introns of *Glyma.15G191200*. These SNVs were verified by PCR and Sanger sequencing directly from the susceptible and resistant soybean experimental lines LD10-30080 and LD10-30110 (Fig. 2). When compared with 18 other soybean lines, all but one of the SNVs associated with *Glyma.15G191200*₀₀₆ were found to be unique to PI 468916 and the resistant line derived therefrom, LD10-30110. (Supplementary Table S2). The PI

468916-specific SNVs were also unique when compared with the recently sequenced *G. soja* accession PI 483463 (the *Glycine max* cv. Lee and *Glycine soja* PI 483463 sequencing consortium, prepublication data). The two *G. soja* lines only share one SNV, located 180 bp upstream of the predicted transcriptional start site.

In addition to the 296-amino acid γ -SNAP protein encoded on chromosome 15, the Williams 82 reference genome also encodes an γ -SNAP on chromosome 9 at *Glyma.09G083100*. The two homeologous genes share 90% nucleotide sequence identity. The chromosome 15 γ -SNAP and the Williams 82 soybean α -SNAP within the *Rhg1* locus on chromosome 18 share 25% predicted protein identity. When the derived amino acid sequence of *Glyma.15G191200* is submitted to the protein structure prediction program Phyre2 (Kelley et al. 2015), 92% of the amino acid sequence maps with 100% confidence to the solved crystal structure 2IFU of a γ -SNAP from zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) (Bitto et al. 2007).

Further bioinformatic analysis using PlantPAN2.0 (Chow et al. 2016) revealed the presence of a unique predicted GATA transcription factor binding site in the PI 468916 allele of *Glyma.15G191200*, resulting from a G > A SNV 153 bp upstream of the transcription start site (Fig. 2). There is no comparable GATA binding site upstream of *Glyma.15G191200* in the susceptible Williams 82 reference genome nor in the susceptible breeding line LD10-30080.

There are multiple alternative splice forms of *Glyma.15G191200*.

Publicly available soybean RNA-Seq data on the Phytozome genome browser (Goodstein et al. 2012) indicated ample presence

of RNA reads mapping to the fifth intron of *Glyma.15G191200*, although no alternative splice form was annotated. These reads were unique to the chromosome 15 γ -SNAP and did not map to the homeolog on chromosome 9. To detect transcription of the predicted intron 5 retention splice form, reverse transcription (RT)-PCR was performed using primers within the retained intron (intron 5) and the exon immediately 5' to that intron (exon 5). Expression of the alternative transcript above background was detected in complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries prepared from resistant (LD10-30110) and susceptible (LD10-30092) roots, with or without nematode infection (Fig. 3A).

The alternative splice form was cloned from multiple contexts, including resistant and susceptible genotypes, with or without nematode infection. Overlapping primers within the retained intron were used along with primers in the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTR) to specifically amplify the alternative transcript in two pieces that were subsequently recombined using Gibson assembly (Gibson et al. 2009). Cloning and subsequent sequencing revealed an additional splice form that, along with the retained fifth intron, also had a partial exclusion of the third exon, in which only eight nucleotides from this exon were included (Fig. 3B). These two splice forms have been named *Glyma.15G191200.2*, the intron 5 retention, and *Glyma.15G191200.3*, the intron 5 retention and exon 3 exclusion. Both transcripts contain premature stop codons (Fig. 3C). If translated, these transcripts would generate a 166-amino acid and a 46-amino acid peptide, respectively.

The available RNA-Seq data also indicated some expression of the sixth intron (Goodstein et al. 2012). RT-PCR primers designed to amplify this splice form could detect minimal expression of this transcript above background. (Supplementary Fig. S2A). However, both the RNA-Seq data and the RT-PCR result suggested that expression of this transcript is relatively low. This transcript has been named *Glyma.15G191200.4*. The retained intron introduces a premature stop codon and, if translated, the transcript would encode a 283-amino acid peptide (Supplementary Fig. S2B).

Expression of the alternative splice forms responds to nematode infection at 2 and 5 DPI.

Because we detected DNA SNVs in the introns and exons surrounding the *Glyma.15G191200* splice locations, we hypothesized that there may be differences in splice form expression between resistant and susceptible varieties. Reads from transcripts showing retention of intron 5 (*Glyma.15G191200.2*/*Glyma.15G191200.3*) and, to a smaller extent, intron 6 (*Glyma.15G191200.4*) were then further investigated using Illumina RNA-Seq data. RNA was extracted from replicated samples of entire roots from PI 468916 and Williams 82 grown under controlled conditions, either without nematodes or 8 h postinoculation with J2 SCN. Uniquely mapping reads were found in significant amounts to each of the introns in *Glyma.15G191200* (Fig. 4). These data suggest that there is retention of intron 5 (*Glyma.15G191200.2*) and, to a lesser extent, intron 6 (*Glyma.15G191200.4*) both in infected and uninfected tissue 8 h postinfection) in resistant and susceptible genotypes (PI 468916 and Williams 82). However, the data at 8 h postinoculation did not indicate a statistically significant change in expression between the infected and uninfected plants of either genotype.

Fig. 1. Soybean cyst nematode (SCN) resistance of *cqSCN-006* soybean roots with an edited *Glyma.15G191200* allele. SCN development on transgenic roots of *cqSCN-006* line LD10-30110 (SCN resistant) carrying CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in one or both alleles of *Glyma.15G191200* (resistant edited), compared with control LD10-30092 (SCN susceptible) roots transformed with empty vector (susceptible EV), LD10-30110 with empty vector (resistant EV), or LD10-30110 transformed with the gene-editing construct but not carrying mutations in the *Glyma.15G191200* target region (resistant non-edited). Combined data from four independent experiments are shown; total number for each treatment is shown along with mean and standard error of the mean. Asterisks identify all significant differences (one asterisk (*) indicates $P < 0.1$, two (**) $P < 0.05$, three (***) $P < 0.01$; one-tailed Welch's *t* test).

Fig. 2. Genetic polymorphisms unique to *cqSCN-006*. Gene model depicting exons (colored bars), and introns (black lines) of *Glyma.15G191200*. Arrows indicate nucleotide polymorphisms unique to *cqSCN-006* compared with susceptible Williams 82 with difference (the sequence of the PI 468916 allele) noted after the corresponding Williams 82 nucleotide. One letter indicates insertion of that nucleotide.

We then hypothesized that the alternative splice forms may change in response to infection but within cells only adjacent to the infection sites or later on in the infection process, or both. Samples of infected tissues were taken at 2 and 5 DPI. At 2 DPI, successful members of the primary wave of infecting SCN are expected to have selected a feeding cell and started the reprogramming of host cells to form the syncytium nematode-feeding site. By 5 DPI, on susceptible plants, many nematodes will have established a syncytium and will have enlarged, with some nematodes progressing through the second molt (Ithal et al. 2007). Thus, the expression of *Glyma.15G191200.2* and *Glyma.15G191200.3* in plants at these stages of infection was analyzed using quantitative (q)RT-PCR with a primer set that would detect both alternative transcripts. For this experiment, our samples were enriched for syncytia by collecting tissues near the area of inoculation. Tissues from similar areas on mock inoculated roots for each timepoint were sampled as controls.

At 2 DPI, abundance of the alternative transcripts in the infected *cqSCN-006*-resistant line (LD10-30110) was significantly down-regulated compared with the resistant mock-inoculated line (Fig. 5A) ($P < 0.05$, analysis of variance [ANOVA] Tukey). The susceptible infected roots did not show this reduction of alternative transcript abundance. By 5 DPI, abundance of the alternative transcripts was significantly decreased in both susceptible and resistant lines compared with the susceptible mock control variety, albeit under slightly relaxed criteria ($P = 0.06$ for susceptible and $P = 0.06$ for resistant inoculated treatments in ANOVA Tukey) (Fig. 5B). No significant difference in overall expression of all *Glyma.15G191200* transcripts was observed at either timepoint (Supplementary Fig. S3). Thus, differential expression of specific splice forms was observed but not differential overall levels of transcript expression.

cqSCN-006 resistant lines exhibit delayed nematode-induced accumulation of γ -SNAP protein in infection sites.

To more directly observe changes in γ -SNAP protein levels during infection, γ -SNAP protein levels over the course of

infection were measured by immunoblots with a custom γ -SNAP antibody. Samples were taken at 2, 5, and 10 DPI, and total protein was extracted and used for immunoblots (Fig. 6B and D). The antibody was determined, using recombinant proteins, to be specific for γ -SNAP rather than α -SNAP (Supplementary Fig. S4). The antibody was further determined to be approximately fivefold more sensitive in detecting the γ -SNAP encoded at the *cqSCN-006* locus on chromosome 15 relative to the γ -SNAP encoded on chromosome 09 (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Densitometry analyses of γ -SNAP immunoblots from four independent SCN infection experiments, consisting of three samples for each treatment in each experiment, revealed that resistant and susceptible roots exhibited differences in γ -SNAP protein accumulation behavior. At 2 days after nematode inoculation, a statistically significant induction of γ -SNAP protein in susceptible infection sites compared with the susceptible mock control was detected (Fig. 6A and B) ($P < 0.01$, ANOVA Tukey). No comparable increase in γ -SNAP protein was observed in resistant roots at this timepoint (Fig. 6A and B). At 5 DPI, γ -SNAP protein levels remained elevated in susceptible infection sites relative to susceptible mock-inoculated control (Fig. 6C and D) ($P < 0.01$, ANOVA Tukey). However, we still observed no differences in γ -SNAP accumulation in SCN-infected resistant roots compared with the resistant mock-inoculated roots (Fig. 6C and D). By 10 DPI, γ -SNAP levels were diminished and difficult to detect (Supplementary Fig. S5).

To determine if the induction of γ -SNAP is unique to this SNAP family member, changes in α -SNAP levels were also investigated with immunoblots and subsequent densitometry analyses. Both lines tested have the Williams 82 (susceptible-type) α -SNAP alleles. Blots previously probed for γ -SNAP were stripped of antibody under mild conditions. Stripping was verified and blots were subsequently probed using a custom antibody that

Fig. 3. Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR to detect alternative splice forms of *Glyma.15G191200*. **A**, Top: Predicted intron 5 retention transcript model based on published RNA-Seq data (Phytozome). Bottom: RT-PCR using primers specific to alternative splice form (arrows in **A**) detected the intron 5 retention splice form in all tested contexts, i.e., resistant (LD10-30110), susceptible (LD10-30092), and with or without soybean cyst nematode (SCN) infection. No RT controls test that amplification is from complementary DNA (cDNA) and not background genomic DNA. *GmSKP16* serves as internal control for cDNA quality and abundance. **B**, Transcript models for primary fully spliced transcript and additional splice forms revealed upon cloning of the intron retention splice form shown in **A** carrying an intron retention or an exon exclusion or both. Single nucleotide polymorphisms unique to *cqSCN-006* indicated on gene models. The locations of the premature stop codons are indicated with a red asterisk.

Fig. 4. Reads mapped to introns of *Glyma.15G191200*. Reads from RNA-Seq analysis of RNA, from uninfected or infected 8 h post-soybean cyst nematode (SCN) inoculation root tissue of susceptible (Williams 82) or resistant (PI 468916) soybean plants that were uniquely mapped the genomic region of the γ -SNAP gene *Glyma.15G191200*. **A**, Uniquely mapped reads to this region are shown as a coverage graph of the genic region. **B**, The annotated gene exon/intron borders of *Glyma.15G191200* are shown as blue bars and lines. Shaded regions indicate the locations where introns map to the indicated box plots. **C**, Normalized CPM (counts per million) of reads as normalized mapping to each intron of the γ -SNAP gene (box plots shown means, 25th, and 75th percentiles and 1.5 interquartile range).

recognizes wild-type (Williams 82-type) α -SNAP proteins (Fig. 6F and H) (Bayless et al. 2016). No significant changes in α -SNAP protein levels at 2 DPI in any treatment were detected (Fig. 6E) ($P > 0.1$, ANOVA Tukey). Meanwhile, at 5 DPI, α -SNAP protein levels are significantly lower in resistant infected treatments compared with the resistant and susceptible mock treatments, although under more relaxed criteria than those used previously (Fig. 6G) ($P < 0.05$, ANOVA Tukey). However, further analysis revealed that this antibody also detects γ -SNAP (Supplementary Fig. S4), and therefore, this signal may be better interpreted as overall SNAP protein levels. Any decrease in α -SNAP levels may be partially masked by small or large increases in γ -SNAP levels, so the actual changes in α -SNAP level may be even greater.

A balance between γ -SNAP and α -SNAP levels required for homeostasis.

It had been reported previously that, in mammalian systems, either overexpression of γ -SNAP or its opposite, silencing of

γ -SNAP, induce the same defects in vesicle trafficking, observed as enlarged early endosomes (Inoue et al. 2015). This suggests that modifying γ -SNAP levels can interfere with normal vesicle trafficking. Transient expression of 20S complex components in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves has been used previously to study the function and impact of particular variants of the 20S complex (Bayless et al. 2016, 2018). For example, the unusual *Rhg1* α -SNAP causes dose-dependent necrotic flecking in the *N. benthamiana* assay that is not observed when expressing wild-type soybean α -SNAPs (Bayless et al. 2016, 2018). Therefore, this system was used to investigate a potential impact of overexpressing γ -SNAP in plant cells.

Overexpression of γ -SNAP induced a moderate cell-death phenotype in *N. benthamiana* leaves that consisted of chlorosis and necrotic flecking (Fig. 7A). When cell death of infiltrated areas was rated on a 0 to 5 scale, the moderate phenotype was found to be statistically significant across four biological replicates (Fig. 7B, $P < 0.05$, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test). This cell death was relieved by coexpression of wild-type soybean α -SNAP in a three-fold excess relative to γ -SNAP expression but not when γ -SNAP transient expression abundance exceeded that of α -SNAP or if both were expressed at a 1:1 ratio of *Agrobacterium* strains (Fig. 7A and B). The relief by wild-type α -SNAP of the toxic γ -SNAP-induced phenotypes suggests that α -SNAP and γ -SNAP compete for similar sites of action (Bayless et al. 2016, 2018).

Previous work also revealed that ectopic expression of the unusual and toxic α -SNAPs from *Rhg1* SCN resistance loci strongly induces expression of *N. benthamiana* NSF (Bayless et al. 2016). To analyze if expression of γ -SNAP produced a similar response, NSF protein levels during γ -SNAP expression were measured using immunoblots (Fig. 6C). Across three biological replicates, no significant increase in NSF was observed ($P > 0.1$, ANOVA Tukey) (Fig. 7C and D). While there appeared to be a slight increase in NSF protein abundance upon expression of either γ -SNAP or wild-type soybean α -SNAP, this was not statistically significant and did not approach the obvious induction of NSF by expression of α -SNAP^{*Rhg1*} (Supplementary Fig. S6). In addition, transient expression in *N. benthamiana* of either of the two above-discussed alternative transcripts in *N. benthamiana* did not result in the moderate cell-death phenotype observed from expression of full length γ -SNAP (Supplementary Fig. S7). To summarize, differences in the modulation of γ -SNAP protein levels at SCN infection sites were observed between susceptible roots and those resistant due to *cqSCN-006*, and altered γ -SNAP levels did perturb cell health in *N. benthamiana* leaves, but the severity of the response was not as strong as that previously observed for the *Rhg1*-encoded α -SNAP proteins.

DISCUSSION

Identification of novel SCN-resistance sources is crucial for sustaining soybean production across the United States. Through this work, we have identified a gene that contributes to the resistance mechanisms conferred by the SCN resistance QTL *cqSCN-006*, originally derived from a wild *Glycine soja* accession. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing revealed a role of the γ -SNAP encoded by *Glyma.15G191200* of *cqSCN-006* in the SCN-resistance conferred by this locus. Successful CRISPR editing of the resistant allele increased nematode susceptibility compared with resistant EV roots and with roots that were expressing gRNAs but for which no editing was detected.

γ -SNAP proteins are part of the 20S complex that recycles membrane-bound SNAREs for subsequent rounds of vesicle trafficking (Clary et al. 1990; Whiteheart et al. 1993). A variant form of an α -SNAP protein, another 20S complex participant, has been found to confer resistance from the major SCN

Fig. 5. mRNA abundance of alternative splice forms *Glyma.15G191200.2/3* during soybean cyst nematode (SCN) infection. **A**, Quantitative PCR data for *Glyma.15G191200.2/3* in SCN infected (+ SCN) or mock inoculation (mock) susceptible (LD10-30080) and resistant (LD10-30110) roots at 2 and **B**, 5 days postinoculation (DPI). Expression of the alternative transcript was measured relative to constitutively expressed *GmSKP16*. Data show mean and standard error of the mean of expression values normalized to susceptible mock within each experiment. Statistical analysis was performed on raw data. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$ analysis of variance [ANOVA] Tukey in A; $P > 0.1$, ANOVA Tukey in B). Data are for four independent experiments from different dates that each contained all treatments. In A, susceptible mock, $n=14$; susceptible +SCN, $n=13$; resistant mock, $n=11$; and resistant +SCN, $n=15$. In B, susceptible mock, $n=14$; susceptible +SCN, $n=16$; resistant mock, $n=13$; and resistant +SCN, $n=15$.

Fig. 6. γ -SNAP and α -SNAP protein levels measured during soybean cyst nematode (SCN) infection. **A, C, E, and G,** Densitometry analysis of SNAP protein levels from the indicated 2 or 5 days postinoculation (DPI) timepoint; $n = 12$ samples for each treatment (three each from four independent biological replicate experiments). Mean and standard error of mean are for all data points normalized to mean for susceptible mock within each experiment. **B, D, F, and H,** Representative SNAP immunoblots for each timepoint. Ponceau S stain tests for equal loading across samples. For γ -SNAP blots, the lower band that aligns with the predicted size of γ -SNAP was measured. Means of treatments with the same letter are not significantly different (in A through F and H, $P > 0.01$; in G, $P > 0.05$), analysis of variance Tukey (analysis performed on nonnormalized data).

resistance locus *Rhg1* (Bayless et al. 2016; Cook et al. 2012). The identification of an SCN resistance phenotype conferred by an alternative allele of another SNAP protein from an unrelated locus points to the likely importance of the 20S complex in cyst nematode pathogenesis and host resistance. Apparently, the *Glycine* genus has independently evolved multiple mechanisms of SCN resistance that impact the vesicle trafficking system during SCN infection.

Little is known about the function of γ -SNAPs in any eukaryotic system. γ -SNAPs have greater amino acid sequence divergence from the other SNAP family proteins, α -SNAP and β -SNAP, than they have between themselves, but all three have similar structures (Bitto et al. 2007). γ -SNAPs are found in plants and animals, but no γ -SNAPs are encoded in unicellular yeasts (Clary et al. 1990). In mammalian cells, γ -SNAPs were only observed to interact with a subset of SNAREs, including those involved in endocytosis (Inoue et al. 2015). While γ -SNAPs have been marginally associated with salt stress in *Arabidopsis* through transcriptomics (Sottosanto et al. 2004) and seed germination in rice through proteomics (Han et al. 2014), no functional studies of γ -SNAPs in plants have yet been published. In this work, we learned that expression of a γ -SNAP protein and its associated transcripts responds to SCN infection during a compatible interaction and alteration of those responses occurs during the SCN resistance response mediated by *cqSCN-006*.

Previously characterized resistance to SCN has been conferred, at least partially, by amino acid polymorphisms in cellular proteins (Cook et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2012). For example, the α -SNAP at *Rhg1* has amino acid polymorphisms at key residues in the functional C-terminus that render it toxic to cells (Bayless et al. 2016; Cook et al. 2014). Whole genome and fosmid sequencing found no nonsynonymous SNVs in exons of *Glyma.15G191200* relative to the susceptible reference. However, multiple SNVs throughout the *Glyma.15G191200* promoter and gene body were found to be unique to PI 468916 when compared with 18 other soybean sequences and to a separate *G. soja* accession. One of these distinctive SNVs, a G > A 153 bp upstream of the predicted transcriptional start site, introduces a strong consensus sequence for a GATA transcription factor binding site (Fig. 2). In soybean, GATA transcription factors have been associated with nitrogen metabolism (Zhang et al. 2015), salt stress, and, perhaps, auxin and gibberellic acid signaling (Liu et al. 2018). Moreover, nematode-induced transcriptional reprogramming has been observed during syncytial development (Gheysen and Mitchum 2011). For example, SCN effector GLAND4 was recently demonstrated to bind to promoter elements of defense-related genes to repress transcription (Barnes et al. 2018). Therefore, changes in transcription factor binding sites, be they the inferred new GATA transcription factor binding site or other unidentified sites, may influence the ability of the nematode to induce expression changes in *Glyma.15G191200* expression required for syncytia establishment.

We observed differential regulation of γ -SNAP protein levels in resistant *cqSCN-006* lines compared with SCN-susceptible lines. In a susceptible soybean root, γ -SNAP protein levels were found to increase during SCN infection relative to mock inoculated controls at 2 and 5 DPI. This significant induction was not observed in *cqSCN-006* resistant roots at either timepoint (Fig. 6A and C). It appears that *cqSCN-006* resistant plants avoid the nematode-induced elevation of γ -SNAP levels within or near feeding sites, suggesting that induction of γ -SNAP helps to promote SCN infection.

No changes in α -SNAP levels were observed at 2 DPI, but there does appear to be a significant decrease in α -SNAP protein at 5 DPI in resistant lines. (Fig. 6E and G). These results are confounded by the fact that the α -SNAP antibody also detects γ -SNAP (Supplementary Fig. S4). Therefore, the decrease in

Fig. 7. Transient expression of γ -SNAP in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. **A**, Representative *N. benthamiana* leaf-expressing γ -SNAP and wild-type soybean α -SNAP 8 days after infiltration with *Agrobacterium* strains at the indicated ratios. **B**, Cell death ratings on a 0 to 5 scale, for multiple independent leaves. Means for treatments with the same letter are not statistically different. ($P > 0.05$, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test). Data shown are mean and standard error of the mean; $n = 26$ for all except G:A which was 1:3 and for which $n = 21$. G = γ -SNAP; A = α -SNAP. **C**, Representative immunoblots verifying expression of the indicated proteins and used to measure NSF (N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein) levels in leaf areas expressing γ -SNAP or wild-type soybean α -SNAP. NSF antibody detects *N. benthamiana* NSF. Blots were first probed for γ -SNAP and were then stripped and simultaneously reprobed for α -SNAP and NSF. Ponceau S stain tests for equal loading across samples. **D**, Densitometry analysis of NSF protein levels across three independent biological replicates consisting of three or four samples each ($n = 10$). Data were normalized to the empty vector (EV) control for each replicate; mean and standard error of the mean are shown. Means for treatments with the same letter are not statistically different ($P > 0.1$, analysis of variance Tukey).

α -SNAP could be even greater in both susceptible and resistant lines. This indicates that SCN may specifically induce γ -SNAP and not α -SNAP during a compatible interaction, suggesting a particular role for γ -SNAP in SCN pathogenesis. The differential protein accumulation may be the result of the SNVs found in the promoter region of *Glyma.15G191200* in *cqSCN-006* or at presently unidentified sites that also reside outside of the gene coding sequence (CDS). Promoter mutations have been associated with disease resistance, such as in the *xa13* rice bacterial blight resistance (Chu 2006). These promoter changes allow the resistant plant to escape pathogen induced expression of the downstream gene (Yuan et al. 2009).

Our observation of significant SCN-induced accumulation of γ -SNAP only in susceptible roots might seem to run counter to the results of the CRISPR/Cas9 virulence assay. In CRISPR edited roots that mutate the γ -SNAP gene, reduction of γ -SNAP protein levels might be hypothesized to increase resistance if γ -SNAP is a straightforward susceptibility factor. However, there is precedent for the same functional outcome or organismal phenotype being caused by increases or decreases in a particular protein, particularly those in macromolecular complexes such as SNAPs, including a specific precedent with γ -SNAP protein (Inoue et al. 2015; Veitia et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2014). It has been observed previously in mammalian cells that both overexpression and RNA interference-mediated silencing of γ -SNAP in various cell lines caused enlarged endosomes (Inoue et al. 2015). This also suggests that a certain level of γ -SNAP is required for cellular homeostasis. In mammalian cells, when this balance was upset, cells responded with modified trafficking through enlarged endosomes (Inoue et al. 2015). In soybean roots or developing syncytia, CRISPR mediated reduction in γ -SNAP may have resulted in similar vesicle trafficking changes as those naturally induced by elevated γ -SNAP protein levels during SCN infection of susceptible roots. If this change promotes infection, both instances result in increased SCN susceptibility. Meanwhile, the *cqSCN-006* resistant roots maintained steady γ -SNAP protein levels during early stages of infection, which apparently is associated with SCN resistance.

We did observe that overexpression of γ -SNAP in *N. benthamiana* leaves caused stress that was relieved by an abundance of α -SNAP (Fig. 7A and B). This supports a required balance between these two SNAPs in plants, as was observed in mammalian cells (Inoue et al. 2015). The overexpression of γ -SNAP does not appear to cause the same stress to the plant cell that was caused by SCN resistance-associated α -SNAPs, as observed through an increase in NSF protein expression (Fig. 7C and D; Supplementary Fig. S4). It appears that the effect of γ -SNAP overexpression is not as overtly lethal but may be inducing changes that manifest as moderate cell death in the *N. benthamiana* assay. These changes may favor a developing syncytium (Siddique et al. 2014). Further research is required to determine what these vesicle trafficking changes or other changes may be and if they are occurring in susceptible and not in resistant syncytia.

We identified the expression of at least three previously unannotated splice forms of *Glyma.15G191200* (Figs. 3 and 4; Supplementary Fig. S2). These splice forms appear to be unique to the γ -SNAP encoded on chromosome 15, as no RNA-Seq reads mapping to the intronic regions were observed in the publicly available data for the homolog on chromosome 9. This strengthens the potential that the splice forms might participate in *cqSCN-006* mediated resistance. Gene expression analyses revealed downregulation of the alternative splice form in resistant infected roots compared with resistant mock control at 2 DPI, while this downregulation was not observed for infected versus mock-inoculated roots of the susceptible genotype (Figs. 4 and 5A). However, by 5 DPI, both susceptible and resistant lines apparently exhibited reduced expression of the alternative transcript, to approximately

the same level (Fig. 5B). These root segment samples from SCN-infected regions contain many root cells at or within a few cells of infected areas but are diluted with a majority of cells from adjacent noninfected areas. The moderate significance ($P = 0.06$) that was observed in Figure 5B does indicate reproducibly measurable changes in expression, but finer-scale tissue sampling might reveal even greater changes in expression. Hence, it may be that an early decrease in alternative transcript expression by 2 DPI in resistant infection sites limits SCN development. While no variation in protein-coding exon sequence was present between resistant and susceptible varieties and no overall differences in gene expression were observed in bulk roots, we found evidence for altered gene expression via an alternative splicing mechanism and altered protein abundance in syncytia-enriched tissues and evidence that this altered protein expression contributes to SCN resistance. To reiterate, this was despite the absence of changes in the protein CDS of the gene and despite evidence that overall transcript expression in the roots does not change significantly in the resistant genotype. Thus, an important trait is, in this case, associated with polymorphisms in introns and upstream of transcription start sites that, in many studies, would not be considered as “potentially important” sequence variants. These variants have important effects on the splicing and tissue-specific pathogen-responsive expression of the gene.

Although beyond the scope of this first report about γ -SNAP as a contributing factor in *cqSCN-006*-mediated SCN resistance, future studies might productively be focused on discovering how and if alternative splicing helps regulate the protein levels at this early infection stage. This may be an especially interesting point, because a decrease in alternative splice forms 2 and 3 at 2 DPI in the resistant genotype might be expected to raise γ -SNAP protein levels but, instead, we observed γ -SNAP protein abundance increase in the susceptible genotype. We hypothesize that avoiding the SCN-induced γ -SNAP protein accumulation that occurs during a compatible interaction is a mechanism of SCN resistance. Sequence analysis revealed *cqSCN-006* specific SNVs in the introns and exons involved in the alternative splicing events and nowhere else in the gene body, presenting a potential genetic basis for the observed differential regulation (Fig. 2). Overall transcription from the locus was not significantly different between resistant and susceptible roots, likely due to the confounding effects of the alternative splice forms (Supplementary Fig. S3). Therefore, the protein levels that were measured are likely to provide a better picture of the γ -SNAP expression during infection. Additionally, the absence of notable overall changes in transcript abundance may suggest that protein levels are impacted by more than just transcript levels from the locus.

Recently, it has been shown that a cyst nematode effector, 30D08, interacts with *Arabidopsis* auxiliary spliceosomal protein SMU2 (Verma et al. 2018). Interaction of this effector impacts splicing and expression of certain genes. Therefore, splicing changes are a nematode-manipulated aspect of syncytia establishment (Verma et al. 2018). It is possible that *cqSCN-006* escapes specific splicing changes stimulated by or even directly mediated by nematode infection, as observed through the more rapid decline of *Glyma.15G191200.2/3* expression. The presence of the alternative transcript early during infection may promote syncytia development. Interestingly, it was also observed that heterologous overexpression of 30D08, which would be expected to promote parasitism, actually reduced nematode development (Verma et al. 2018). This further supports a model where careful regulation of specific proteins, splicing, and gene expression is required for nematode infection. Again, CRISPR/Cas9 editing of *Glyma.15G191200* may disrupt this balance too dramatically and therefore result in susceptibility, even though compatibility is associated with elevated alternative transcript and protein levels. Overall, it appears that careful regulation of γ -SNAP may be

required for SCN infection and that the γ -SNAP at *cqSCN-006* has evolved subtle ways to disrupt this regulation.

To summarize, we have identified another SNAP protein, a γ -SNAP, involved in SCN resistance. This trait derives from the resistance locus *cqSCN-006* that originated from *Glycine soja* accession PI 468916. Interestingly, it appears soybean has evolved multiple mechanisms of SCN resistance that impact the 20S complex machinery and vesicle trafficking events. Resistance at *cqSCN-006* seems to be, at least partially, conferred by altered regulation of the abundance of the γ -SNAP encoded by *Glyma.15G191200*, apparently as a result of promoter and intronic SNVs (Fig. 8). This is observed through limited γ -SNAP protein accumulation during infection in resistant plants as well as differential expression of previously unidentified splice forms, particularly at early stages of infection. We hypothesize that changes in γ -SNAP protein levels may elicit changes in vesicle trafficking elements that promote syncytia establishment and nematode development, which are avoided in a resistant plant. Incidentally, this work also reiterates the importance of considering the biological effects of polymorphisms outside the protein-encoding regions of genes, which, for example, can alter protein abundance even when neither the protein-encoding sequence nor the overall transcript levels are affected. Lastly, little is known about γ -SNAPs in any system, and the present study reveals a role for this protein and its associated transcripts in plant interactions with cyst nematodes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material.

Soybean lines in a commercially relevant *G. max* background with or without the *cqSCN-006* resistance allele were generated in the breeding program of B. Diers at the University of Illinois. Briefly, these lines were developed by first backcrossing the SCN resistance alleles *cqSCN-006* and *cqSCN-007* from *G. soja* PI 468916 into the *G. max* susceptible background A81-356022 (Diers et al. 2005). The allele was subsequently backcrossed into the background of LD00-3309 (Diers et al. 2006) and lines segregating for resistance alleles at *Rhg1*, *cqSCN-006*, and *cqSCN-007* were identified with markers linked to each gene. The resistant line used throughout these experiments was LD10-30110, which has the resistance allele for *cqSCN-006* and the susceptible allele for *cqSCN-007* and *Rhg1*. The susceptible lines used were LD10-30080 and LD10-30092, sibling lines of LD10-30110 that have

the susceptible allele for all three genes. For de novo and fosmid-based sequence analysis, the *G. soja* accession PI 468916 was used directly

Nematode inoculum.

SCN inocula from verified *Heterodera glycines* Hg 2.5.7 populations were obtained as eggs from A. Colgrove at the University of Illinois Plant Clinic. Eggs were hatched in 3 mM ZnCl₂ for 4 to 6 days to obtain infectious J2. Four to 6 days after hatching, infectious J2 SCN were sterilized with 0.1 g of HgCl₂ per liter and 0.01% sodium azide for 3 min and were washed twice in sterile water, before resuspension in 0.05% sterile agarose water.

Vector construction.

For editing of *Glyma.15G191200*, two gRNA targets were identified using CRISPR-P (Liu et al. 2017). The 19-bp gRNAs were selected within the third exon (TCCGCTGCTGCTCTCGCAA) and the fourth exon (GTATTCTGTTGCAGCTAAT) 316 bp from each other and both on the positive strand. Exons toward the 5' of the gene were selected as the targets to increase likelihood that editing would result in a deletion, insertion, or non-synonymous mutation that would inhibit protein function. Additionally, the simultaneous editing at both target sites would result in a 350-bp deletion. The p201G binary vector (a gift from W. Parrott, Addgene plasmid 59178) was used for expression of Cas9 and gRNAs in transgenic soybean roots with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) screenable marker (Jacobs et al. 2015). Assembly of gRNAs into p201G was performed using Gibson Assembly as previously reported (Gibson et al. 2009; Jacobs and Martin 2016). The resulting plasmid, p201G_Cas9:191200_gRNAx2, was verified with restriction digest and sequencing and was transformed into the *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* strain ARqua 1 using a freeze-thaw method.

For transient expression of γ -SNAP in *N. benthamiana* leaves, *Glyma.15G191200* CDS was amplified from resistant LD10-30110 cDNA with overhangs for Gibson assembly. Amplified open reading frames were then placed between the soybean ubiquitin promoter (Hernandez-Garcia et al. 2010) and *nos* terminator in the vector pBluescript, using Gibson assembly (Gibson et al. 2009), and were sequence-verified. The overexpression cassette was digested from pBluescript, using *XbaI/PstI*, gel extracted using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and was ligated into the binary vector pSM101, using T4 DNA ligase (Promega, Madison, WI, U.S.A.). Final constructs were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 (pMP90) using the freeze-thaw method.

Generation of transgenic roots.

Transgenic roots were generated with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* ARqua1 as described by Melito et al. (2010). Cotyledons from *cqSCN-006* resistant (LD10-30110) and susceptible (LD10-30092) soybeans were transformed with either p201G_Cas9 EV or p201G_Cas9:191200_gRNAx2. Transformed roots were screened for GFP expression and were subcultured on root media (RM) (4.3g of Murashige Skoog [MS] salts per liter, 2% sucrose, 1× Gamborg's B5 vitamins, 6.7% agar, 0.13g of cefotaxime per liter, 0.13g of carbenicillin per liter, pH 5.6) before use in subsequent experiments.

Heteroduplex analysis for identification of edited transgenic roots.

Roots with successful editing (mutation) of *Glyma.15G191200* were identified by heteroduplex analysis (Zhu et al. 2015). Genomic DNA was extracted from root samples with the DNeasy PowerPlant Pro kit (Qiagen), according to manufacturer instructions. The targeted genomic site and 126 or 87 bp, respectively, flanking the outside of the two target sites was amplified with

Fig. 8. Summary and proposed model for soybean cyst nematode (SCN) resistance encoded by *cqSCN-006*. Differential regulation of protein and alternative splice expression are observed between susceptible and *cqSCN-006* resistant plants, particularly at early stages of infection (2 days postinoculation). These changes may favor syncytia establishment and nematode development in susceptible lines, while SCN resistance may result from avoiding or delaying these changes.

KAPA HiFi polymerase (KAPA Biosystems Wilmington, MA, U.S.A.), using specific primers. Amplification of the predicted 569-bp band was verified on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr). After verification, amplicons were incubated at 95°C for 5 min to melt DNA and were then allowed to re-anneal by removal of tubes to room temperature for 5 min. Heteroduplexes were resolved on a 5% polyacrylamide gel and were visualized with EtBr and UV light. Mutations were detected by the presence of multiple bands indicative of the formation of heteroduplexes resulting from base substitutions or INDELs in the targeted region (Supplementary Fig. S1). In some instances, smaller bands, representing one or both homoduplexes or larger deletions resulting from simultaneous editing at both gRNA target regions, were observed. A subset of purified amplicons were sequenced to relate heteroduplex presence to editing, and many complicated variants were seen, including a variety of deletions at either or both gRNA target sites (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

SCN resistance assay.

Resistance of transgenic roots expressing p201G_Cas9 or p201G_Cas9:191200_gRNAX2 was measured using a SCN demography assay as in Melito et al. (2010). Transgenic roots with robust GFP expression were placed on individual tissue culture plates with RM medium (one root per plate) 24 h before inoculation. Tissue samples for genotyping were collected from the basal end of each root at this stage, were flash frozen in liquid N₂, and were stored at -80°C until processing. Roots were inoculated with 150 to 200 motile J2 at the root tip and were incubated in the dark at 28°C. Nematodes on and within roots were stained with acid fuchsin 12 to 14 DPI (Byrd et al. 1983). All nematodes on a single root were classified and counted by life stage as resembling J2 or as resembling J3 or more mature stages beyond J2. The number of nematodes resembling J3 or more mature stages as a percent of total number of nematodes on each root was determined as the index of SCN susceptibility (Cook et al. 2012; Melito et al. 2010). Means were compared using a one-way Welch's *t* test due to the unbalanced design that resulted from differential availabilities of transgenic roots within experiments and from separating the data for edited and nonedited CRISPR/Cas9 roots. To control for between-experiment variation, the result for each root was normalized to the mean for the susceptible controls within the same experiment prior to statistical analysis.

De novo sequencing and assembly of PI 468916.

Whole genome sequencing of PI 468916 was performed using the HiSeq DNA sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) at the Keck Biotechnology Center, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. DNA was extracted using a CTAB DNA extraction method (Cook et al. 2012). The raw reads were assembled using ABySS (assembly by short sequences) (Simpson et al. 2009) to generate scaffolds. A total of 182,255,190 paired-end reads were assembled with an N₅₀ in the final assembly of 19,821 bp.

Fosmid library production, isolation, and analysis.

Genomic DNA was isolated from PI 468916 and a fosmid library was constructed as described by Cook et al. (2012). Fosmids were isolated from the fosmid library by a PCR-based isolation screening method. Primers were designed to the susceptible reference in the region in which the QTL had been identified. These primers were then used for PCR on pools of the genomic library of PI 468916, each containing approximately 5,000 different fosmid clones. Subsequent rounds of PCR were performed on fosmid pools after dilution and regrowth in bacterial culture, allowing for an eventual single fosmid clone to be identified that contained the amplicon. Isolated fosmids were then end-sequenced with vector-specific primers, using Sanger sequencing,

at the Keck Biotechnology Center at the University of Illinois-Urbana Champaign, to verify insert DNA location in the genomic region of interest before further sequencing efforts. Isolated fosmids confirmed to be from the region of interest were then sequenced using either Sanger sequencing and primer walking or through the Illumina MiSeq at the Keck Biotechnology Center. Sequenced fosmids were assembled using ABySS.

Confirmation of SNVs in PI 468916 breeding lines.

Genomic DNA was extracted from roots of resistant LD10-30110 grown in Metro Mix, at 27°C with 14-h daylight, with the DNeasy PowerPlant Pro kit (Qiagen), according to manufacturer instructions. *Glyma.15G191200* promoter regions, including the 5' UTR and the upstream 2 kb, from susceptible (LD10-30080) and resistant (LD10-30110) lines were amplified with KAPA HiFi polymerase using specific primers. Purified PCR products were directly sequenced with multiple internal primers and were compared with PI468916 and Williams82. Promoter sequences were obtained from 15 additional genome sequences and were compared with the PI 468916 and LD10-30110 gene sequences (Supplementary Table S1). The promoter sequence from *G. soja* accession PI 483463 was obtained from Soybase (the *Glycine max* cv. Lee and *Glycine soja* PI 483463 sequencing consortium, prepublication).

Promoter sequences from resistant (LD10-30110) and susceptible (LD10-30080) soybean lines were analyzed for transcription factor binding sites using Plant Pan 2.0 (Chow et al. 2016). Predicted transcription factor binding site output was analyzed for differences between the two lines at areas where SNVs had been identified.

Root infections for protein and qPCR analyses.

Resistant (LD10-30110) and susceptible (LD10-30080) soybean seeds were sterilized overnight with chlorine gas by adding 3.5 ml of HCl to a beaker with 100 ml of bleach (8.5% sodium hypochlorite) in a desiccant jar. Seeds were plated on germination media (4.41 g of MS salts per liter with B5 vitamins, 2% sucrose, 7% agar, pH 5.8) and were allowed to germinate at 24°C with 16-h daylight for 7 days. Roots from germinated seedlings were transferred to RM for 4 days in the dark at room temperature to allow for growth or were transferred and inoculated the next day. If grown for 4 days, roots were transferred to fresh RM the day prior to inoculation. All roots were cultured in 50 × 100 × 100 mm square Petri dishes containing RM, four per plate, during SCN infection. Roots were inoculated with 175 to 200 motile, surface disinfested J2 Hg 2.5.7, as above. Mock-treated roots were inoculated with an equal volume of 0.05% agarose water. The point of inoculation was marked on the back of the plate to aid with sample collection. Tissue samples were taken at indicated timepoints. SCN-infested root regions, previously demonstrated to correspond to the area with subtle browning approximately 1 cm above the point of inoculation, were excised with a scalpel. Similar areas from mock inoculated roots were collected at each timepoint. Three to four inoculated zones or mock-inoculated zones from independent roots growing on the same plate were pooled for each sample. Tissue samples were flash-frozen in liquid N₂ and were stored at -80°C until sample preparation. Four independent biological replicate experiments were performed (each biological replicate utilized plant or nematode materials from a separate experiment performed on a separate date). Within each experiment, treatments generated three or four root samples, and homogenized aliquots from the same sample were then analyzed in both immunoblot and qPCR studies.

RT-PCR and qRT-PCR.

Total RNA from infected and mock treatments was extracted from root tissues, using the Zymo RNA extraction kit (Zymo

Research Irvine, CA, U.S.A.) according to manufacturer instructions, with on-column DNase treatment. RNA quality was validated using a 1.5% agarose gel and concentration was measured on a Nandrop-1000 Spectrophotometer. cDNA was synthesized with the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA, U.S.A.), according to manufacturer instructions. No RT controls were run in the same conditions but without the RT enzyme.

For detection of the *Glyma.15G191200* alternative splice form, RT-PCR using GoTaq master mix (Promega, Madison, WI, U.S.A.) using specific primers and *GmSKP16* as internal control was performed. For cloning of *Glyma.15G191200* alternative splice forms, KAPA HiFi polymerase (KAPA Biosystems) was used to amplify the predicted transcript in two segments with 158 bp of overhang within the retained intron. The two purified products were recombined with Gibson assembly (Gibson et al. 2009). The assembled product was amplified with KAPA HiFi polymerase (KAPA Biosystems), using primers for Gibson assembly into a linearized pBluescript vector. Positive clones were sequenced with Sanger sequencing. Each alternative splice form was cloned from multiple cDNAs at least three independent times.

qRT-PCR was performed using Solis Biodyne Evagreen HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qPCR mix (Tatu, Estonia) in a BioRad CFX96 Real Time system, per manufacturer instructions. No-RT controls were run with the alternative splice specific primers. Samples with quantification cycle (Cq) values for the alternative transcript less than one cycle greater than the no-RT control were eliminated from further data analysis. Data was analyzed with the $-\Delta Cq$ method, and these values were used in statistical analyses with ANOVA with Tukey test in JMP.

RNA-Seq analysis for intron retention.

Surface-sterilized soybean seeds were germinated on Petri dishes with autoclaved paper towels. After 3 days, germinated seedlings were moved to new sterilized paper towel dishes and were inoculated with second stage juvenile (J2) Hg type 0 nematodes. Roots from three biological replicates of both uninoculated and inoculated plants from the two genotypes were harvested and were immediately frozen for RNA analysis 8 h after the inoculation of the inoculated plants. RNA was isolated from roots using the E-Z 96 plant RNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.A) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 to a depth of 14 million reads per sample. Reads were then uniquely mapped to the annotated intron sequences of *Glyma.15G191200* using TopHat2 (Kim et al. 2013). Reads were then counted using featureCount in R (Liao et al. 2014). Counts were normalized to create counts per million (CPM) with edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010).

Transient expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves.

Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression was performed as reported by Bayless et al. (2016). Within each experiment, two leaves on multiple plants were used for infiltration and with randomized locations between leaves. Images were collected 8 to 9 days after infiltration, using a Canon Rebel T3i, were de-identified (masked to rater), and then, each infiltrated area was rated on a standardized 0 to 5 scale with 0 being no visible signs of chlorosis or necrosis and 5 being complete death of the infiltrated tissues. Ratings from at least four independent experiments for *Glyma.15G191200* were analyzed using the pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test in R (R Core Team 2013). For alternative splice forms, at least two independent experiments were performed.

Samples from infiltrated leaves for RT-PCR and immunoblot analyses were taken 3 days postinfiltration. Entire spots were either taken individually or were pooled with its match on the other infiltrated leaf of the same plant. Samples were immediately frozen and were stored at -80°C until processing. Samples were processed as described above for protein and total RNA

extraction. For immunoblots, total protein lysate was extracted and immunoblot was performed as above, except the anti- α -SNAP and anti-NSF incubation was performed simultaneously overnight. The NSF antibody has been previously demonstrated to cross-detect *N. benthamiana* NSF (Bayless et al. 2016). Expression of the alternative splice form was validated with RT-PCR on cDNA from total RNA extraction using primers specific for the alternative splice form and the EF_1a internal control for *N. benthamiana*.

Antibody production.

Affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies were raised against a synthetic peptide, “GDVSSLKANKAEDE”, specific to soybean chromosome 15 γ -SNAP. The antibodies were cross-purified with a peptide specific to chromosome 9 γ -SNAP, “GDSCALKAEDDEEA”. The γ -SNAP antibody was produced by GenScript. Specificity of the antibody for γ -SNAP and not α -SNAP was verified with recombinant proteins (Supplementary Fig. S4). The α -SNAP and NSF antibodies were described by Bayless et al. (2016).

Purification of γ -SNAP protein.

γ -SNAP protein encoded by *Glyma.15G191200* was expressed from the pRham N-His-Sumo Kan expression vector (Lucigen, Middleton, WI, U.S.A.) in *Escherichia coli* strain E. coli 10G. Recombinant protein was produced and purified as described by Bayless et al. (2016). α -SNAP protein was previously purified (Bayless et al. 2016). A five-step, fivefold dilution series of both γ -SNAP and α -SNAP recombinant protein was used for immunoblot to determine specificity of the SNAP antibodies. Both antibodies were used at the experimentally relevant concentrations, 1:1,000 for α -SNAP and 1:250 for γ -SNAP.

Immunoblots.

Tissues were homogenized in 2-ml screw cap tubes with porcelain beads at 4,000 rpm with a PowerLyzer 24 (Mo Bio, St. Louis) for 30 s and were flash frozen. A volume of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.2% Triton X-100, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol, and 1 $\times$ protease inhibitor) equal to 1.5 $\times$ the mass of the tissue was added to the ground tissue and was kept on ice. Samples were homogenized again at 4,000 rpm for 30 s. The samples were centrifuged twice to remove residual debris. Bradford assays were performed with the final lysate and samples were normalized to contain the equal amounts of total protein for sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Loading and transfer was verified with Ponceau S stain.

Immunoblots for γ -SNAP were blocked in 5% (wt/vol) nonfat dry milk Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBS-T) (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) and were then incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C in 5% (wt/vol) nonfat dry milk TBS-T at 1:250 for most experiments. Membranes were washed several times with TBS-T and were then incubated with secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antirabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) in 5% (wt/vol) at 1:5,000 for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were again washed several times with TBS-T. Chemiluminescent detection was performed with SuperSignal Dura chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.). Immunoblot signal was then recorded with a ChemiDoc MP chemiluminescent imager (BioRad). For detection with α -SNAP antibody, membranes were stripped under mild conditions with stripping buffer (15 g of glycine per liter, 1 g of SDS per liter, 0.1% Tween 20, pH 2.2) and were verified with redevelopment. Stripped membranes were incubated with primary α -SNAP antibody (Bayless et al. 2016) at 1:1,000 in 5% nonfat dry milk TBS-T for 1 h at room temperature. Following several washes with TBS-T, membranes were incubated

with secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antirabbit IgG as above and were developed under the same conditions.

For densitometry analysis, the blot image just prior to overexposure (saturation of any pixels within the band) of any single band of interest was used. Densitometry analysis was performed in ImageLab (BioRad). When doublets occur for γ -SNAP, only signal from the bottom band that corresponds with the expected size as observed with purified protein was used. Raw densitometry data were analyzed by ANOVA with Tukey test in R. Supplementary Figures S8 and S9 show original (uncropped) whole-blot images for the immunoblots in Figures 6 and 7.

Oligonucleotides.

All oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Data availability.

The whole-genome DNA sequence scaffolds for PI 468916 are in the National Center for Biotechnology Information GenBank database under accession numbers MZ956137 through MZ956151, and the fosmid carrying *Glyma.15G191200* is accession number OK559402.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A. Bayless for generating the γ -SNAP E. Cloni recombinant protein expression vector, T. G. Lee for the production of the fosmid library of PI 468916, and U. Chaiprom for assistance with data analysis.

LITERATURE CITED

- Acharya, K., Tande, C., and Byamukama, E. 2016. Determination of *Heterodera glycines* virulence phenotypes occurring in South Dakota. *Plant Dis.* 100:2281-2286.
- Allen, T. W., Bradley, C. A., Sisson, A. J., Byamukama, E., Chilvers, M. I., Coker, C. M., Collins, A. A., Damicone, J. P., Dorrance, A. E., Dufault, N. S., Esker, P. D., Fiske, T. R., Giesler, L. J., Grybauskas, A. P., Hershman, D. E., Hollier, C. A., Isakeit, T., Jardine, D. J., Kelly, H. M., Kemerait, R. C., Kleczewski, N. M., Koenning, S. R., Kurlle, J. E., Malvick, D. K., Markell, S. G., Mehl, H. L., Mueller, D. S., Mueller, J. D., Mulrooney, R. P., Nelson, B. D., Newman, M. A., Osborne, L., Overstreet, C., Padgett, G. B., Phipps, P. M., Price, P. P., Sikora, E. J., Smith, D. L., Spurlock, T. N., Tande, C. A., Tenuta, A. U., Wise, K. A., and Wrather, J. A. 2017. Soybean yield loss estimates due to diseases in the United States and Ontario, Canada, from 2010 to 2014. *Plant Health Prog.* 18:19-27.
- Barnes, S. N., Wram, C. L., Mitchum, M. G., and Baum, T. J. 2018. The plant-parasitic cyst nematode effector GLAND4 is a DNA-binding protein. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 19:2263-2276.
- Bayless, A. M., Smith, J. M., Song, J., McMinn, P. H., Teillet, A., August, B. K., and Bent, A. F. 2016. Disease resistance through impairment of α -SNAP-NSF interaction and vesicular trafficking by soybean *Rhg1*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113:E7375-E7382.
- Bayless, A. M., Zapotocny, R. W., Grunwald, D. J., Amundson, K. K., Diers, B. W., and Bent, A. F. 2018. An atypical N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor enables the viability of nematode-resistant *Rhg1* soybeans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115:E4512-E4521.
- Bitto, E., Bingman, C. A., Kondrashov, D. A., McCoy, J. G., Bannen, R. M., Wesenberg, G. E., and Phillips, G. N. 2007. Structure and dynamics of γ -SNAP: Insight into flexibility of proteins from the SNAP family. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinform.* 70:93-104.
- Brzostowski, L. F., and Diers, B. W. 2017. Pyramiding of alleles from multiple sources increases the resistance of soybean to highly virulent soybean cyst nematode isolates. *Crop Sci.* 57:2932-2941.
- Byrd, D. W. J., Kirkpatrick, T., and Barker, K. R. 1983. An improved technique for clearing and staining plant tissues for detection of nematodes. *J. Nematol.* 15:142-143.
- Chen, S., Potter, B., and Orf, J. 2010. Virulence of the soybean cyst nematode has increased over years in Minnesota. *J. Nematol.* 42:238.
- Chow, C.-N., Zheng, H.-Q., Wu, N.-Y., Chien, C.-H., Huang, H.-D., Lee, T.-Y., Chiang-Hsieh, Y.-F., Hou, P.-F., Yang, T.-Y., and Chang, W.-C. 2016. PlantPAN 2.0: An update of plant promoter analysis navigator for reconstructing transcriptional regulatory networks in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44:D1154-D1160.
- Chu, Z. 2006. Promoter mutations of an essential gene for pollen development result in disease resistance in rice. *Genes Dev.* 20:1250-1255.
- Clary, D. O., Griff, I. C., and Rothman, J. E. 1990. SNAPS, a family of NSF attachment proteins involved in intracellular membrane fusion in animals and yeast. *Cell* 61:709-721.
- Cook, D. E., Bayless, A. M., Wang, K., Guo, X., Song, Q., Jiang, J., and Bent, A. F. 2014. Distinct copy number, coding sequence, and locus methylation patterns underlie *Rhg1*-mediated soybean resistance to soybean cyst nematode. *Plant Physiol.* 165:630-647.
- Cook, D. E., Lee, T. G., Guo, X., Melito, S., Wang, K., Bayless, A. M., Wang, J., Hughes, T. J., Willis, D. K., Clemente, T. E., Diers, B. W., Jiang, J., Hudson, M. E., and Bent, A. F. 2012. Copy number variation of multiple genes at *Rhg1* mediates nematode resistance in soybean. *Science* 338:1206-1209.
- Dempewolf, H., Baute, G., Anderson, J., Kilian, B., Smith, C., and Guarino, L. 2017. Past and future use of wild relatives in crop breeding. *Crop Sci.* 57:1070-1082.
- Diers, B. W., Arelli, P. R., Carlson, S. R., Fehr, W. R., Kabelka, E. A., Shoemaker, R. C., and Wang, D. 2005. Registration of LDX01-1-65 soybean germplasm with soybean cyst nematode resistance derived from *Glycine soja*. *Crop Sci.* 45:1671-1672.
- Diers, B. W., Cary, T. R., Thomas, D. J., and Nickell, C. D. 2006. Registration of 'LD00-3309' soybean. *Crop Sci.* 46:1384.
- Faghihi, J., Donald, P. A., Welacky, T. W., and Ferris, V. R. 2010. Soybean resistance to field populations of *Heterodera glycines* in selected geographic areas. *Plant Health Prog.* 11:19.
- Gheysen, G., and Mitchum, M. G. 2011. How nematodes manipulate plant development pathways for infection. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14:415-421.
- Gibson, D. G., Young, L., Chuang, R.-Y., Venter, J. C., Hutchison, C. A., and Smith, H. O. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat. Methods* 6:343-345.
- Goodstein, D. M., Shu, S., Howson, R., Neupane, R., Hayes, R. D., Fazo, J., Mitros, T., Dirks, W., Hellsten, U., Putnam, N., and Rokhsar, D. S. 2012. Phytozome: A comparative platform for green plant genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40:D1178-D1186.
- Han, C., Yang, P., Sakata, K., and Komatsu, S. 2014. Quantitative proteomics reveals the role of protein phosphorylation in rice embryos during early stages of germination. *J. Proteome Res.* 13:1766-1782.
- Hernandez-Garcia, C. M., Bouchard, R. A., Rushton, P. J., Jones, M. L., Chen, X., Timko, M. P., and Finer, J. J. 2010. High level transgenic expression of soybean (*Glycine max*) GmERF and Gmubi gene promoters isolated by a novel promoter analysis pipeline. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:237.
- Hershman, D. E., Heinz, R. D., and Kennedy, B. S. 2008. Soybean cyst nematode, *Heterodera glycines*, populations adapting to resistant soybean cultivars in Kentucky. *Plant Dis.* 92:1475.
- Inoue, H., Matsuzaki, Y., Tanaka, A., Hosoi, K., Ichimura, K., Arasaki, K., Mitros, T., Dirks, W., Hellsten, U., Putnam, N., and Rokhsar, D. S. 2015. γ -SNAP stimulates disassembly of endosomal SNARE complexes and regulates endocytic trafficking pathways. *J. Cell Sci.* 128:2781-2794.
- Ithal, N., Recknor, J., Nettleton, D., Hearne, L., Maier, T., Baum, T. J., and Mitchum, M. G. 2007. Parallel genome-wide expression profiling of host and pathogen during soybean cyst nematode infection of soybean. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 20:293-305.
- Jacobs, T. B., LaFayette, P. R., Schmitz, R. J., and Parrott, W. A. 2015. Targeted genome modifications in soybean with CRISPR/Cas9. *BMC Biotechnol.* 15:16.
- Jacobs, T. B., and Martin, G. B. 2016. High-throughput CRISPR vector construction and characterization of DNA modifications by generation of tomato hairy roots. *J. Vis. Exp.* 110:e53843.
- Kabelka, E. A., Carlson, S. R., and Diers, B. W. 2005. Localization of two loci that confer resistance to soybean cyst nematode from *Glycine soja* PI 468916. *Crop Sci.* 45:2473-2481.
- Kabelka, E. A., Carlson, S. R., and Diers, B. W. 2006. *Glycine soja* PI 468916 SCN resistance loci's associated effects on soybean seed yield and other agronomic traits. *Crop Sci.* 46:622-629.
- Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N., and Sternberg, M. J. E. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* 10:845-858.
- Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S. 2013. TopHat2: Accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* 14:R36.
- Kim, M., and Diers, B. W. 2013. Fine mapping of the SCN Resistance QTL *cqSCN-006* and *cqSCN-007* from PI 468916. *Crop Sci.* 53:775.

- Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., and Shi, W. 2014. featureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30:923-930.
- Liu, A., Xiao, Z., Li, M.-W., Wong, F.-L., Yung, W.-S., Ku, Y.-S., Wang, Q., Wang, S., Aldrin, M. X., Yim, K.-Y., Chan, T.-F., and Lam, H.-M. 2018. Transcriptomic reprogramming in soybean seedlings under salt stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42:98-114.
- Liu, H., Ding, Y., Zhou, Y., Jin, W., Xie, K., and Chen, L.-L. 2017. CRISPR-P 2.0: An improved CRISPR-Cas9 tool for genome editing in plants. *Mol. Plant* 10:530-532.
- Liu, S., Kandoth, P. K., Warren, S. D., Yeckel, G., Heinz, R., Alden, J., Yang, C., Jamai, A., El-Mellouki, T., Juvala, P. S., Hill, J., Baum, T. J., Cianzio, S., Whitham, S. A., Korkin, D., Mitchum, M. G., and Meksem, K. 2012. A soybean cyst nematode resistance gene points to a new mechanism of plant resistance to pathogens. *Nature* 492:256-260.
- McCarville, M. T., Marett, C. C., Mullaney, M. P., Gebhart, G. D., and Tylka, G. L. 2017. Increase in soybean cyst nematode virulence and reproduction on resistant soybean varieties in Iowa from 2001 to 2015 and the effects on soybean yields. *Plant Health Prog.* 18:146-155.
- Melito, S., Heuberger, A. L., Cook, D., Diers, B. W., MacGuidwin, A. E., and Bent, A. F. 2010. A nematode demographics assay in transgenic roots reveals no significant impacts of the *Rhg1* locus LRR-Kinase on soybean cyst nematode resistance. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:104.
- Mitchum, M. G., Wrather, J. A., Heinz, R. D., Shannon, J. G., and Danekas, G. 2007. Variability in distribution and virulence phenotypes of *Heterodera glycines* in Missouri during 2005. *Plant Dis.* 91:1473-1476.
- Niblack, T. L., Colgrove, A. L., Colgrove, K., and Bond, J. P. 2008. Shift in virulence of soybean cyst nematode is associated with use of resistance from PI 88788. *Plant Health Prog.* 9:29.
- Niblack, T. L., Arelli, P. R., Noel, G. R., Opperman, C. H., Orf, J. H., Schmitt, D. P., Shannon, J. G., and Tylka, G. L. 2002. A revised classification scheme for genetically diverse populations of *Heterodera glycines*. *J. Nematol.* 34:279-288.
- R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org>
- Rincker, K., Cary, T., and Diers, B. W. 2017. Impact of soybean cyst nematode resistance on soybean yield. *Crop Sci.* 57:1373-1382.
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. 2010. EdgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26:139-140.
- Schmutz, J., Cannon, S. B., Schlueter, J., Ma, J., Mitros, T., Nelson, W., Hyten, D. L., Song, Q., Thelen, J. J., Cheng, J., Xu, D., Hellsten, U., May, G. D., Yu, Y., Sakurai, T., Umezawa, T., Bhattacharyya, M. K., Sandhu, D., Valliyodan, B., Lindquist, E., Peto, M., Grant, D., Shu, S., Goodstein, D., Barry, K., Futrell-Griggs, M., Abernathy, B., Du, J., Tian, Z., Zhu, L., Gill, N., Joshi, T., Libault, M., Sethuraman, A., Zhang, X.-C., Shinozaki, K., Nguyen, H. T., Wing, R. A., Cregan, P., Specht, J., Grimwood, J., Rokhsar, D., Stacey, G., Shoemaker, R. C., and Jackson, S. A. 2010. Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. *Nature* 463:178-183.
- Siddique, S., Matera, C., Radakovic, Z. S., Hasan, M. S., Gutbrod, P., Rozanska, E., Sobczak, M., Torres, M. A., and Grundler, F. M. W. 2014. Parasitic worms stimulate host NADPH oxidases to produce reactive oxygen species that limit plant cell death and promote infection. *Sci. Signal.* 7:ra33.
- Simpson, J. T., Wong, K., Jackman, S. D., Schein, J. E., Jones, S. J., and Birol, I. W. 2009. ABySS: A parallel assembler for short read sequence data. *Genome Res* 19:1117-1123.
- Sottosanto, J. B., Gelli, A., and Blumwald, E. 2004. DNA array analyses of *Arabidopsis thaliana* lacking a vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter: Impact of AtNHX1 on gene expression. *Plant J.* 40:752-771.
- Veitia, R. A., Bottani, S., and Birchler, J. A. 2008. Cellular reactions to gene dosage imbalance: Genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic effects. *Trends Genet.* 24:390-397.
- Verma, A., Lee, C., Morris, S., Odu, F., Kenning, C., Rizzo, N., Spollen, W. G., Lin, M., McRae, A. G., Givan, S. A., Hewezi, T., Hussey, R., Davis, E. L., Baum, T. J., Mitchum, M. G. 2018. The novel cyst nematode effector protein 30D08 targets host nuclear functions to alter gene expression in feeding sites. *New Phytol.* 219:697-713.
- Wang, D., Arelli, P. R., Shoemaker, R. C., and Diers, B. W. 2001. Loci underlying resistance to race 3 of soybean cyst nematode in *Glycine soja* plant introduction 468916. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103:561-566.
- Wang, P., Zhou, Z., Hu, A., Ponte de Albuquerque, C., Zhou, Y., Hong, L., Sierecki, E., Ajiro, M., Kruhlak, M., Harris, C., Guan, K.-L., Zheng, Z.-M., Newton, A. C., Sun, P., Zhou, H., Fu, X. D. 2014. Both decreased and increased SRPK1 levels promote cancer by interfering with PHLPP-mediated dephosphorylation of Akt. *Mol. Cell* 54:378-391.
- Whiteheart, S. W., Griff, I. C., Brunner, M., Clary, D. O., Mayer, T., Buhrow, S. A., and Rothman, J. E. 1993. SNAP family of NSF attachment proteins includes a brain-specific isoform. *Nature* 362:353-355.
- Yu, N., and Diers, B. W. 2017. Fine mapping of the SCN resistance QTL *cqSCN-006* and *cqSCN-007* from *Glycine soja* PI 468916. *Euphytica* 213:54.
- Yuan, M., Chu, Z., Li, X., Xu, C., and Wang, S. 2009. Pathogen-induced expressional loss of function is the key factor in race-specific bacterial resistance conferred by a recessive *R* gene *xa13* in rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 50:947-955.
- Zhang, C., Hou, Y., Hao, Q., Chen, H., Chen, L., Yuan, S., Shan, Z., Zhang, A., Yang, A., Qiu, D., Zhou, X., and Huang, W. 2015. Genome-wide survey of the soybean GATA transcription factor gene family and expression analysis under low nitrogen stress. *PLoS One* 10:e0125174.
- Zhu, X., Xu, Y., Yu, S., Lu, L., Ding, M., Cheng, J., Song, G., Gao, X., Yao, L., Fan, D., Meng, S., Zhang, X., Hu, S., and Tian, Y., 2015. An efficient genotyping method for genome-modified animals and human cells generated with CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Sci. Rep.* 4:6420.