Synergistic Activation of Defense Responses in *Arabidopsis* by Simultaneous Loss of the GSL5 Callose Synthase and the EDR1 Protein Kinase

Anna Wawrzynska, Natalie L. Rodibaugh, and Roger W. Innes

Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, U.S.A.

Submitted 23 September 2009. Accepted 19 January 2010.

Loss-of-function mutations in the *EDR1* gene of *Arabidopsis* confer enhanced resistance to *Golovinomyces cichoracearum* (powdery mildew). Disease resistance mediated by the *edr1* mutation is dependent on an intact salicylic acid (SA) signaling pathway, but *edr1* mutant plants do not constitutively express the SA-inducible gene *PR-1* and are not dwarfed. To identify other components of the *EDR1* signaling network, we screened for mutations that enhanced the *edr1* mutant phenotype. Here, we describe an *enhancer of edr1* mutant, *eed3*, which forms spontaneous lesions in the absence of pathogen infection, constitutively expresses both SA- and methyl jasmonate (JA)-inducible defense genes, and is dwarfed. Positional cloning of *eed3* revealed that the mutation causes a premature stop codon in *GLUCAN SYNTHASE-LIKE 5* (*GSL5*, also known as *POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANT 4*), which encodes a callose synthase required for pathogen-induced callose production. Significantly, *gsl5* single mutants do not constitutively express *PR-1* or *AtERF1* (a JA-inducible gene) and are not dwarfed. Thus, loss of both *EDR1* and *GSL5* function has a synergistic effect. Our data suggest that *EDR1* and *GSL5* negatively regulate SA and JA production or signaling by independent mechanisms and that negative regulation of defense signaling by *GSL5* may be independent of callose production.

To identify genes that regulate plant defense responses, we previously screened for *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants with increased resistance to virulent pathogens. A mutant that displayed enhanced disease resistance (*edr1*) to *Pseudomonas syringae* and *Golovinomyces cichoracearum* (powdery mildew) was found (Frye and Innes 1998). The *edr1-1* mutation causes a premature stop codon in the *EDR1* gene, which encodes a protein kinase with similarity to CTR1 (Frye et al. 2001), a negative regulator of ethylene responses (Cao et al. 1997; Kieber et al. 1993). The enhanced resistance of the *edr1* mutant is suppressed by mutations that block salicylic acid (SA) perception (*npr1/nim1*) or reduce SA production (*sid2*, *eds1* and *pad4*) (Frye et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2005). The transgenic expression of *NahG*, which lowers endogenous SA levels, also eliminates

the *edr1*-mediated enhanced disease resistance phenotype (Frye et al. 2001). In contrast to the clear requirement for SA signaling in *edr1*-mediated resistance, neither ethylene nor jasmonic acid appear to be necessary, as mutations in the *ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 2* (*EIN2*) gene or *CORONATINE INSENSITIVE 1* (*COI1*) gene do not alter *edr1*-mediated disease resistance (Frye et al. 2001).

SA is a central regulator of plant defense responses (Delaney et al. 1994). Exogenous application of SA induces a heightened state of resistance to numerous pathogens (Vernooij et al. 1994), while reduction of endogenous SA levels makes plants more susceptible to both virulent and avirulent pathogens (Delaney et al. 1994; Nawrath and Metraux 1999; Wildermuth et al. 2001). SA levels are elevated during pathogen infection and appear to enhance resistance, in part, by potentiating production of reactive oxygen species (Shirasu et al. 1997) along with inducing a large suite of defense genes (Glazebrook et al. 2003; Tsuda et al. 2008). Understanding how SA levels are regulated and how SA regulates defense responses is a major goal of the plant-microbe interaction field. We wish to understand the link between *EDR1* function and activation of SA-mediated defenses.

Although the *EDR1* protein appears to function as a negative regulator of SA-mediated defenses, the *edr1* mutant does not contain significantly elevated SA levels and it does not constitutively express the *PR-1* gene (Frye et al. 2001), which is often used as an indicator of SA signaling. Instead, the *edr1* mutant behaves as if it was primed for defense gene induction, similar to plants treated with β -aminobutyric acid (BABA) (van Hulst et al. 2006). This primed state leads to a more rapid and more robust defense response upon pathogen infection (Conrath et al. 2002; Frye and Innes 1998; Frye et al. 2001; Ton et al. 2005). BABA-induced priming is dependent, in part, on the hormone abscissic acid (ABA), as *Arabidopsis* mutants defective in ABA synthesis (*aba1-5*) or ABA signaling (*abi4-1*) are impaired in BABA-induced resistance to the necrotrophic fungal pathogens *Alternaria brassicicola* and *Plectosphaerella cucumerina* (Ton and Mauch-Mani 2004). The role of ABA in this resistance appears to be linked to production of callose, a pathogen-induced β -1,3-glucan thought to function as a physical and chemical barrier to entry of fungal hyphae. BABA-treated plants show an earlier and more pronounced accumulation of callose upon pathogen infection, but callose accumulation is absent in BABA-treated *abi4-1* plants. Furthermore, a mutation in the callose synthase gene *GLUCAN SYNTHASE-LIKE 5* (*GSL5*, also known as *POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANT 4* [*PMR4*] but referred to as *GSL5* in

Current address for A. Wawrzynska: Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Pawin' skiego 5A Str, 02–106 Warsaw, Poland.

Corresponding author: Roger W. Innes; E-mail: rinnes@indiana.edu; Fax: +1.812.855.6082.

the remainder of this paper) blocks BABA-induced callose accumulation and resistance to *Alternaria brassicicola* and *Plectosphaerella cucumerina* (Ton and Mauch-Mani 2004).

The role of ABA signaling and callose synthesis in *edr1*-mediated disease resistance is not yet clear. However, the *edr1* mutant displays enhanced sensitivity to ABA in a seedling germination assay (Wawrzynska et al. 2008). In addition, *edr1*-mediated resistance can be suppressed by a gain-of-function mutation in the *KEEP ON GOING (KEG)* gene (Wawrzynska et al. 2008), which encodes a RING finger E3-ubiquitin ligase thought to target the transcription factor ABSCISIC ACID-INSENSITIVE5 (ABI5) for proteasome-mediated degradation (Stone et al. 2006). Loss-of-function *keg* mutant plants accumulate high levels of ABI5 and are hypersensitive to ABA (Stone et al. 2006). The gain-of-function *keg4* mutation, which suppresses *edr1*-mediated resistance, also suppresses the hypersensitivity of *edr1* to ABA (Wawrzynska et al. 2008). Other phenotypes of the *edr1* mutant are enhanced drought-induced growth inhibition and enhanced ethylene-induced senescence (Frye et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2005), which are consistent with an overall enhanced sensitivity of *edr1* plants to ABA (Wawrzynska et al. 2008). There may also be a link to callose synthesis as, counterintuitively, loss of *GSL5* function renders *Arabidopsis* resistant to powdery mildew infection via a mechanism that highly resembles *edr1*-mediated resistance (Jacobs et al. 2003; Nishimura et al. 2003). Similar to infection of *edr1* plants, infection of *pmr4-1* plants with *G. cichoracearum* induces large lesions that are not observed in wild-type (WT) plants and a more rapid induction of the defense gene *PR-1* (Vogel and Somerville 2000). As observed with *edr1*, *pmr4-1*-mediated resistance can be suppressed by mutations that reduce SA synthesis or SA perception (Nishimura et al. 2003). Despite these phenotypic similarities, the mechanistic links between callose synthesis, ABA signaling, SA signaling, and EDR1 function remain unknown.

To identify additional components of the EDR1 signaling network, we performed a mutant screen to identify both enhancers and suppressors of the *edr1* mutant phenotype (Wawrzynska et al. 2008). Such *edr1* suppressors and enhancers may uncover additional components of the SA regulatory system or pathways that interact with the EDR1 pathway in the regulation of defense responses as well as downstream signaling components. Here, we report the identification of an *edr1* enhancer mutant that shows severe growth retardation, necrotic

lesions, and elevated *PR-1* expression even in the absence of powdery mildew infection. Mapping of this enhancer mutation revealed that it was caused by a premature stop codon in the callose synthase gene *GSL5*, indicating that simultaneous loss of EDR1 and *GSL5* has a synergistic effect on the regulation of defense responses.

RESULTS

The *eed3* mutation causes retarded growth and necrotic lesion phenotypes that are dependent on the *edr1* mutation.

We screened a population of *Arabidopsis* mutants (60,000 M2 seedlings derived from approximately 3,500 ethyl methane sulfonate-mutagenized M1 *edr1* plants) to identify mutations that suppressed or enhanced the *edr1* disease resistance phenotype (Wawrzynska et al. 2008). We identified nine *edr1* enhancers, i.e., plants displaying necrotic lesions prior to powdery mildew infection. The mutant with the severest phenotype was selected for further characterization and was named *eed3* for enhancer of *edr1* mutant 3. In comparison to WT Col-0 and *edr1* plants, *edr1eed3* double mutant plants were dwarfed and displayed large necrotic lesions, mainly on the older leaves starting to appear after 4 to 5 weeks of growth under short-day (9 h) conditions (Fig. 1). Crosses with WT Col-0 and *edr1* plants revealed that the *edr1eed3* mutant phenotype required both mutations. We therefore proceeded with isolation of the *EED3* gene.

Identification of the *EED3* gene.

To map the *eed3* mutation, we crossed the *edr1eed3* mutant to Landsberg *erecta* (*Ler*) and, then, selected F2 plants that displayed a normal *edr1* mutant phenotype (i.e., WT appearance prior to inoculation with powdery mildew and development of lesions after inoculation). These plants were confirmed to be homozygous for *edr1* using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and were then allowed to self. The resulting F3 families were scored for segregation of the dwarfed phenotype. A total of 218 F3 plants (derived from selfing 37 F2 parents homozygous for *edr1*) displaying the dwarf phenotype were selected for DNA isolation and scoring of molecular markers (described below).

Initially, the *eed3* mutation was mapped to a region between the microsatellite markers CIW5 and T7M24 on chromosome 4

Fig. 1. Phenotype of the *edr1eed3* mutant. **A**, *edr1* and *edr1eed3* plants at 5 weeks of growth under short days. **B**, Close-up of the *edr1eed3* mutant. Note the large necroses on the edges of older leaves and small necroses on the younger leaves.

(Fig. 2). To further localize the mutated gene, we created PCR markers at intervals between these two markers using small insertions or deletions that are polymorphic between *Ler* and Col-0 (Jander et al. 2002). Scoring of these additional markers localized the mutation to a 160-kb interval (covering the 3' end of bacterial artificial chromosome [BAC] F9H3 [GenBank accession AF071527] and the 5' end of BAC T5L23 [GenBank accession AC005142]) defined by one recombinant at the left border and 12 recombinants at the right border (Fig. 2). This region harbors 47 genes (from *At4g03390* to *At4g03730*). Among them, we found the *GSL5* gene (*At4g03550*) encoding callose synthase. Loss-of-function mutants of *GSL5* are known to have enhanced resistance to powdery mildew (Jacobs et al. 2003; Nishimura et al. 2003). Therefore, we amplified *GSL5* from the *edr1eed3* mutant and sequenced it. Sequencing revealed a G to A transition in the third exon, which caused a premature stop codon at amino acid 1,559 of this 1,780-amino acid protein. Because the premature stop codon is 24 amino acids upstream of an RXTG motif believed to be involved in UDP-glucose binding in this enzyme family (Inoue et al. 1996; Ostergaard et al. 2002) and eliminates the last five transmembrane domains, it is highly unlikely that the truncated protein would have any callose synthase activity.

Phenotype of *edr1 pmr4-1* double mutant.

To confirm that loss of *GSL5* function enhances the *edr1* phenotype, we crossed *edr1* plants to the previously described *pmr4-1* mutant, which contains a stop codon at amino acid 687, near the beginning of the second exon (Fig. 2) (Nishimura et al. 2003; Vogel and Somerville 2000). In the F2 generation, we observed dwarfed plants with lesions segregating at a ratio of 4:70, which is not significantly different from the expected ratio of 1:15 (chi squared = 0.09, $P = 0.76$). Genotyping of three dwarfed plants revealed that all were homozygous for both *edr1* and *pmr4-1*, while genotyping of three sibling plants with

WT stature showed that none were homozygous for both mutations. Thus, we conclude that the loss of *GSL5* function does, indeed, dramatically enhance the *edr1* phenotype and that *pmr4-1* and *edr1* mutations have a synergistic effect.

Powdery mildew growth is inhibited in *edr1eed3* plants.

To further characterize *edr1eed3* double mutants, we looked at the development of *G. cichoracearum* and host cell death using trypan-blue staining. We also examined callose deposition during powdery mildew infection with aniline-blue staining. Spores of *G. cichoracearum* germinated and produced appressorial germ tubes on WT Col-0, *edr1*, and *edr1eed3* leaves 1 day after inoculation (data not shown). By 3 days after inoculation, *G. cichoracearum* developed extensive branched hyphae on both Col-0 and *edr1* leaves, while fungal growth was significantly inhibited on leaves of *edr1eed3* (data not shown). By 5 days, extensive hyphae nearly covered both Col-0 and *edr1* leaves but not *edr1eed3* leaves (Fig. 3A). Many conidiophores formed on Col-0 leaves, while significantly fewer formed on *edr1* leaves, consistent with our previous analyses of the *edr1* mutant (Frye and Innes 1998). These observations demonstrated that the growth of *G. cichoracearum* was affected at a late stage of the infection process in *edr1* mutant but at an earlier stage in the *edr1eed3* mutant. The *edr1* mutant displayed large patches of dead mesophyll cells 5 days after infection (Fig. 3B), while *edr1eed3* plants displayed large necroses even before infection. This massive mesophyll cell death prior to infection was not observed on WT Col-0 leaves nor in *pmr4-1* mutant leaves (Nishimura et al. 2003; Vogel and Somerville 2000).

Both WT Col-0 and *edr1* plants displayed punctate staining of callose in the cell walls of epidermal cells 5 days after inoculation (Fig. 3C); however, only *edr1* showed callose staining in large clumps of mesophyll cells (Fig. 3). This is consistent with our previous results (Frye and Innes 1998). As expected, no

Fig. 2. Positional cloning of *EED3*. Vertical lines indicate the positions of markers that defined the *eed3* genetic interval. Fractions indicate the number of recombination events identified between the indicated markers and the *EED3* gene out of the total number of chromosomes scored. Bacterial artificial chromosome clones spanning the region to which *eed3* was mapped are indicated. Sequencing of candidate genes in this interval revealed a G to A transition in *At4g03550* (*GSL5*), resulting in a premature stop codon at tryptophan 1,559. Also shown is the genomic structure of the *GSL5* gene, with exons indicated by black boxes and positions of the *pmr4-1* and *eed3* mutations indicated by the * symbol.

callose deposition was observed in the leaves of *edr1eed3* (Fig. 3C).

GSL5 negatively regulates defense gene expression.

Because the *pmr4-1* mutant displays a more rapid induction of SA-inducible genes upon pathogen attack (Vogel and Somerville 2000) and because mutants with constitutively elevated SA levels typically have retarded growth (Andreasson et al. 2005; Jirage et al. 2001; Mateo et al. 2006; Mauch et al. 2001), we decided to analyze the *edr1eed3* double mutant for expression of defense genes, to see if these were constitutively elevated. Specifically, we performed quantitative reverse transcriptase (qRT)-PCR analysis on *PR-1* (At2g14610, a marker for SA-dependent gene expression [Bowling et al. 1994]), *PBS3* (At5g13320, involved in regulation of SA levels [Nobuta et al. 2007]), *ICS1* (At1g74710, involved in SA biosynthesis [Wildermuth et al. 2001]), *AtERF1* (At4g17500, an APETALA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR transcription factor family member that is strongly inducible by methyl jasmonate [JA] [Nemhauser et al. 2006] and is upregulated in the *edr1* mutant [Wawrzynska et al. 2008]), *SRG1-like* (At4g10500, a stress-induced ascorbate oxidase upregulated in *pmr4-1* after powdery mildew infection [Nishimura et al. 2003]), and an endochitinase (At2g43570, also upregulated in *pmr4-1* following powdery mildew infection [Nishimura et al. 2003] and by the SA-responsive transcription factor WRKY70

[Li et al. 2004]). RNA was isolated from rosette leaves of 4.5-week-old plants grown in the absence of pathogens under 9-h days, which was approximately 1 week after lesions began appearing on *edr1eed3* plants. All six of these defense genes were dramatically elevated in *edr1eed3* plants compared with WT Col-0, *edr1*, and *pmr4-1* plants (Fig. 4). This result suggests that callose or callose synthase acts to suppress both SA- and JA-induced defense signaling in *edr1* mutant plants and that loss of both *GSL5* and *EDR1* has a synergistic effect on both the SA and JA signaling pathways.

DISCUSSION

Arabidopsis contains a family of 12 putative callose synthase genes (*GSL1* through *GSL12*) (Enns et al. 2005). Very limited information is available regarding the biological functions of individual GSL family members and the role of callose in plant development. Presumably, each member of the family mediates the synthesis of callose either in different tissues or under different environmental and developmental conditions or both (Richmond and Somerville 2000; Verma and Hong 2001). *GSL5* appears to be the main biosynthetic enzyme responsible for callose deposition in response to biotic, abiotic, and chemical stresses (Nishimura et al. 2003). However, *GSL5* transcript can be detected in all plant organs, including root, rosette leaf, stems, and flowers, and is apparently partially

Fig. 3. Response of *Arabidopsis* wild-type (WT) Col-0, *edr1*, and *edr1eed3* plants to *Golovinomyces cichoracearum*. **A**, Fungal hyphae growing on the surface of WT Col-0, *edr1*, and *edr1eed3* leaves 5 days after infection and stained with trypan blue. Note extensive hyphae and conidia produced on WT Col-0 leaves 5 days after infection but very few conidia on *edr1* leaves and limited hyphae growth on *edr1eed3* leaves. **B**, Extensive mesophyll cell death in *edr1* and *edr1eed3* mutant leaves 5 days after infection revealed by trypan-blue staining. **C**, Aniline-blue staining for callose deposition in mesophyll cell walls of WT Col-0, *edr1*, and *edr1eed3* leaves 5 days after inoculation.

redundant in function with *GSL1* during normal plant development (Enns et al. 2005). Plants heterozygous for a *gsl1* mutation and homozygous for a *gsl5* mutation are dwarfed and nearly infertile. The infertility is caused, in part, by nonviability of *gsl1gsl5* pollen, which lack a callose wall between the microspores of the pollen tetrad (Enns et al. 2005). Based on our experiments and those of Nishimura and associates (2003), we conclude that, during normal plant development, *GSL5* also functions as a negative regulator of SA and JA biosynthesis or signaling or both.

A *gsl5* single mutant (*pmr4-1*) grows at the same rate as WT and does not outwardly appear to be stressed, although, when grown under short-day conditions, leaves are epinastic (Vogel and Somerville 2000). Unlike previously identified classes of *Arabidopsis* disease-resistant mutants, *cpr*, *lsd*, and *acd* (Bowling et al. 1994; Dietrich et al. 1994), *gsl5* plants do not constitutively express *PR-1*. However, when a *gsl5* mutation was combined with the *edr1* mutation, we observed a strong induction of the SA biosynthetic gene *ICS1* and the SA-inducible gene *PR-1* (Fig. 4). Thus, we conclude that the EDR1 kinase must function in part to negatively regulate SA biosynthesis in the *gsl5* mutant background. When infected with powdery mildew, *gsl5* mutants develop lesions reminiscent of

hypersensitive cell death (Vogel and Somerville 2000), similar to those observed for the *edr1* mutant (Frye and Innes 1998). Also like the *edr1* mutant, blocking the SA signaling pathway in a *gsl5* mutant suppresses the lesion phenotype and the enhanced resistance to powdery mildew (Nishimura et al. 2003). This indicates that the enhanced resistance of *gsl5* as well as of *edr1* is caused by a hyperactive SA response and is not a direct result of the loss of callose in *gsl5* mutants or kinase action in *edr1* mutants. Combining *gsl5* and *edr1* mutations has a synergistic effect on SA signaling, which suggests that *GSL5* and EDR1 may negatively regulate SA signaling by separate pathways.

GSL5 mRNA was shown to accumulate in SA-treated *Arabidopsis* (Ostergaard et al. 2002) as well as in the *Arabidopsis mpk4* mutant, which exhibits constitutive systemic acquired resistance and high levels of SA (Petersen et al. 2000). Nishimura and associates (2003) hypothesized that rapid callose deposition during the early stages of infection initiated by SA may then, in turn, repress later defense responses that may potentially be harmful for the plant. However, our data suggest that callose deposition may not be the primary mechanism of defense gene suppression by *GSL5*. We conclude this because, in uninfected *edr1* mutant plants, little to no callose is detect-

Fig. 4. Synergistic effect of *edr1* and *eed3* mutations on both salicylic acid- and jasmonate-inducible defense gene expression. Quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction analysis was performed on the indicated defense genes in wild-type Col-0, *edr1*, *pmr4-1*, and *edr1eed3* mutants grown for 4.5 weeks under 9-h days. Total RNA was isolated from leaves. Values are the average with standard deviation of three technical replicates and are normalized relative to the *TUA3* alpha tubulin gene. Numbers above the *edr1eed3* bar indicate the fold increase in expression relative to expression in the *edr1* or *pmr4-1* single mutant (the mutant with the higher expression was used as the denominator). The whole experiment was repeated three times with similar results. *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative locus numbers for the indicated genes are: *PR-1*, At2g14610; *PBS3*, At5g13320; *ICS1*, At1g74710; *AtERF1*, At4g17500; *SRG1-like*, At4g10500; endochitinase, At2g43570.

able by aniline-blue staining and there is very low-level expression of *PR-1*, yet loss of *GSL5* function in the *edr1* mutant background leads to high-level expression of *PR-1*, even in the absence of pathogen infection (Fig. 4). Since there was no detectable callose in the *edr1* mutant leaves prior to infection, it seems unlikely that callose could have been responsible for suppressing *PR-1* expression in these same leaves. If callose is not directly responsible for suppression of SA signaling in the *edr1* mutant, it would suggest that *GSL5* has functions in addition to stress-induced callose biosynthesis. As mentioned above, *GSL5* likely works in concert with *GSL1* during normal plant development and likely contributes to normal cellular homeostasis (Enns et al. 2005). We speculate that it is this disruption in normal cellular homeostasis, when combined with loss of *EDR1* function, that leads to massive induction of SA signaling.

Surprisingly, we also observed highly elevated expression of the JA-inducible gene *AtERF1* in the *edr1eed3* double mutant (Fig. 4). This is surprising because SA and JA signaling pathways often function antagonistically to each other (Kunkel and Brooks 2002) and the *pmr4-1* mutant has been shown to produce less JA than WT plants during infection by *Alternaria brassicicola* (Flors et al. 2008). However, we have previously observed that *edr1* single mutant plants have modestly elevated expression of *AtERF1* as well as enhanced responsiveness to exogenous ABA (Wawrzynska et al. 2008), suggesting that *EDR1* negatively regulates multiple hormone signaling pathways. We hypothesize that loss of *GSL5* somehow induces SA and JA signaling that, in the absence of *EDR1* function, reaches deleterious levels. In this context, it is noteworthy that overexpression of *AtERF1* is known to cause dwarfing in *Arabidopsis* (Pre et al. 2008), as does constitutive elevation of SA signaling (Bowling et al. 1994); thus, the severely dwarfed stature of the *edr1eed3* mutant may be a consequence of elevating both pathways.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant growth conditions.

Arabidopsis thaliana plants were grown in growth rooms under a 9-h light and 15-h dark cycle at 23°C as described previously (Frye and Innes 1998).

Pathogen infections.

G. cichoracearum UCSC1 was maintained on hypersusceptible *A. thaliana pad4-2* mutant plants. Plants were inoculated between 4 and 6 weeks of age by gently brushing the leaves of diseased and healthy plants together to pass the conidia (asexual spores).

Genetic and physical mapping of *eed3*.

Genetic mapping was accomplished using an F2 population derived from a cross between the *edr1eed3* mutant (Columbia genotype, Col-0) and Landsberg *erecta* (Ler). F2 seeds were planted and scored for disease resistance to *G. cichoracearum* as described above. Plants displaying an *edr1* phenotype were confirmed to be homozygous for the *edr1* mutation, using a PCR-based assay, and were then allowed to self to generate F3 families. Progeny from 37 F3 families were planted and scored for the *eed3* phenotype (dwarfed plants with lesions). Genomic DNA was isolated from a total of 218 dwarfed F3 plants and was scored with published microsatellite markers. This initial mapping localized the *eed3* mutation between molecular markers CIW5 and T7M24 on chromosome 4. New molecular markers at intervals between these two markers were developed using the Monsanto Col-0 and Ler polymorphism database (primer sequences available upon request). These analyses

localized the *eed3* mutation to a 160-kb interval on chromosome 4, spanning *Arabidopsis* BAC clones F9H3 and T5L23.

DNA sequencing.

The *GSL5* gene was amplified from the *eed3* mutant by PCR and was directly sequenced. All sequencing reactions were performed using BigDye terminator kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) and were separated on an ABI 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Analysis of fungal infection, callose deposition, and dead cells in *Arabidopsis* leaves.

Fungal structures and dead plant cells were stained using alcoholic trypan blue (Koch and Slusarenko 1990). Callose deposition was detected by aniline-blue staining, as described by Adam and Somerville (1996). Stained leaves were mounted under coverslips with 50% glycerol and were observed with a Nikon e800 microscope.

qRT-PCR analysis.

Plants were grown under 9-h days, and RNA was isolated from leaves of WT Col-0, *edr1*, *pmr4-1*, and *edr1eed3* at 4.5 weeks. Total RNA was isolated using the Qiagen RNeasy kit and was treated with DNase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.) to remove DNA contamination. The High Capacity reverse transcriptase kit (Applied Biosystems) was utilized to obtain cDNA, and the samples were purified with Qiagen QIAquick PCR purification kit. qRT-PCR was performed using primers for *PR-1* (At2g14610, 5'-CATGGGACCTACGCCTACC-3' and 5'-TTCTTCCCCTCGAAAGCTCAA-3'), *PBS3* (At5g13320, 5'-ACTGGATTCTTGCTAAGTTCTG-3' and 5'-CACACCTTT CACATGCTTGTT-3'), *ICS1* (At1g74710, 5'-AAACACGC CTGAGAGACTATT-3' and 5'-TCTTTCGGACTGGTTAGT AAGT-3'), *AtERF1* (At4g17500, 5'-GAGATTGCGGTTGAA AGAA-3' and 5'-GTCGGAAGACGAAGAAGACG-3'), *SRG1-like* (At4g10500, 5'-GACCAATGCAGGTCTATAAG-3' and 5'-GGGAAATAGAAAGTCGGAAT-3'), and endochitinase (At2g43570, 5'-AAGAAACAGGGTTCATGTGT-3' and 5'-ACTCTGGTTTCTCTGTGTGTCG-3'). An alpha-tubulin gene, *TUA3* (At5g19770, 5'-GTATTGAACGCATCGTGTG-3' and 5'-TGGGAGCTTTACTGTCTCGAA-3'), was used as a control for normalizing the amount of cDNA. Takara SYBR Premix Extaq was used for all qRT-PCR reactions and samples analyzed on an Mx3000P qPCR instrument (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center at Ohio State for providing *pmr4-1* mutant seed (ABRC stock number CS3858). This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institute of Health (grant number R01 GM063761 to R.W. I.).

LITERATURE CITED

- Adam, L., and Somerville, S. C. 1996. Genetic characterization of five powdery mildew disease resistance loci in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 9:341-356.
- Andreasson, E., Jenkins, T., Brodersen, P., Thorgrimsen, S., Petersen, N. H., Zhu, S., Qiu, J. L., Micheelsen, P., Rocher, A., Petersen, M., Newman, M. A., Bjorn Nielsen, H., Hirt, H., Somssich, I., Mattsson, O., and Mundy, J. 2005. The MAP kinase substrate MKS1 is a regulator of plant defense responses. *Embo J.* 24:2579-2589.
- Bowling, S. A., Guo, A., Cao, H., Gordon, A. S., Klessig, D. F., and Dong, X. 1994. A mutation in *Arabidopsis* that leads to constitutive expression of systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell* 6:1845-1857.
- Cao, H., Glazebrook, J., Clarke, J. D., Volko, S., and Dong, X. 1997. The *Arabidopsis NPR1* gene that controls systemic acquired resistance encodes a novel protein containing ankyrin repeats. *Cell* 88:57-63.

- Conrath, U., Pieterse, C. M., and Mauch-Mani, B. 2002. Priming in plant-pathogen interactions. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7:210-216.
- Delaney, T. P., Uknes, S., Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Weymann, K., Negrotto, D., Gaffney, T., Gut-Rella, M., Kessmann, H., Ward, E., and Ryals, J. 1994. A central role of salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. *Science* 266:1247-1250.
- Dietrich, R. A., Delaney, T. P., Uknes, S. J., Ward, E. R., Ryals, J. A., and Dangel, J. L. 1994. Arabidopsis mutants simulating disease resistance response. *Cell* 77:565-577.
- Enns, L. C., Kanaoka, M. M., Torii, K. U., Comai, L., Okada, K., and Cleland, R. E. 2005. Two callose synthases, GSL1 and GSL5, play an essential and redundant role in plant and pollen development and in fertility. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 58:333-349.
- Flors, V., Ton, J., van Doorn, R., Jakab, G., Garcia-Agustin, P., and Mauch-Mani, B. 2008. Interplay between JA, SA and ABA signalling during basal and induced resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* and *Alternaria brassicicola*. *Plant J.* 54:81-92.
- Frye, C. A., and Innes, R. W. 1998. An Arabidopsis mutant with enhanced resistance to powdery mildew. *Plant Cell* 10:947-956.
- Frye, C. A., Tang, D., and Innes, R. W. 2001. Negative regulation of defense responses in plants by a conserved MAPKK kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98:373-378.
- Glazebrook, J., Chen, W., Estes, B., Chang, H. S., Nawrath, C., Metraux, J. P., Zhu, T., and Katagiri, F. 2003. Topology of the network integrating salicylate and jasmonate signal transduction derived from global expression phenotyping. *Plant J.* 34:217-228.
- Inoue, S. B., Qadota, H., Arisawa, M., Anraku, Y., Watanabe, T., and Ohya, Y. 1996. Signaling toward yeast 1,3-beta-glucan synthesis. *Cell Struct. Funct.* 21:395-402.
- Jacobs, A. K., Lipka, V., Burton, R. A., Panstruga, R., Strizhov, N., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Fincher, G. B. 2003. An Arabidopsis callose synthase, GSL5, is required for wound and papillary callose formation. *Plant Cell* 15:2503-2513.
- Jander, G., Norris, S. R., Rounsley, S. D., Bush, D. F., Levin, I. M., and Last, R. L. 2002. Arabidopsis map-based cloning in the post-genome era. *Plant Physiol.* 129:440-450.
- Jirage, D., Zhou, N., Cooper, B., Clarke, J. D., Dong, X., and Glazebrook, J. 2001. Constitutive salicylic acid-dependent signaling in *cpr1* and *cpr6* mutants requires PAD4. *Plant J.* 26:395-407.
- Kieber, J. J., Rothenberg, M., Roman, G., Feldmann, K. A., and Ecker, J. R. 1993. *CTR1*, a negative regulator of the ethylene response pathway in Arabidopsis, encodes a member of the raf family of protein kinases. *Cell* 72:427-441.
- Koch, E., and Slusarenko, A. 1990. *Arabidopsis* is susceptible to infection by a downy mildew fungus. *Plant Cell* 2:437-445.
- Kunkel, B. N., and Brooks, D. M. 2002. Cross talk between signaling pathways in pathogen defense. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 5:325-331.
- Li, J., Brader, G., and Palva, E. T. 2004. The WRKY70 transcription factor: A node of convergence for jasmonate-mediated and salicylate-mediated signals in plant defense. *Plant Cell* 16:319-331.
- Mateo, A., Funck, D., Muhlenbock, P., Kular, B., Mullineaux, P. M., and Karpinski, S. 2006. Controlled levels of salicylic acid are required for optimal photosynthesis and redox homeostasis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57:1795-1807.
- Mauch, F., Mauch-Mani, B., Gaille, C., Kull, B., Haas, D., and Reimann, C. 2001. Manipulation of salicylate content in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by the expression of an engineered bacterial salicylate synthase. *Plant J.* 25:67-77.
- Nawrath, C., and Metraux, J. P. 1999. Salicylic acid induction-deficient mutants of Arabidopsis express *PR-2* and *PR-5* and accumulate high levels of camalexin after pathogen inoculation. *Plant Cell* 11:1393-1404.
- Nemhauser, J. L., Hong, F., and Chory, J. 2006. Different plant hormones regulate similar processes through largely nonoverlapping transcriptional responses. *Cell* 126:467-475.
- Nishimura, M. T., Stein, M., Hou, B. H., Vogel, J. P., Edwards, H., and Somerville, S. C. 2003. Loss of a callose synthase results in salicylic acid-dependent disease resistance. *Science* 301:969-972.
- Nobuta, K., Okrent, R. A., Stoutemyer, M., Rodibaugh, N., Kempema, L., Wildermuth, M. C., and Innes, R. W. 2007. The GH3 acyl adenylase family member PBS3 regulates salicylic acid-dependent defense responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 144:1144-1156.
- Ostergaard, L., Petersen, M., Mattsson, O., and Mundy, J. 2002. An Arabidopsis callose synthase. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 49:559-566.
- Petersen, M., Brodersen, P., Naested, H., Andreasson, E., Lindhart, U., Johansen, B., Nielsen, H. B., Lacy, M., Austin, M. J., Parker, J. E., Sharma, S. B., Klessig, D. F., Martienssen, R., Mattsson, O., Jensen, A. B., and Mundy, J. 2000. Arabidopsis map kinase 4 negatively regulates systemic acquired resistance. *Cell* 103:1111-1120.
- Pre, M., Atallah, M., Champion, A., De Vos, M., Pieterse, C. M., and Memelink, J. 2008. The AP2/ERF-domain transcription factor ORA59 integrates jasmonic acid and ethylene signals in plant defense. *Plant Physiol.* 147:1347-1357.
- Richmond, T. A., and Somerville, C. R. 2000. The cellulose synthase superfamily. *Plant Physiol.* 124:495-498.
- Shirasu, K., Nakajima, H., Rajasekhar, V. K., Dixon, R. A., and Lamb, C. 1997. Salicylic acid potentiates an agonist-dependent gain control that amplifies pathogen signals in the activation of defense mechanisms. *Plant Cell* 9:261-270.
- Stone, S. L., Williams, L. A., Farmer, L. M., Vierstra, R. D., and Callis, J. 2006. KEEP ON GOING, a RING E3 ligase essential for Arabidopsis growth and development, is involved in abscisic acid signaling. *Plant Cell* 18:3415-3428.
- Tang, D., Christiansen, K. M., and Innes, R. W. 2005. Regulation of plant disease resistance, stress responses, cell death, and ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis by the EDR1 protein kinase. *Plant Physiol.* 138:1018-1026.
- Ton, J., and Mauch-Mani, B. 2004. Beta-amino-butyric acid-induced resistance against necrotrophic pathogens is based on ABA-dependent priming for callose. *Plant J.* 38:119-130.
- Ton, J., Jakab, G., Toquin, V., Flors, V., Iavicoli, A., Maeder, M. N., Metraux, J. P., and Mauch-Mani, B. 2005. Dissecting the beta-aminobutyric acid-induced priming phenomenon in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 17:987-999.
- Tsuda, K., Sato, M., Glazebrook, J., Cohen, J. D., and Katagiri, F. 2008. Interplay between MAMP-triggered and SA-mediated defense responses. *Plant J.* 53:763-775.
- van Hulten, M., Pelser, M., van Loon, L. C., Pieterse, C. M., and Ton, J. 2006. Costs and benefits of priming for defense in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103:5602-5607.
- Verma, D. P., and Hong, Z. 2001. Plant callose synthase complexes. *Plant Mol Biol* 47:693-701.
- Vernooij, B., Uknes, S., Ward, E., and Ryals, J. 1994. Salicylic acid as a signal molecule in plant-pathogen interactions. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 6:275-279.
- Vogel, J., and Somerville, S. 2000. Isolation and characterization of powdery mildew-resistant Arabidopsis mutants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97:1897-1902.
- Wawrzynska, A., Christiansen, K. M., Lan, Y., Rodibaugh, N. L., and Innes, R. W. 2008. Powdery mildew resistance conferred by loss of the ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE1 protein kinase is suppressed by a missense mutation in KEEP ON GOING, a regulator of abscisic acid signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 148:1510-1522.
- Wildermuth, M. C., Dewdney, J., Wu, G., and Ausubel, F. M. 2001. Isochorismate synthase is required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant defence. *Nature* 414:562-565.

AUTHOR-RECOMMENDED INTERNET RESOURCE

Monsanto Col-0 and Ler polymorphism database:
www.arabidopsis.org/Cereon/index.jsp