Curtovirus Infection of Chile Pepper in New Mexico

R. Creamer, H. Hubble, and A. Lewis, Department of Entomology, Plant Pathology, and Weed Science, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces 88003

ABSTRACT

Creamer, R., Hubble, H., and Lewis, A. 2005. Curtovirus infection of chile pepper in New Mexico. *Plant Dis.* 89:480-486.

Chile pepper-producing areas of southern New Mexico (NM) were surveyed during 2001 and 2002 to identify which curtoviruses were infecting chile peppers and to determine the distribution of the viruses among fields. Plants with symptoms resembling *Beet curly top virus* (BCTV) were collected from 10 fields and tested for the presence of curtoviruses by polymerase chain reaction using primers designed to detect a portion of the coat protein (*cp*) gene, and tested for specific curtoviruses using primers designed to detect a portion of the replication-associated protein (*rep*) gene. All amplicons were sequenced and compared with curtoviruses for which complete sequences were available. Amplification was successful from 79.5% of the chile pepper samples. Analysis of the CP sequences showed that more than 92% of the NM field isolates shared high (98 to 100%) amino acid identity with well-characterized curtoviruses. However, eight NM isolates displayed a distinct CP sequence that shared only 86 to 88% amino acid identity with those curtoviruses. Comparison of the *rep* gene sequence showed that 18.5% of the NM field isolates tested shared 98 to 100% amino acid identity with *Beet mild curly top virus* (BMCTV), 48% shared 96 to 97% amino acid identity with *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTV), and 32% shared 93 to 97% amino acid identity with BMCTV and BSCTV. Although the distribution of curtoviruses was not identical among all fields sampled, little or no spatial patterns were found among the field isolates. This study revealed the complexity of curtoviruses in a single crop and limited geographical area.

Beet curly top virus (BCTV) has caused significant problems to irrigated agriculture in the western United States since 1899 (7). In New Mexico, curly top disease is sporadic, but caused substantial losses to chile pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in 3 of the past 10 years. During the 2001 and 2003 growing seasons, many fields in southwestern New Mexico experienced losses of 30 to 50 and 20 to 30%, respectively (8).

Curtoviruses infect a broad range of hosts that includes crops and weeds from many plant families (2). In New Mexico, curly top symptoms have been described in chile pepper, tomato, bean, spinach, and cucurbits. Severe stunting symptoms typically occur when chile pepper is infected with BCTV. Virus-infected plants are often yellow and, if infected early, do not produce fruit.

BCTV is transmitted in a persistent circulative manner by the beet leafhopper *Circulifer tenellus* (Baker) (2). In California, leafhoppers are believed to acquire virus from weeds on which they overwin-

ter in the coastal foothills. During the spring in California, adult leafhoppers migrate into agricultural areas where they transmit the virus to susceptible crops. The migratory patterns of the beet leafhopper have not been as well studied in southern New Mexico. However, as occurs in California, the leafhoppers are thought to overwinter on weeds and build up to high numbers in the summer (8).

BCTV is a monopartite geminivirus and the type member of the genus *Curtovirus* in the family *Geminiviridae*. Viruses in this group are characterized by circular single-stranded (ss)DNA genomes of approximately 3.0 kb encapsidated within twin spherical particles. Many strains of BCTV initially were distinguished on the basis of differential symptoms in sugar beet (12); however, these strains were not differentiated genetically. In sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.), BCTV primarily exists as three strains, CFH (16), Worland (19, 21), or California/Logan (15), as well as genotypic variants of these strains (20). The California/Logan strain has been identified only from breeding collections (21). Nucleotide sequence comparisons have shown that the California and Logan isolates share more than 95% similarity, while CFH shares approximately 82% similarity with California and Logan isolates (21). Nucleotide sequence identity between CFH and Worland is 80%. Given the differences between the CFH, Worland, and California/Logan strains, Stenger (18) proposed that they be designated as sepa-

rate species with the names *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTV), *Beet mild curly top virus* (BMCTV), and BCTV, respectively. Another *Curtovirus*, *Horseradish curly top virus* (HrCTV), is thought to be a natural recombinant with a whitefly-vectored *Begomovirus* (13). Recently, an additional *Curtovirus*, *Spinach curly top virus* (SCTV) was reported infecting spinach in Texas (1).

Curtoviruses contain seven open reading frames (ORFs) designated V1 to V3 (encoded by the virion or sense strand) and C1 to C4 (encoded by the complementary or antisense strand). ORF V1 encodes the coat protein (CP), which is required for infectivity, packaging, and leafhopper transmission. The *cp* is also one of the most highly conserved genes among curtoviruses (19), as well as among geminiviruses in general (4). V2 has been associated with the production and accumulation of viral ssDNA (11). ORF C1 encodes the replication-associated protein (REP) (6), which is required for BCTV replication, and acts by binding to DNA sequences in the viral intergenic region (10). The REP protein contains regions of varying degrees of conservation among curtoviruses (9), including a hyper-variable region near the amino terminus. Studies involving gene chimeras have determined that the *rep* genes are interchangeable only among certain curtoviruses (18).

Surveys of *Curtovirus* isolates infecting sugar beets in Idaho (21), Texas (17), and the western United States have been conducted (20). These studies found primarily the CFH or Worland strains, with some mixed infections of the two strains. A limited survey of BCTV strains in sugar beet and pepper in one area of New Mexico found that CFH was limited to sugar beet, whereas pepper was infected only with the Worland strain (20). A small survey of BCTV in weeds in New Mexico showed that Worland was predominant, although CFH also was present (8).

This work was conducted to determine which curtoviruses infect chile pepper in southern New Mexico. In addition, we studied the diversity among isolates from different fields collected during two field seasons to determine if there were spatial patterns in *Curtovirus* infection of chile pepper in New Mexico.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of pepper samples. Plant samples were collected from 10 chile pep-

Corresponding author: R. Creamer
E-mail: creamer@taipan.nmsu.edu

This work was supported by the New Mexico State University Agricultural Experiment Station.

Accepted for publication 15 December 2004.

DOI: 10.1094/PD-89-0480
© 2005 The American Phytopathological Society

per fields located in Luna and Doña Ana Counties in southern New Mexico and two fields during the 2001 and 2002 growing seasons, respectively. Samples were composed of randomly collected leaves from symptomatic pepper plants collected in June and July 2001 and August 2002. Samples collected in 2002 were from chile pepper fields only in the vicinity of those sampled in 2001 because the same fields were not planted in pepper the second year of the study.

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction. Leaf tissue (5 g) was ground in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle. Powdered material was either stored at -20°C or immediately extracted. DNA was extracted essentially as described by Palmer et al. (14). Extracted viral nucleic acids were stored in Tris-EDTA, pH 7.0, at -20°C .

All samples initially were tested for curtoviruses by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers (CP3) that amplify a portion of the CP and V2 encoding regions

as described previously (8). A modified set of primers (BCTV CP4f, 5'-CAG-TATCGACCAGTTGTTT-3' homologous to nucleotides 483 to 501 of BSCTV-CFH, and BCTV CP6r, 5'-CTCTTCGAATACGATAAGTAG-3' complementary to nucleotides 1,102 to 1,122 of BSCTV-CFH) were used to amplify a 576-bp fragment for sequencing. All samples also were tested for *Curtovirus* identity using primers that amplify a 488-bp portion of the *rep* region (BCTV rep1f, 5'-AC-CACCTTTAATGACACGT-3' homologous to nucleotides 2,043 to 2,061 of BSCTV-CFH, and BCTV rep2r, 5'-GCAT-TAAATGCAGGTAATGCA-3' complementary to nucleotides 2,511 to 2,531 of BSCTV-CFH). These primers also were used for DNA sequencing.

PCR reactions were carried out in a 50- μl reaction mixture containing 1 $\times$ PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 0.001% gelatin), 200 μM each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl_2 , 500 μM each primer, 4 U Taq DNA polymerase, and 1 μl of purified

DNA. PCR amplification consisted of 33 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 51°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 90 s, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 min. Amplification products were separated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel and the bands visualized with ethidium bromide.

Sequencing, cloning, and phylogenetic analyses of amplicons. PCR products were precipitated directly to remove excess primers and nucleotides using isopropanol (100 μl of 90% isopropanol/0.75 M ammonium acetate). Sequencing was carried out on the PCR products using the Big Dye version 3.1 terminator system and an ABI 3100 sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

To ensure that the sequences obtained were not artifacts from sequencing a mixture of PCR products, selected amplicons were inserted in a pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega Corp., Madison, WI) by TA cloning and the resultant plasmids introduced into JM109 cells. The plasmid inserts were amplified and sequenced as above.

	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
BSCTV	MRKYTRNTYTMSQKRKVNPSAWPKKRRTTISRKYQWRRPVTKNRTLKLKMYDDMLGAGGIGSTISNNGMIT							
DBNW166	*****							
LRMNW2620	*****T*****P*****							
DRSE166	*****T*****T*****P*****							
DBNW1620	*****R*****T*****P*****							
BMCTV-W4	*****T*****P*****							
LWE566	*****T*****P*****							
BCTV	*****T*****							
DBSE266	**R**G**Q*****GKF**V*****K*S*T*****K*****S*****							
SCTV	*****Q*****APKFQTVWP*K*K*MT*K*****K**Q**S*****S*****							
	80	90	100	110	120	130	140	
BSCTV	MLNNYVQGIGDSQRAKNVTVTKHLKFDMALMGSSPFWETPNYMTQYHWI IIDKDVGSVFPTKLSSIFDIPDNG							
DBNW166	*****							
LRMNW2620	*****SN*****							
DRSE166	*****N*****							
DBNW1620	*****N*****							
BMCTV-W4	*****N*****							
LWE566	*****N*****							
BCTV	*****R*****Q*****							
DBSE266	*****ST**L*****A*****D**D*****S**							
SCTV	*****ST*L*****G*****V*****D**DT*****P**							
	150							
BSCTV	QAMPSTYRIRR							
DBNW166	*****							
LRMNW2620	*****							
DRSE166	*****							
DBNW1620	*****							
BMCTV-W4	*****							
LWE566	*****							
BCTV	*****							
DBSE266	*****							
SCTV	*****							

Fig. 1. Comparison of the first 157 amino acids of the coat protein (CP) of selected New Mexico chile pepper *Curtovirus* field isolates with previously characterized curtoviruses available in GenBank. Asterisks denote amino acid residues common with *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTV), (U02311). BCTV = *Beet curly top virus* (AY379637); BMCTV = *Beet mild curly top virus*, (AY13467); SCTV = *Spinach curly top virus*, (AY548948). Each field isolate shown is an example of a group of chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates as listed in Table 1.

Nucleic acid and derived amino acid sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW with those of the following curtoviruses obtained from GenBank: BCTV (AY379637), BSCTV (U02311), BMCTV-W4 (AY13467), BMCTV-Worland (U56975), and SCTV (AY548948). Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences was done using PAUP version 4.0 b (24) using parsimony. Support for the trees was determined by analysis of 1,000 bootstrap replications. *Potato yellow mosaic virus* (GenBank accession AY126610) was used as an outgroup to root the tree.

RESULTS

Detection of curly top virus by PCR. Curtoviruses were amplified from 201 of 253 (79.5%) chile pepper field samples collected over the two seasons using a combination of primer sets. The CP3 primer set failed to amplify from 11 sam-

ples that were successfully amplified using the rep1f-rep2r primer set, which prompted the switch to the CP4f-CP6r primer set. These primers amplified an additional 28 samples. In 2001, curtoviruses were identified in 87.1% of the chile pepper samples; whereas, in 2002, curtoviruses were amplified from only 33.3% of the samples tested.

PCR amplicons of v2-*cp* from 107 New Mexico chile pepper samples were sequenced and aligned with the homologous sequences from well-characterized curtoviruses in GenBank. An alignment of the corresponding amino acids from several of these samples is shown in Figures 1 and 2. For 99 of the field isolates (represented by isolates DBNW166, LRMNW2620, DRSE166, DBNM1620, and LWES566; Fig. 1 and Table 1), the first 157 amino acids (aa) from CP shared 96 to 100% identity with BMCTV-W4 and BSCTV

(GenBank #U02311). Most of the 99 isolates shared at least 98% amino acid identity with BMCTV-W4 or BSCTV. The New Mexico isolates shared 97 to 100% amino acid identity with each other, varying by only 1 to 2 aa. Some sequence variants were more prevalent, with nine other isolates containing the amino acid sequence found in LWES566 (Table 1).

The remaining eight isolates (DBSW666, DBSE566, DC7620, DRNE1A66, AG3E276, LJNW176, LS266, and DBSE266, with the latter as an example in Table 1 and Fig. 1) were highly similar to each other at the nucleotide level (99 to 100% identity). However, these isolates shared only 86 to 88% amino acid identity with BMCTV-W4 and BSCTV.

There also was variability found within the last 87 aa (no. 40 to 126) of the V2 protein (Fig. 2). The same 99 isolates mentioned above shared 93 to 100% amino

	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110
BSCTV	EAL	TLEEGAVFLQ	FQKEVKLLRR	KVNFHRKCS	LYEEIYKKY	VYNVPEKK	GESSKCV	AEEDDYDFE
DBNW166	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
LRMNW2620	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
DRSE166	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
DBNW1620	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
BMCTV-W4	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
LWE566	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
BCTV	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
DBSE266	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
SCTV	*	P*****E*****	C*****P*****	H*****Y*****				
		120						
BSCTV	ETC	DKKQDSEV	KDV					
DBNW166	*	*	*					
LRMNW2620	*	*	*					
DRSE166	*	*	*					
DBNW1620	*	*	*					
BMCTV-W4	*	*	*					
LWE566	*	*	*					
BCTV	*	*	*					
DBSE266	*	Q**VP	*					
SCTV	*	A**E						

Fig. 2. Comparison of the amino acids 40 to 126 of the V2 protein of selected New Mexico *Curtovirus* chile pepper field isolates with previously characterized curtoviruses available in GenBank. Asterisks denote amino acid residues common with *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTVU02311). BCTV = *Beet curly top virus*, (AY379637); BMCTV = *Beet mild curly top virus*, (AY13467); SCTV = *Spinach curly top virus*, (AY548948). Each field isolate shown is an example of a group of chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates as listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison of percent amino acid identity among coat protein (CP) and V2 regions of New Mexico *Curtovirus* isolates from chile pepper with four characterized curtoviruses available in GenBank^a

Isolate	No. of isolates ^b	BMCTV-W4		BSCTV		BCTV		SCTV	
		CP	V2	CP	V2	CP	V2	CP	V2
DBNW166	2	98	96	100	98	98	95	84	73
LRMNW2620	5	99	97	97	95	97	96	84	74
DRSE166	9	99	97	97	93	97	94	84	73
DBNW1620	8	99	96	97	94	96	95	84	71
LWE566	10	100	99	98	97	98	98	84	73
DBSE266	4	87	82	88	82	89	80	83	70

^a BMCTV = *Beet mild curly top virus*, (AY113467); BSCTV = *Beet severe curly top virus*, (U02311); BCTV = *Beet curly top virus*, (AY379637); SCTV = *Spinach curly top virus*, (AY548948). Isolate designations include the county, field, location in the field, and date (i.e., DRSE166 = Doña Ana County, Rincon Field, southeast quadrant, sample 1, collected 6/6/01).

^b Number of chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates that share 100% amino acid identity among CP and V2 regions with the isolate in the first column.

acid identity with BMCTV-W4 or BSCTV, with most isolates sharing 95 to 98% amino acid identity with one of the two curtoviruses (Table 1). The same eight remaining isolates described above (see DBSE266 as an example) also were highly similar (99 to 100% nucleotide identity) to each other in the v2 region, but shared only 80 to 82% amino acid identity with BMCTV-W4 and BSCTV and 72 to 74% amino acid identity with SCTV.

Variation in the Rep region. Primers used to amplify *rep* (rep1f/rep2r) yielded a 488-bp fragment that was sequenced for 151 field samples. After alignment, the isolates were separated into three groups based on nucleic acid and amino acid homology (Fig. 3). One group of 72 isolates (see isolates DBNW1620, DBSE366, DBNW366, LKSE1620, and DCE5620 as examples) shared 96 to 97% amino acid identity with BSCTV (Table 2, Fig. 4) and shared 98 to 100% amino acid identity with each other. Thirty-nine of these isolates (see DBNW16120 as an example) shared the same amino acid sequence. A second group of 28 isolates (see isolates LRMNW21620, LWE566, DB98602, and DB2466 as examples) shared 98 to 100% amino acid sequence identity with BMCTV-W4 (Table 2, Fig. 4).

The third group consisted of 48 isolates (see isolates DBNE266, LWN1620, and DRSE166 as examples) that had sequences that shared a high level of identity with both BSCTV and BMCTV (Table 2, Fig. 4), which we have labeled New Mexico (NM) unknown. These isolates shared 99 to 100% amino acid identity with one another, and 94 and 97% amino acid identity with BSCTV and BMCTV, respectively. Twenty-nine of these isolates (see DBNE266 as an example) shared the same amino acid sequence (Table 2, Fig. 4).

A fourth grouping, with three isolates (LKW276, LWE766, and DB2666), also had sequences that shared a high level of identity with both BSCTV and BMCTV (Table 2, Fig. 4). These isolates shared nucleotide identities of 92% with BSCTV and 91% with BMCTV, and shared identities of 95, 96, and 98% with BSCTV, BMCTV, and NM unknown, respectively.

Spatial and temporal distribution of curly top isolates. Curtoviruses, as distinguished by REP sequence, were not equally distributed among all fields. However, little spatial or temporal clustering of isolates was observed. Doña Ana County field B yielded 17 different REP sequences. In all, 8 isolates of BMCTV, 16 isolates of the NM unknown, and 15 isolates most similar to BSCTV were collected from the field (Table 3). Chile peppers infected with BMCTV, NM unknown, and BSCTV were collected at all sampling dates during both years in field B. In contrast, Luna County field RM yielded six different REP sequences, including 2 iso-

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic comparison of replication-associated protein amino acids 133 to 279 of selected New Mexico chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates with *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTV), *Beet mild curly top virus* (BMCTV), and *Beet curly top virus* (BCTV). Numbers represent result of 1,000 bootstrap replications using parsimony. BSCTV = GenBank no. U02311; BCTV = AY379637; BMCTV = AY13467.

Table 2. Comparison of percent amino acid sequence identity among replication-associated proteins of New Mexico chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates with previously characterized curtoviruses available in GenBank^a

Isolate	No. of isolates ^b	BMCTV-W4	BSCTV	BCTV	SCTV
LRMNW2620	6	100	90	90	92
LWE566	6	99	91	90	92
DB98602	1	98	89	88	91
DB2466	5	99	91	89	90
DBNE266	29	97	94	90	95
LWN1620	1	97	93	91	92
DRSE166	11	97	93	91	94
LKW276	3	96	94	94	92
DBNW1620	39	91	97	95	90
DBSE366	7	91	97	96	91
DBNW366	2	92	97	95	90
LKSE1620	1	90	95	92	90
DCE5620	2	91	97	95	90

^a BMCTV = *Beet mild curly top virus*, (AY113467); BSCTV = *Beet severe curly top virus*, (U02311); BCTV = *Beet curly top virus*, (AY379637); SCTV = *Spinach curly top virus*, (AY548948). Isolate designations include the county, field, location in the field, and date (i.e., DRSE166 = Doña Ana County, Rincon Field, southeast quadrant, sample 1, collected 6/6/01).

^b Number of chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates that share 100% amino acid identity with the isolate in the first column.

lates of BMCTV, 1 isolate of NM unknown, and 14 isolates of BSCTV (Table 3). This field yielded plants infected with BMCTV, NM unknown, and BSCTV at the 6 June 2001 sampling date, and only BSCTV and BMCTV isolates at subsequent sampling dates, 16 June through 15 July 2001.

Little spatial clustering occurred among isolates with similar sequences. For example, of the 11 samples with the NM unknown REP sequence found in DRSE166, 9 were collected from four fields within Doña Ana County and 1 each from two different fields in Luna County (Table 3). Similarly, the six samples with the BMCTV REP sequence identified in

LWE566 were collected in both 2001 and 2001 from two different fields in Doña Ana County and two in Luna County. There was no discernable temporal clustering of isolates. All isolates that were collected at least five times were found at each sampling date in 2001.

Although the eight isolates with the distinct *cp* sequence found in DBSE266 were collected in 2001, they showed little spatial clustering. Six isolates were collected from four Doña Ana County fields: three of the six isolates from field B, and the other three isolates from three different fields in the county. Two of the eight isolates were collected from two different fields in Luna County.

DISCUSSION

At least three different *Curtovirus* strains were detected from chile peppers collected from southern New Mexico. The majority of the isolates were collected during 2001, when the incidence of curly top was 10 to 30% in the region (8). In 2002, curly top incidence was much lower (0.5 to 1%), and 66.7% of the samples were not infected with any known curtoviruses, suggesting that some of the typical *Curtovirus* symptoms of chlorosis and stunting observed on plants during that year were due to causes other than curtoviruses.

When the New Mexico isolates were differentiated into two groups based on *cp*

	133	140	150	160	170	180	190	200
BSCTV	ALNAGNALEALQIIREKLPEKYIFQYHNLKPNLEAIFLPPPDLYQPPFPLSSFTVRPEIIQEWADSYFGLDPA							
DBNW1620	*****				I*****			E**
LKSE1620	*****				I*****	L*****		H*E**
DBNW366	*****				I*****	V*****		E**
DBSE366	*****				I*****			
DCE5620	*****				I*****			E**
BCTV	*****				F*****	Q*****	D*****	
DBNE266	*****	A*****	F*****		I*****			V*A*
LWN1620	*****	A*****	F*****		I*****	D*****		V*A*
DRSE166	*****	A*****	F*****		I*****			V*S*
LKW276	*****	A*****	F*****		I*****	Q*****	V*****	V*A*
BMCTV-Wor	*****	A*****			I*****	Q****	Q****	V*****
LRMNW2620	*****	A*****	F*****		I*****	Q****	Q****	V*****
LWE566	*****	A*****	F*****		E*****	Q****	Q****	V*****
DB98602	*****	A*****	F*****		I****Y*	Q****	Q****	V****T*****
DB2466	*****	A*****	F*****		I****Y*	Q****	Q****	V*****
BMCTV-4	*****	A*****	F*****		I*****	Q****	Q****	V*****
SCTV	*****	T*A**M*	F*****		EI*K*****		N**V*****	V*A*
	210	220	230	240	250	260	270	
BSCTV	ARPFYNSLIIEGDSRTGKTMWARCLGPHNYITGHLDFSLKTYNDNVLYNVIDDVPNYLKMKHWHKHLIGAQR							
DBNW1620	***Y****	I*****			S*****			
LKSE1620	***Y****	I*****			I*****	S*****		
DBNW366	***Y****	I*****			S*****			
DBSE366	***Y****	I*****			S*****			
DCE5620	***Y****	I*****						
BCTV	*-*****	I*****			S*****			
DBNE266	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	D*****			
LWNW1620	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	D*****			
DRSE166	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	D*****			
LKW276	*****	I*****			S*****			
BMCTV-Wor	*****	I*****	L***S*****	S*****	H*D*****	A*****		
LRMNW2620	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	H*D*****	A*****		
LWE566	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	H*D*****	A*****		
DB98602	*****	I*****	L***S*****	S*****	H*D*****	A*****		
DB2466	*****	S*I*****	S*****	S*****	H*D*****	A*****		
BMCTV-W4	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	H*D*****	A*****		
SCTV	*****	I*****	S*****	S*****	S*D*****			

Fig. 4. Comparison of replication-associated protein amino acids 133 to 279 of selected New Mexico chile pepper *Curtovirus* field isolates with previously characterized curtoviruses available in GenBank. Asterisks denote amino acid residues common with *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTV U02311). BCTV = *Beet curly top virus*, (AY379637); BMCTV = *Beet mild curly top virus*, (AY13467); SCTV = *Spinach curly top virus*, (AY548948). The chile pepper *Curtovirus* field isolates shown correspond to the New Mexico isolates listed in Table 2.

sequence, not surprisingly, the majority of *Curtovirus* isolates from chile pepper formed a tight phylogenetic cluster. This gene showed little sequence variation (17), as expected, given its role in encapsidation and insect transmission (5,6). *Maize streak virus*, another leafhopper-transmitted *Geminivirus*, also has a highly conserved CP, with isolates from different geographic locations showing only 2% sequence divergence at the amino acid level (4). In contrast, the eight isolates with the variant CP sequence differed from other geminiviruses. The isolates have unique amino acid residues not common to other curtoviruses or begomoviruses. Cloning and sequencing of the virus isolates is necessary to determine whether the changes are due to recombination as with HrCTV (13), *Beet pseudo curly top virus* (3), and possibly SCTV (1).

Consistent with previous work (8), BSCTV-CFH and BMCTV-Worland were found in infected chile pepper. The presence of the alternative *rep* sequence (NM unknown) was more surprising and likely is due to a new curly top strain or significant divergence from a characterized strain. Although the high homology with both BSCTV and BMCTV might be suggestive of a mixture of virus isolates within the samples, sequences from cloned amplicons showed that this had not occurred. The alternative *rep* sequence could be the result of recombination; however, the large number (>30%) of samples with this sequence suggests that any recombination was not a recent event. Surveys of other states will need to be conducted to determine whether virus containing the NM unknown *rep* sequence is found in other areas of the western United States.

Stenger and McMahon (20) suggested that two virus genotypes found in sugar

beet that were intermediate between Worland and CFH may be highly diverged examples of Worland. The NM unknown isolate or strain, although not identical to these two genotypes, may be an example of greater divergence from Worland. However, sequencing of the entire viral genome is necessary to determine whether this has occurred. With REP sequences intermediate between BSCTV and BMCTV, NM unknown and the two divergent genotypes found in sugar beet might be considered as part of a continuum of *Curtovirus* strains between BSCTV and BMCTV. Additional surveys of curtoviruses from other crops and geographic areas will be necessary to test this possibility.

The lack of clearly defined spatial clustering of the chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates may be due to several factors. The lack of spatial patterns likely is due to a very large, diverse source of virus isolates, especially given the very large weed host population known for curly top viruses (2). New *Curtovirus* infection may have occurred repeatedly in a field due to flights of vector leafhoppers into the fields during the cropping season. In the same 10 fields surveyed for curtoviruses for this work, beet leafhoppers were found in very large numbers in 2001 (8). During that year, leafhopper populations in these fields showed at least four peaks of flight activity. Each flight of leafhoppers likely originated from a different location or from different weed hosts. Ongoing studies comparing the strains of curtoviruses in chile pepper with those in adjacent weed hosts will help resolve whether this occurred. In addition, the lack of clustering suggests little secondary spread within a field. This may be due to the nonpreference of the vector for chile pepper as a colonization or reproductive host. As an

example, the beet leafhopper has been shown to transmit curtoviruses to non-preferred hosts, such as tomato, with high efficiency (23). Most likely, a combination of all these scenarios occurs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the New Mexico Chile Task Force for identifying field sites, J. Carpenter for helping collect field isolates, and the New Mexico State University Agricultural Experiment Station for supporting this work.

LITERATURE CITED

- Balij, S., Black, M. C., French, R., Stenger, D. C., and Sunter, G. 2004. Spinach curly top virus: A newly described *Curtovirus* species from southwest Texas with incongruent gene phylogenies. *Phytopathology* 94:772-779.
- Bennett, C. W. 1971. The Curly Top Disease of Sugarbeet and Other Plants. Monograph 7. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN.
- Briddon, R. W., Bedford, I. D., Tsai, J. H., and Markham, P. G. 1996. Analysis of the nucleotide sequence of the treehopper-transmitted geminivirus, tomato pseudo-curly top virus, suggests a recombinant origin. *Virology* 219:387-394.
- Briddon, R. W., Lunness, P., Chamberlin, L. C. L., and Markham, P. G. 1994. Analysis of the genetic variability of maize streak virus. *Virus Genes* 9:93-100.
- Briddon, R. W., Pinner, M. S., Stanley, J., and Markham, P. G. 1990. Geminivirus coat protein gene replacement alters insect specificity. *Virology* 177:85-94.
- Briddon, R. W., Watts, J., Markham, P. G., and Stanley, J. 1989. The coat protein of beet curly top virus is essential for infectivity. *Virology* 172:628-633.
- Carsner, E., and Stahl, C. F. 1924. Studies on curly-top disease of the sugarbeet. *J. Agric. Res.* 28:297-320.
- Creamer, R., Carpenter, J., and Rascon, J. 2003. Incidence of the beet leafhopper, *Circulifer tenellus* (Homoptera:Cicadellidae) in New Mexico chile. *Southwest. Entomol.* 28:177-182.
- Choi, I.-R., and Stenger, D. C. 1995. Strain-specific determinants of beet curly top gemi-

Table 3. Distribution of *Curtovirus* isolates with a specific replication-associated protein (REP) amino acid sequence among chile pepper fields in Doña Ana Co. and Luna Co. New Mexico

Isolate ^a	No. of isolates ^b	No. of isolates with REP amino acid sequence collected from each field								
		Doña Ana County fields				Luna County fields				
		B	R	C	G	RM	W	K	J	S
LRMNW2620	6	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
LWE566	6	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0
DB2466	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
DBSW1620	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
...	9	1	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	1
DBNE266	29	10	6	2	3	0	1	2	3	2
DRSE166	11	2	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	0
...	8	4	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
LKW276	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
DBNW1620	39	7	4	3	6	9	3	0	3	4
DBSE366	7	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
LRMS1620	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
DC1620	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCE5620	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
DBNW366	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DRNE166	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
...	13	2	3	0	0	2	3	4	0	0
Total	151	40	22	17	15	17	12	10	9	8

^a ... Indicates isolates that do not share 100% sequence homology with any other isolate.

^b Number of chile pepper *Curtovirus* isolates that share 100% amino acid identity with the isolate in the first column.

- nivirus DNA replication. *Virology* 206:904-912.
10. Choi, I.-R., and Stenger, D. C. 1996. The strain-specific *cis*-acting element of beet curly top virus geminivirus DNA replication maps to the directly repeated motif of the *ori*. *Virology* 226:122-126.
 11. Hormuzdi, S. G., and Bisaro, D. M. 1993. Genetic analysis of beet curly top virus: Evidence for three virion sense genes involved in movement and regulation of single- and double-stranded DNA levels. *Virology* 193:900-909.
 12. Isnard, M., Granier, M., Frutos, R., Reynaud, and Peterschmitt, M. 1998. Quasispecies nature of three maize streak virus isolates obtained through different modes of selection from a population used to assess response to infection of maize cultivars. *J. Gen. Virol.* 79:3091-3099.
 13. Klein, M. 1992. Role of *Circulifer/Neolittoratus* in the transmission of plant pathogens. Pages 152-193 in: *Advances in Disease Vector Research*, Vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, NY.
 14. Klute, K. A. Nadler, S. A., and Stenger, D. C. 1996. Horseradish curly top virus is a distinct subgroup II geminivirus species with rep and C4 genes derived from a subgroup III ancestor. *J. Gen. Virol.* 77:1369-1378.
 15. Palmer, K. E., Schnippenkoetter, W. H., and Rybicki, E. P. 1998. Geminivirus isolation and DNA extraction. Pages 41-52 in: *Methods in Molecular Biology*, Vol. 81. G. D. Foster and S. C. Taylor, eds. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, NJ.
 16. Stanley, J., Markham, P. G., Callis, R. J., and Pinner, M. S. 1986. The nucleotide sequence of an infectious clone of the geminivirus beet curly top virus. *EMBO J.* 5:1761-1767.
 17. Stenger, D. C. 1994. Complete nucleotide sequence of the hypervirulent CFH strain of beet curly top virus. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 7:154-157.
 18. Stenger, D. C. 1995. Genotypic variability and the occurrence of less than genome-length viral DNA forms in a field population of beet curly top geminivirus. *Phytopathology* 85:1316-1322.
 19. Stenger, D. C. 1998. Replication specificity elements of the Worland strain of beet curly top virus are compatible with those of the CFH strain but not those of the Cal/Logan strain. *Phytopathology* 88:1174-1178.
 20. Stenger, D. C., Carbonaro, D., and Duffus, J. E. 1990. Genomic characterization of phenotypic variants of beet curly top virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* 71:2211-2215.
 21. Stenger, D. C., Davis, K. R., and Bisaro, D. M. 1994. Recombinant beet curly top virus genomes exhibit both parental and novel pathogenic phenotypes. *Virology* 200:677-685.
 22. Stenger, D. C., and McMahon, C. L. 1997. Genotypic diversity of beet curly top virus populations in the western United States. *Phytopathology* 87:737-744.
 23. Stenger, D. C., and Ostrow, K. M. 1996. Genetic complexity of a beet curly top virus population used to assess sugar beet cultivar response to infection. *Phytopathology* 86:929-933.
 24. Swofford, D. 1997. *PAUP: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony* (and other methods). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.