A Survey of Declining Palms (Arecaceae) With 16SrIV-D Phytoplasma to Evaluate the Distribution and Host Range in Florida

Brian W. Bahder^{1,†}, Noemi Soto¹, Ericka E. Helmick¹, Kishore K. Dey², Lidia Komondy¹, Alessandra R. Humphries¹, De-fen Mou¹, Richard Bailey³, Marina S. Ascunce⁴, Erica M. Goss⁴

¹ University of Florida, Department of Entomology and Nematology – Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center, Davie, FL 33314-7719

² Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, Gainesville 32614

³ Professional Forester, Inc., Plant City, FL 33567-3406

⁴ University of Florida, Department of Plant Pathology and Emerging Pathogens Institute, Gainesville, FL 32611

Abstract

The 16SrIV-D phytoplasma was first identified in Florida in 2006. Since its discovery, it has spread throughout most of the state. It is most prevalent in the central part of Florida, from Hillsborough County on the west coast to St. Lucie County on the east coast. The 16SrIV-D phytoplasma is the causal agent of lethal bronzing disease (LBD), which is also known as Texas Phoenix palm decline (TPPD). It affects a variety of common and economically important ornamental palm species as well as the native and ecologically important species, *Sabal palmetto*. It has spread into the southern portions of Florida, where the palm species diversity is higher. The aims of this survey were to document the spread of disease in terms of geographic and host range one decade after its introduction into Florida, and to assess the risk that LBD poses to the nursery and

landscaping industries. The survey included samples received from stakeholders throughout the state, covering 18 counties, as well as a systematic sampling of palms at the Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center (FLREC), where the disease is spreading actively. The findings of this survey resulted in the detection of LBD in eight new counties, including Collier, Hernando, Jefferson, Martin, Miami-Dade, Monroe, Seminole, and St. Johns, and the expansion of LBD into four new host species, *Cocos nucifera*, *Livistona chinensis*, *Butia capitata*, and *Carpentaria acuminata*. These findings are crucial for stakeholders because they highlight new hosts of 16SrIV-D phytoplasma and the geographic expansion of the disease, indicating that vigilance is needed when surveying declining palms.

The 16SrIV-D phytoplasma was first discovered in Hillsborough County, Florida, in 2006, in declining Canary Island Date Palms (*Phoenix canariensis* Chabaud), Edible Date Palms (*P. dactylifera* L.), Wild Date Palms (*P. sylvestris* L.), and Queen Palm (*Syagrus romanzoffiana* Chamisso) (Harrison et al. 2008). Previously the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma had only been known in Texas, where it was isolated from *P. canariensis* (Harrison et al. 2002). Subsequently, in 2008, the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma was isolated from declining Cabbage Palms (*Sabal palmetto* Walter) in Florida (Hillsborough and Manatee County) (Harrison et al. 2009). In 2011, the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma was also isolated from a declining Pymy Date Palm (*P. roebelinii* O'Brien) in Florida (Hillsborough County) (Jeyaprakash et al. 2011). The most recent new host record for the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Florida was from the Bismarck Palm (*Bismarckia nobilis* Hildebr & Wendl) in Manatee County (Dey et al. 2018). In addition to Florida and Texas, the only other states within the United States where 16SrIV-D phytoplasma has been detected is Louisiana, where it was detected in declining Chinese Windmill Palms (*Trachycarpus fortunei* Hook) (Singh and Ferguson 2017). Outside of the United States, the 16SrIV-D has been found only in Mexico in declining *Sabal mexicana* Martius and *Pseudophoenix sargentii* Wendl (Vázquez-Euán et al. 2011), Christmas Palm (*Adonidia merrillii* Beccari) (Lara et al. 2017), and *Pritchardia pacifica* Seeman & Wendl (Narváez et al. 2017). Based on published

records, this resulted in a total of 12 susceptible palm species hosts of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma, with seven of the susceptible species confirmed in the state of Florida. In contrast, the 16SrIV-A phytoplasma, which is the causal agent of lethal yellowing (LY), is known to affect >30 different species of palm in Florida (Bahder and Helmick 2018a), and it was introduced to the southern portion of the state (Corbett 1959), where palm diversity is relatively higher. Many of the host records of LY are because of the introduction of the disease to Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden (Howard and Collins 1978), which houses >100 species of palms, most of which are non-native and tropical in origin and therefore cannot survive north of the subtropical climate of south Florida. It is important to note that the hosts associated with LY were established before the use of molecular techniques. The diagnostics were based on scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which demonstrated the presence of phytoplasma; however, it could not distinguish between groups or subgroups as they are currently known, thereby casting doubts regarding whether all cases of reported hosts of LY were caused by the 16SrIV-A phytoplasma or other groups. Therefore, there is a need for the systematic study of declining palms in Florida using molecular diagnostics.

The 16SrIV-D phytoplasma is the causal agent of a disease that was initially called Texas Phoenix Palm decline (TPPD) because of its original discovery in Texas in declining *P. canariensis*. However, the disease is currently referred to as lethal bronzing disease (LBD) in Florida (Bahder et al. 2018) and Date Palm lethal decline (DPLD) in Texas (Giesbrecht et al. 2014). The name LBD was proposed because it accurately described symptoms observed in affected host species, in which dying leaves display a bronze coloration that varies in hue among species but is consistently different than the color of naturally senescing leaves of palms (Bahder et al. 2019).

The spread of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in the urban environment of south Florida was observed in *Sa. palmetto* and *Sy. romanzoffiana* at the UF/IFAS Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center (FLREC); these were infected after the presumed introduction of an infective insect vector (Bahder et al. 2018). This study revealed

[†]Corresponding author: B. W. Bahder; bbahder@ufl.edu

Funding: Funding for this project was provided through the Farm Bill cooperative agreement number 8130-0148-CA and the University Florida Emerging Pathogens Institute seed (grant no. 11900000-101-2200-CYFWD).

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

Accepted for publication 14 May 2019.

a decline of approximately 50% of *Sa. palmetto* and 25% of *Sy. romanzoffiana* over the course of a period of approximately 3 years. After the termination of this study, further infections were observed in these *Sa. palmetto* and *P. roebelini*. At the time, the outbreak of LBD at FLREC was the southernmost recorded instance of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Florida (Harrison and Elliott 2016). The FLREC is an ideal location for evaluating the potential of the 16SrIV-D to infect previously unknown hosts because there is a higher diversity of palms at the research station than in surrounding areas (T. Broschat, *personal communication*). Evaluating which palm species are susceptible in a single location can help determine the true host range of the phytoplasma. In parallel, studying the host and geographic distributions of the pathogen throughout the state improves our understanding of the true economic impact of the pathogen in Florida.

The primary objective of this survey was to expand our knowledge of the host range and distribution of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Florida by surveying palm species at the FLREC and samples from declining palms throughout the state taken by stakeholders (landscaping and nursery personnel). The results of this study provide valuable data to stakeholders by elucidating the increasing geographical and host range of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Florida and by providing further impetus for sampling new potential hosts.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and processing. All samples taken from palms at FLREC (26.084006, 80.237431) consisted of trunk tissue that was obtained according to the protocol outlined by Bahder and Helmick (2018b). Samples were obtained between 1 July, 2016 and 20 March, 2019; they were collected from palms that displayed typical symptoms of premature fruit drop/inflorescence necrosis, discolored older leaves, and/or spear leaf collapse. In addition to symptomatic palms, adjacent palms of the same species that were asymptomatic were also included in this study. Furthermore, other palm species that did not display symptoms but were adjacent to symptomatic palms were also sampled. All samples obtained from stakeholders were collected from trunk tissues according to the protocols outlined by Bahder and Helmick (2018b). These samples were collected during the same time period when samples were collected for the survey at FLREC. All samples were processed by macerating 1 g of tissue in guanidine buffer (guanidine thiocyanate, 4M and 3M; sodium acetate, 0.2 M and 0.5 M; EDTA, 0.25M; PVP-40, 0.0006 M) in a BioReba extraction bag using the HOMEX6 tissue homogenizer. Then, lysate was extracted using the Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The excess plant tissue was stored at -80°C .

Screening of palms by quantitative PCR and melt curve analysis. Eluate obtained from the extraction protocol was diluted to 25 μl when necessary before screening was performed using quantitative PCR (qPCR). All samples were screened using the qPCR parameters, primers, and probe presented by Córdova et al. (2014). After this TaqMan assay, samples that tested positive were screened by a second qPCR assay according to the methods of Bahder et al. (2017) to determine if they were infected with the 16SrIV-A or 16SrIV-D phytoplasma. A standard PCR with primers LY16-LSF/LY16-LSR (Córdova et al. 2014) was used for amplification of the gDNA from sample Spa-12, which had tested positive for LBD. Each PCR contained 5 $\times$ GoTaq Flexi Buffer, 25 mM of MgCl_2 , 10 mM of dNTP, 10 mM of each primer, 10% of PVP-40, 2.5 U of GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase, and sterile dH_2O to a final volume of 25 μl . Thermocycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 1 min during the initial denaturation, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension of 72°C for 5 min. Three μl of each product was electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed nucleic acid gel stain (Biotium, Hayward, CA) and visualized using ultraviolet transillumination.

PCR products were cloned using a TOPO TA cloning kit into vector pCR 2.1-TOPO (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cloning constructs were transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* cells using the TOPO One Shot and plated on

LB plates containing 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of kanamycin. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C , and transformed colonies were chosen for the colony PCR using primers LY16-LSF/LY16-LSR (Córdova et al. 2014) to verify that they contained the correct insert. Clones with an insert of the correct size were incubated on a shaker overnight in 20 ml of LB broth with 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of kanamycin. Plasmids were extracted using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) per the manufacturer's protocol. Plasmid concentrations were quantified using either a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) or a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (ThermoFisher) using the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Invitrogen). Ten-fold serial dilutions were created (10^8 to 10^3) for use as standards in the qPCR assays that were used to generate the standard curve and quantity estimation for all samples.

All qPCR assays were performed using QuantStudio real-time PCR software v1.3 (Life Technologies, Inc.). All qPCR assays were performed in triplicate for each specimen. When a single palm of a species was positive, the average copy number and standard error were calculated based on the replication of the same sample, whereas species with multiple specimens that tested positive were presented as the average of the replications of each specimen followed by the average of all specimens.

Nested PCR reactions and sequencing. For palm species that were not previously known hosts of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasmas, sequence data were obtained by amplifying a portion of the 16S rDNA

Table 1. Phytoplasma isolates used for the construction of the maximum likelihood tree to establish the relationship of isolates from the new palm host identified in Florida

16Sr Classification	Disease	GenBank Accession No.
I-A	Aster yellows witches' broom	NC_007716
II-A	Peanut witches' broom	L33765
III-A	Peach X-disease	JQ044392
IV-A	Lethal yellowing	AF498309.1
IV-B	Yucatan coconut lethal decline	U18753.2
IV-C	Tanzanian coconut lethal decline	X80117.1
IV-D	Lethal Bronzing	MG993140.1
IV-E	Dominican Republic coconut lethal decline	DQ631639.1
IV-F	Washingtonia Robusta lethal decline	EU241512.1
V-A	Elm yellows	AY197655
VI-A	Clover proliferation	AY390261
VII-A	Ash yellows	AF092209
VIII-A	Loofah Witches' broom	AF086621
IX-A	Pigeon pea witches' broom	AF248957
X-A	Apple proliferation	AJ542541
XI-A	Rice yellow dwarf	AB052873
XII-A	Stolbur	AF248959
XIII-A	Mexican periwinkle virescence	AF248960
XIV-A	Bermuda white leaf	AJ550984
XV-A	Hibiscus witches' broom	AF147708
XVI-A	Sugarcane yellow leaf syndrome	AY725228
XVII-A	Papaya bunchy top	AY725234
XVIII-A	American potato purple top wilt	DQ174122
XIX-A	Chestnut witches' broom	AB054986
XX-A	Rhamnus witches' broom	AJ583009
XXI-A	Pine phytoplasma	AJ310849
XXII-A	Lethal yellow disease Mozambique	KF751387
XXIII-A	Buckland valley grapevine yellows	AY083605
XXIV-A	Sorghum bunchy shoot	AF509322
XXV-A	Weeping tea witches' broom	AF521672
XXVI-A	Sugarcane phytoplasma D3T1	AJ539179
XXVII-A	Sugarcane phytoplasma D3T2	AJ539180
XXVIII-A	Derbid phytoplasma	AY744945
XXIX-A	Cassia witches' broom	EF666051
XXX-A	Salt Cedars witches' broom	FJ432664
XXXI-A	Soybean stunt	HQ225630
XXXII-A	Malaysian p. virescence	EU371934
XXXIII-A	Allocasuarina phytoplasma	AY135523

using standard and nested PCR protocols, as outlined by Bahder et al. (2018). Amplified products from the nested PCR reaction were purified using the ExoSAP-I PCR product cleanup reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cleaned PCR products were sent to Eurofins Diagnostics for sequencing. The resulting sequences were assembled, visually inspected, and corrected for sequencing errors using DNA Baser v. 4.36 (Heracle Biosoft); then, they were aligned using MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). All sequences were identified as 16S rDNA phytoplasma sequences via nucleotide BLAST on the NCBI website (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>).

Sequence analysis and subgroup determination. To establish group and subgroup classifications for phytoplasmas isolated from new host species, the obtained sequences were aligned with 16S sequences from isolates of the A subgroup from each known 16Sr group known to date and all subgroups from the 16rIV group (Table 1). Subgroup A was selected because, in general, A subgroups

are more common and generally more representative of the disease with which they are associated. Additionally, an isolate of *Achleplasma palmae* (accession no. NR_029152.1) was included as an outgroup in the analysis. To establish subgroup classifications of the isolates obtained in this study, sequences were subjected to the construction of a maximum likelihood tree with 1,000 replicates.

Results

qPCR screening of palms. From 1 July 2016 to 20 March, 2019, 189 palm samples that comprised 11 different species were taken at FLREC (Table 2). Of the species sampled at FLREC, *Sa. palmetto*, *Sy. romanzoffiana*, *P. roebelinii*, and *Adonidia merrillii* were previously described host species of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma, whereas *Carpentaria acuminata* Wendland & Drude, *Phoenix reclinata*, *Sa. mauritiformis*, *Serenoa repens*, *Sa. etonia*, *Cocos nucifera* L., *Roystonea regia*, and *Wodyetia bifurcata* were not known hosts of the 16SrIV-D. Symptoms were observed in 14 *Sa. palmetto* (Fig. 1), one *Sy. romanzoffiana* (Fig. 1), two *P. roebelinii* (Fig. 1), two *A. merrillii* (Fig. 1), one *Carpentaria acuminata* (Fig. 1), and two *Cocos nucifera* (Figs. 2 and 3). All specimens that exhibited symptoms tested positive by the TaqMan qPCR assay (Table 2) and yielded a melting temperature (T_m) signature that matched positive controls for the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in the melt curve analysis (Table 2). In addition to these samples, a single *Cocos nucifera* that did not exhibit symptoms at the time of

Table 2. Palm species sampled and tested by quantitative PCR at the Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center for the presence of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma

Species	No. Infected	No. Tested	Avg. Ct ^a	Avg. Qty. ^b	Avg. Tm ^c
<i>Adonidia merrillii</i>	1	5	25.4 ± 0.1	30,199 ± 433	80.03 ± 0.0
<i>Carpentaria acuminata</i>	1	4	24.2 ± 0.1	156,777 ± 100	80.03 ± 0.0
<i>Cocos nucifera</i>	3	100	28.1 ± 0.2	9,222 ± 678	80.03 ± 0.0
<i>Phoenix reclinata</i>	0	5	No Ct	0	60.1 ± 0.0
<i>Phoenix roebelinii</i>	2	11	23.1 ± 0.2	221,334 ± 2,344	80.01 ± 0.0
<i>Roystonea regia</i>	0	10	No Ct	0	60.2 ± 0.0
<i>Sabal etonia</i>	0	1	No Ct	0	62.3 ± 0.0
<i>Sabal palmetto</i>	10	21	20.9 ± 0.4	856,799 ± 20,998	80.01 ± 0.0
<i>Sabal mauritiformis</i>	0	5	No Ct	0	N/A ^d
<i>Serenoa repens</i>	0	20	No Ct	0	N/A
<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	2	2	25.4 ± 0.4	31,201 ± 3,566	80.01 ± 0.0
<i>Wodyetia bifurcata</i>	0	10	No Ct	0	N/A
(+) Control (16SrIV-D)	N/A	N/A	22.3 ± 0.1	324,000 ± 889	80.03 ± 0.0
(+) Control (16SrIV-A)	N/A	N/A	24.6 ± 0.1	115,444 ± 431	80.54 ± 0.0
Healthy control	N/A	N/A	No Ct	0	60.1 ± 0.0
Water control	N/A	N/A	No Ct	0	60.2 ± 0.0

^a Ct = cycle threshold for measuring dye fluorescence relative to reference dye.

^b Qty. = estimated copy number per microliter.

^c Tm = melting temperature of the amplicon in °C.

^d N/A = Not applicable.

Fig. 2. A, Necrotic inflorescence and B, collapsed spear leaf from *Cocos nucifera* infected with the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma.

Fig. 1. A, Symptomatic *Sabal palmetto*, B, *Syagrus romanzoffiana*, C, *Phoenix roebelinii*, D, *Adonidia merrillii*, and E, *Carpentaria acuminata* infected with the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma at the Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center.

sampling tested positive for the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma by qPCR and was confirmed by the melt curve analysis. All other palms sampled that did not exhibit symptoms tested negative for phytoplasma. Asymptomatic palms included *Phoenix reclinata* Jacquin, *Roystonea regia* Kunth, *Sabal etonia* Swingle, *Sabal mauritioformis* Karsten, *Serenoa repens* Bartram, and *Wodeytia bifurcata* Irvine.

From 1 July, 2016 to 20 March, 2019, a total of 302 samples were received from throughout Florida (Table 3). Samples were submitted by landscape/nursery personnel as well as county extension agents and private homeowners. Of the samples received, the majority were taken from *P. sylvestris* (61.3% of total samples), with 56.2% testing positive (Table 3). The next two most abundant species sampled were *P. dactylifera* (9.6% of total samples) and *P. canariensis* (8.6% of total samples), with 51.7 and 34.6%, respectively, testing positive (Table 3). Eleven samples of *Sa. palmetto* and seven samples of *Sy. romanzoffiana* were received, with 54.5 and 57.1% testing positive for phytoplasma, respectively (Table 3). Other species that tested positive but that are not included in Table 3 are *Butia capitata* Martius (two of five samples tested positive) (Fig. 4) and *Livistona chinensis* Jacquin (one of five samples tested positive) (Fig. 5). Other palm samples that were submitted but tested negative (no cycle threshold) were *Cocos nucifera* (nine samples), *Bismarckia nobilis* (three samples), *Washingtonia robusta* Wendland (seven samples), *Adonidia merrillii* (two samples), *Wodyetia bifurcata* (seven samples), *Livistona nitida* Rodd (one sample), and *Coccothrinax saxicola* León (one sample). All samples that tested positive for phytoplasma yielded a Tm product that matched the Tm product for the 16SrIV-D positive control (Table 4). Of the palms included in this sample, *Butia capitata*, *Carpentaria acuminata*, *Cocos nucifera*, and *L. chinensis* represented new host records for the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma; they were sequenced for further analysis and confirmation. Although a known host of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in

Table 3. Total samples of common palms surveyed by stakeholders throughout the state of Florida and those that tested positive

County ^a	<i>P. sylvestris</i>	<i>P. dactylifera</i>	<i>P. canariensis</i>	<i>Sa. palmetto</i>	<i>Sy. romanzoffiana</i>
Alachua	1/1	N/A ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bay	0/7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Broward	0/1	N/A	N/A	0/1	N/A
Charlotte	0/1	N/A	0/1	N/A	0/1
Collier*	6/8	0/1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Duval	2/2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gadsden	0/1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hardee	4/4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hernando*	1/1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Highlands	4/4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hillsborough	4/6	5/7	1/1	4/6	1/2
Indian River	21/31	2/2	0/1	N/A	N/A
Jefferson*	N/A	1/1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lake	13/13	N/A	0/1	N/A	N/A
Lee	2/14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Manatee	3/3	7/11	0/1	N/A	N/A
Martin*	1/1	N/A	0/1	N/A	N/A
Miami-Dade*	3/6	0/3	0/4	N/A	N/A
Monroe*	2/3	N/A	0/2	N/A	N/A
Orange	7/17	0/3	4/5	0/1	1/1
Palm Beach	2/12	0/1	1/1	1/2	N/A
Polk	8/8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sarasota	7/8	N/A	2/4	N/A	0/1
Seminole*	1/7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
St. Johns*	6/20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
St. Lucie	5/5	N/A	1/3	0/1	1/1
Sumter	2/2	N/A	0/1	1/1	N/A
Total	104/185	15/29	9/26	6/11	4/7

^a Asterisks indicate a new county record.

^b N/A = Not available.

Fig. 3. Symptom progression of lethal bronzing disease in *Cocos nucifera* Cnu-3200: **A**, September 2018, **B**, November 2018, **C**, January 2019, **D**, February 2019.

Mexico, the isolate from *Adonidia merrillii* was sequenced because this is the first known case of this species being affected in the United States.

DNA sequence analysis. The 16S sequences obtained for the isolates from *Adonidia merrillii*, *Butia capitata* (GenBank accession no. MK421966), *Carpentaria acuminata* (GenBank accession no. MH577010), *Cocos nucifera* (GenBank accession no. MK421150, MK421151, MK421152), and *Livistona chinensis* were placed within the 16SrIV phytoplasmas based on the maximum likelihood analysis (Fig. 6). Within this group, they demonstrated >99% identity with the 16SrIV-D subgroup, confirming that isolates obtained from these hosts were the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma (Fig. 6).

Discussion

This survey expanded the known palm hosts of the 16SrIV phytoplasma from 12 to 16. The new susceptible host species are the Pindo palm (*Butia capitata*), Carpentaria palm (*Carpentaria acuminata*), Coconut palm (*Cocos nucifera*), and Chinese fan palm (*Livistona*

Table 4. Quantitative PCR and melt curve results for all samples tested on behalf of stakeholders for verification of phytoplasma identity

Species	N	Avg. Ct ^a	Avg. Qty ^b	Avg. Tm ^c	Tm Range
<i>B. capitata</i>	2	20.3 ± 0.2	921,710 ± 602	80.3 ± 0.0	N/A ^d
<i>L. chinensis</i>	1	27.9 ± 0.0	6,333 ± 200	80.0 ± 0.0	N/A
<i>P. canariensis</i>	9	21.1 ± 3.1	309,277 ± 9,001	80.1 ± 0.3	79.4–80.4
<i>P. dactylifera</i>	15	24.1 ± 5.6	64,435 ± 2,332	80.1 ± 0.1	79.4–80.1
<i>P. sylvestris</i>	104	22.5 ± 9.2	180,430 ± 3,444	80.1 ± 0.3	79.4–80.4
<i>Sa. palmetto</i>	6	22.0 ± 6.7	204,271 ± 8,445	80.2 ± 0.0	80.1–80.3
<i>Sy. romanzoffiana</i>	4	23.5 ± 5.4	100,508 ± 3,499	80.3 ± 0.2	80.1–80.3
IV-D (+) control	N/A	23.4 ± 0.2	117,428 ± 1,222	80.1 ± 0.3	80.0–80.4
IV-A (+) control	N/A	25.1 ± 0.1	56,777 ± 677	80.8 ± 0.1	80.6–80.9
(-) water control	N/A	No Ct	0	60.1 ± 0.1	58.7–64.9
(-) healthy control	N/A	No Ct	0	65.4 ± 0.1	61.1–70.2

^a Ct = cycle threshold for measuring dye florescence relative to reference dye.

^b Qty. = estimated copy number per microliter.

^c Tm = melting temperature of the amplicon in °C.

^d N/A = Not available.

Fig. 4. A, Symptomatic *Butia capitata* with a close-up of symptomatic, B, bronzed leaves, C, collapsed spear leaf, and D, necrotic inflorescence.

Fig. 5. A, Symptomatic *Livistona chinensis* with a close-up of symptomatic, B, bronzing leaf, and C, dying spear leaf.

chinensis). All of these species are common ornamental palms found throughout the southern and coastal central regions of Florida. The knowledge of new palm hosts susceptible to the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma is essential from a management perspective because it allows stakeholders to perform more inclusive sampling of declining palms. Interestingly, the titers measured in *C. nucifera* and *L. chinensis* were substantially lower than those of the other hosts documented. This

difference could be attributable to sampling error; however, it could also be related to phloem density variations among species. Quantifying the vascular tissue densities among the species presented herein is beyond the scope of the study; however, the variation in phloem density among palm species is well-documented (Rich 1987). This could influence detectable levels of phytoplasma in infected palms; those with the least vascular tissue yielded lower titers, and palms

Fig. 6. Maximum likelihood tree generated from the 16S gene sequences for all known subgroups of the 16SrIV phytoplasmas and the A subgroup from all known phytoplasma taxonomic 16Sr groups with *Acholeplasma palmarum* as an outgroup. Values on branches indicate bootstrap support based on 1,000 samples. Sequences from new hosts *Butia capitata*, *Cocos nucifera*, *Livistona chinensis*, and *Carpentaria acuminata* are identical to the 16SrIV-D reference sequence.

Fig. 7. Distribution of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Florida by county at approximately the time of discovery in 2008, 5 years after discovery in approximately 2013, and one decade after discovery in approximately late 2018.

with greater amounts of vascular bundles could yield higher titers. An additional explanation is that because these rare host species are not well-categorized, the titers presented in this study are not accurate or representative of the true titers present if additional specimens could be analyzed.

Although the majority of samples received belonged to the genus *Phoenix*, it is unclear if this was because of the higher susceptibility of the genus or sampling bias. The bias in sampling might have been caused by the difference in values among the palms. Phoenix palms are highly prized; therefore, the stakeholders might have a tendency to sample *Phoenix* palms more often than *Sabal palmetto* and *Syagrus romanzoffiana*, which are considered cheap and less aesthetically pleasing. Antibiotic treatment is expensive; therefore, less valuable palms may be perceived as not worth the cost of sampling, testing, and treatment. In contrast, systematic sampling at FLREC, where the disease is spreading naturally, showed that the amount of declining *Sabal palmetto* was comparable to the infection rates of *Phoenix* spp. observed in some nursery settings (B.W. Bahder, unpublished data). However, until a reliable vector assay is developed, it cannot be determined with certainty which palm species are more susceptible.

The epidemiological significance of the detection of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Miami-Dade and Monroe Counties, where palm diversity is especially high, is unknown. It is unclear whether the phytoplasma has naturally spread to these areas, or if the samples represented palms that were infected in a different area with more disease pressure and were subsequently transported to the location where they were finally sampled. Regardless, these infections pose a huge risk to other susceptible palms in the extreme southern portion of the state. It is highly likely that more new host species will emerge in the coming years if 16SrIV-D becomes established in this region of Florida. Before this study, the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma from 22 different counties in Florida was recorded (Harrison and Elliott 2016) (Fig. 7). With eight new counties emerging from this survey, this number is now 31 (Table 3) (Fig. 7). The samples received from stakeholders were mostly from urban areas and nurseries within those areas, and they represent only a small fraction of the total palms declining from the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma. Although no formal assessment of the economic impact of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma on the nursery and landscaping industry has been performed, it is likely that the loss incurred will be tens of millions of dollars, or potentially as high as hundreds of millions of dollars. In one instance, 100% crop loss because of *P. sylvestris* was documented, which amounted to a loss of \$4.5 million dollars reported by a single grower (anonymous grower, personal communication). As of 2010, for the nursery and landscape industries in Florida, palms have an approximate sales value of \$404 million (Khachatryan and Hodges 2017). Moreover, the recent initiatives by the Florida Department of Transportation to plant more palms along the major highways in Florida is likely to exacerbate losses caused by this disease. Based on the impact seen in a single nursery plot, as well as a preliminary assessment through samples

received by stakeholders, it is apparent that LBD is widespread in Florida and poses a significant threat to the sustainability of palm production there.

The findings of this survey are important from biological and applied standpoints. It demonstrated that this pathogen is actively spreading in time and space throughout the state as well as expanding into new palm hosts. Future efforts are required to survey declining palms in both urban environments and natural areas of Florida to obtain a clear understanding of the disease incidence and broader impact.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous stakeholders for sample submissions.

Literature Cited

- Bahder, B. W., and Helmick, E. E. 2018a. Lethal yellowing (LY) of palm. UF/IFAS Extension document PP-222.
- Bahder, B. W., and Helmick, E. E. 2018b. Sampling palms for lethal yellowing and Texas Phoenix palm decline phytoplasmas. UF/IFAS Extension document ENY-990.
- Bahder, B. W., Helmick, E. E., Chakrabati, S., Osorio, S., Soto, N., Chouvenec, T., and Harrison, N. A. 2018. Disease progression of a lethal decline caused by the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in Florida palms. *Plant Pathol.* 67:1821-1828.
- Bahder, B. W., Helmick, E. E., and Harrison, N. A. 2017. Detecting and differentiating phytoplasmas belonging to subgroups 16SrIV-A and 16SrIV-D associated with lethal declines of palms in Florida using qPCR and high-resolution melt analysis (HRMA). *Plant Dis.* 101:1449-1454.
- Bahder, B. W., Soto, N., Komondy, L., Mou, D., Humphries, A. R., and Helmick, E. E. 2019. Detection and quantification of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma in leaf tissue of common ornamental palm species in Florida using qPCR and dPCR. *Plant Dis.* 103:1918-1922.
- Corbett, M.K. 1959. Diseases of the coconut palm. *Principes* 3:5-12, 39.
- Córdova, I., Oropeza, C., Puch-Hau, C., Harrison, N., Collá-Rodríguez, A., Narvaez, M., Nic-Matos, G., Reyes, C., and Sáenz, L. 2014. A real-time PCR assay for detection of coconut lethal yellowing phytoplasmas of group 16SrIV subgroups A, D, and E found in the Americas. *J. Plant Pathol.* 96:343-352.
- Dey, K. K., Jeyaprakash, A., Hansen, J., Jones, D., Smith, T., Davison, D., Srivastava, P., Bahder, B., Li, C., and Sun, X. 2018. First report of the 16SrIV-D phytoplasma associated with decline of a Bismarck Palm (*Bismarckia nobilis*). *Plant Health Prog.* 19:128.
- Giesbrecht, M., Schuster, G., and Ong, K. 2014. Date palm lethal decline in Texas landscapes. Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Document EPLP-012.
- Harrison, N.A. and Elliott, M.L. 2016. Texas Phoenix Palm Decline. UF/IFAS Extension document PP243.
- Harrison, N. A., Helmick, E. E., and Elliott, M. L. 2008. Lethal yellowing-type diseases of palms associated with phytoplasma newly identified in Florida, USA. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 153:85-94.
- Harrison, N. A., Helmick, E. E., and Elliott, M. L. 2009. First report of a phytoplasma-associated lethal decline of *Sabal palmetto* in Florida, USA. *Plant Pathol.* 58:792.
- Harrison, N. A., Womack, M., and Carpio, M. L. 2002. Detection and characterization of a lethal Yellowing (16SrIV) group phytoplasma in Canary Island Date Palms affected by lethal decline in Texas. *Plant Dis.* 86:676-681.
- Howard, F. W., and Collins, M. E. 1978. Palm species susceptible and resistant to mycoplasma-like organism-associated lethal declines in Fairchild tropical garden. FL-78-5, Agricultural Research Center – University of Florida.

- Jeyaprakash, A., Sutton, B. D., Halbert, S. E., and Schubert, T. S. 2011. First report of a 16SrIV-D phytoplasma associated with Texas Phoenix Palm Decline on Pigmy Date Palm (*Phoenix roebelinii*) in Florida. *Plant Dis.* 95:1475.
- Khachatryan, H. and Hodges, A.W. 2017. Florida nursery crops and landscaping industry economic impacts, situation, and outlook. UF/IFAS EDIS document FE946.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33: 1870-1874.
- Lara, I. C., Narváez, L. M., Hau, C. P., Oropeza, C., and Sáenz, L. 2017. Detection and identification of lethal yellowing phytoplasma 16SrIV-A and D associated with *Adonidia merrillii* palms in Mexico. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 46:389-396.
- Narváez, M. I., Ortíz, E., Silverio, C., Santamaría, J. M., Espadas, F., and Oropeza, C. 2017. Changes observed in *Pritchardia pacifica* palms affected by a lethal yellowing-type disease in Mexico. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 16:2331-2340.
- Rich, P. M. 1987. Developmental anatomy of the stem of *Welfia georgii*, *Iriartea gigantea*, and other arborescent palms: implications for mechanical support. *Am. J. Bot.* 74:792-802.
- Singh, R. R., and Ferguson, M. H. 2017. First report of a 'Candidatus Phytoplasma palmarum'-related subgroup 16SrIV-D phytoplasma on *Trachycarpus fortunei*. *Australas. Plant Dis. Notes* 12:59.
- Vázquez-Euán, R., Harrison, N., Narvaez, M., and Oropeza, C. 2011. Occurrence of a 16SrIV group phytoplasma not previously associated with palm species in Yucatan, Mexico. *Plant Dis.* 95:256-262.