Where Does the Peanut Smut Pathogen, *Thecaphora frezii*, Fit in the Spectrum of Smut Diseases?

Silvina L. Arias,¹ Verónica S. Mary,^{2,3} Pilar A. Velez,^{2,3} María G. Rodriguez,^{2,3} Santiago N. Otaiza-González,^{2,3} and Martín G. Theumer^{2,3,†}

¹ Plant Pathology and Microbiology Department, Seed Science Center, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-4009, U.S.A.

² Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC), Facultad de Ciencias Químicas (FCQ), Departamento de Bioquímica Clínica, Córdoba, Argentina

³ Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Centro de Investigaciones en Bioquímica Clínica e Inmunología (CIBICI), Córdoba, Argentina

Abstract

Smut fungi, such as *Ustilago maydis*, have been studied extensively as a model for plant-pathogenic basidiomycetes. However, little attention has been paid to smut diseases of agronomic importance that are caused by species of the genus *Thecaphora*, probably due to their more localized distribution. Peanut smut incited by *Thecaphora frezii* has been reported only in South America, and Argentina is the only country where this disease has been noted in commercial peanut production. In this work, important advances in deciphering *T. frezii* specific biology/pathobiology in relation to potato (*T. solani*), wheat (*U. tritici*), and barley (*U. nuda*) smuts are presented. We summarize the state of knowledge of fungal effectors, functionally characterized to date in *U. maydis* and most recently in *T. thlaspeos*, as well as the

potential to be present in other *Thecaphora* species involved in dicot-host interactions like *T. frezii*-peanut. We also discuss applicability and limitations of currently available methods for identification of smut fungi in different situations and management strategies to reduce their impact on agri-food quality. We conclude by describing some of the challenges in elucidating *T. frezii* strategies that allow it to infect the host and tolerate or evade plant immune defense mechanisms, and assessing other aspects related to pest control and their implications for human health.

Keywords: peanut smut, *Thecaphora frezii*, smut diseases, fungal life cycle, effectors

Peanut Smut: The Pathogen *Thecaphora frezii* Put at Risk the Argentinean Yields

In October 2020, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) reported a global peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) production of approximately 46 million metric tons for 2019–20. Argentina was eighth among producers, with 1.3 million metric tons (Fig. 1A) (USDA 2020). In recent years, Argentina has commercialized processed peanuts, including shelled peanuts (the main product marketed

worldwide), oil, pellets, snacks, peanut paste, chocolate peanuts, and other confectionery products. It was recently the world's third largest exporter of shelled peanuts, after India and the United States. The second most important product for this sector was prepared or preserved peanuts. Argentina served as a main exporter of preserved peanuts, with 42% of their total volume traded with the United States, Japan, Korea, and Canada, among others. These data clearly show the importance that peanut cultivation has for the Argentine economy. Since 2010–11, more than 80% of Argentina's peanut production has been cultivated in the province of Córdoba (Fig. 1B and 1C).

However, peanut smut, caused by the fungus *Thecaphora frezii* (Carranza and Lindquist 1962), seriously affects production of the commercial species (*Arachis hypogaea*), causing yield losses of 30% or more (Bressano et al. 2019). Although the first report of smut in wild peanut was in Brazil in 1962, it was detected for the first time in Argentina in 1994–95, in peanuts from the north-central region of Córdoba (Marinelli et al. 1995). The disease became commercially relevant during 2001–02, after which it grew exponentially. During 2015–16, the production area was severely affected, with disease prevalence reaching 100% (Cazón et al. 2018). Other South American countries, such as Brazil and Bolivia, have reported presence of this disease, but only in wild peanut species (Carranza and Lindquist 1962; Soave et al. 2014).

The pathogen is disseminated primarily on seed. Spores of *T. frezii* can superficially contaminate healthy seeds after shelling or through

[†]Corresponding author: M. G. Theumer; mgtheumer@unc.edu.ar

Funding: We acknowledge the financial support of the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, Ames, Iowa, which is sponsored by Hatch Act and State of Iowa funds. We acknowledge the financial support from Secretary of Science and Technique (SECyT-UNC, grant 33620180101257CB); National Agency for Scientific and Technological Promotion, Argentina (ANPCyT, grant PICT 2015-2810); and Ministry of Science and Technology (MINCyT-Cba, grants PE-2019 Theca-free, and PID-2018).

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

Accepted for publication 21 April 2021.

small lesions (Cazón et al. 2016a). For export, preserving the phytosanitary status of producer regions is crucial. The U.S. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) restricts importation of peanuts from Argentina and Brazil for planting due to concerns associated with peanut smut (APHIS, DA-2017-35). A federal order prohibits importation of raw peanut unless it is shelled, without red skin, and blanched for at least 12 min at 82°C. Processed peanuts (roasted peanuts or peanut butter) are still admissible under current regulations.

Considerable research has been published since the peanut smut pathogen was identified (Astiz Gassó and Marinelli 2003; Cazón et al. 2016a; Conforto et al. 2013; Marinelli et al. 2010), including an excellent review (Rago et al. 2017). The present article updates the major

findings in understanding *T. frezii* biology and pathobiology in relation to other agronomically important smut fungi, such as the closely related pathogen *T. solani*. Effectors, which are key compounds produced by pathogens that determine host colonization and infection, have not been explored in *Thecaphora* spp. until recently (Courville et al. 2019). Here, we discuss roles and delivery mechanisms of fungal effectors in the interaction of the model system *Ustilago maydis*–maize and in recent studies of *T. thlaspeos*–*Arabidopsis thaliana*, highlighting both conserved and unique mechanisms among the smut fungi. We also explore currently available morphological and molecular methods and difficulties associated with a paucity of morphological characters. We conclude with challenges associated with peanut smut management and future directions for research.

Fig. 1. World's top 10 peanut producing countries and Argentinean contribution during the last decade. **A**, Top 10 ranking of peanut producing countries in 2019–20 (USDA 2020). Values between brackets indicate peanut production, in million metric tons. **B**, Geographic location of Córdoba province (dark gray), the main peanut-producing area in the central-north region of Argentina. **C**, Contribution of Córdoba province to the total peanut production of Argentina in the last decade. Data source: Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries of Argentina. <http://datosestimaciones.magyp.gob.ar/reportes.php?reporte=Estimaciones>.

Where Does the Peanut Smut Pathogen Fit in the Spectrum of Smut Diseases?

T. frezii is a smut fungus. Therefore, it is part of a large non-monophyletic group of fungal biotrophs with similar life strategies. Smut fungi are obligate parasites of vascular plants that belong to the phylum Basidiomycota, subphylum Ustilaginomycotina, also known as the “true smut fungi” (Vánky 2012). Smut diseases affect monocots (such as rice, wheat, corn, barley, and rye, as well as forage grasses) and dicots (such as peanuts, potatoes, and onions) of agricultural importance worldwide.

Historically, smuts have impaired cereal production by reducing grain quality. More than 1,500 species of smut fungi are recognized as plant pathogens; however, those that attack cereal crops are of major concern due to their economic impact (Zuo et al. 2019). Smut disease severity varies depending on weather conditions and agricultural practices. Interestingly, while some smuts are widespread, other are limited to specific regions. Widely distributed species include *U. tritici* (loose smut, wheat); *U. nuda* (loose smut, barley); *Tilletia controversa* (dwarf bunt, wheat); *T. indica* (Karnal bunt, wheat); *T. caries* (common bunt, wheat); *Urocystis agropyri* (flag smut, wheat); *U. maydis* (common smut, maize); *Sphacelotheca reiliana* (head smut, maize); and *U. hordei* (covered smut of barley) (GBIF 2020). Wheat and barley smuts are not devastating diseases; however, they are found wherever these grasses are cultivated (Abraham 2019; Woldemichael 2019). Yield reductions are frequently proportional to the incidence of infected plants within a field. Nowadays, reported grain losses are less than 1%, but this can rise to >30% if highly contaminated seeds of susceptible varieties are sown in the absence of integrated management practices.

In contrast, *T. frezii* and the closely related species *T. solani* occur in geographically delimited areas (Fig. 2). While peanut smut by *T. frezii* has been identified only in cultivated species in Argentina, potato smut caused by *T. solani* is found in the Andean region of South America and parts of Mexico and Panama (EFSA 2018). Potato smut is a very destructive disease that has caused losses of up to 90% in both productivity and quality in Chile (Andrade et al. 2004). Potato is the main host, but this fungus also can infect tomato and wild solanaceous plants. Currently, a phytosanitary regulation has been applied to prevent the entry of *T. solani* into the European Union.

Fig. 2. Geographical distribution of *Thecaphora* species responsible for peanut and potato smuts. Although peanut smut was reported only in commercial species in Argentina, *T. solani* was found in Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Panama, Peru, and Venezuela.

Symptoms and General Life Cycle of Smuts (Ustilaginomycetes).

Smut diseases exhibit different symptoms depending on the fungal species. The common feature is an increase in size (hypertrophy) of plant tissues in the form of galls, where the affected cells are stimulated for division and/or growth (hyperplasia), causing deformity of plant organs (Harris and Pitzschke 2020). These altered cells are destroyed and replaced by thick-walled, dark- or light-brown and black, dust-like teliospores (Agrios 2005; Munkvold and White 2016). The infections are usually not detected until sporulation occurs on or in the invaded parenchymatous tissues. Even though most smuts may spread systemically through their hosts, the primary symptoms are often restricted to female or male inflorescences. Several smuts also affect stems and leaves, while in other cases the compromised tissues are limited to the belowground organs (Agrios 2005).

Ustilaginomycetes (the true smuts) parasitize angiosperms, mostly belonging to Poaceae and Cyperaceae families. Interestingly, the teliospore-forming species invade herbaceous hosts almost exclusively (Zuo et al. 2019). The general life cycle of smut fungi (Fig. 3) displays saprophytic and parasitic phases, with different ploidy (number of sets of chromosomes) and a variable number of nuclei (1 or 2) in each cell (Bauer et al. 2008). Teliospores are dormant structures produced by smuts that allow the fungi to survive in the environment for long periods until they meet a susceptible host to invade. Under suitable conditions of temperature and humidity, but especially in the presence of a host, the mature diploid teliospore (which represents the fungal diplophase) germinates and undergoes meiosis. A young promycelium with haploid cells and sexual budding yeast, known as sporidia, begins

Fig. 3. General life cycle of a typical smut fungus (Ustilaginomycetes). Under favorable conditions, smut fungi teliospores germinate and give rise to a short promycelium with transverse septa, where, after meiosis, terminal and lateral monokaryotic basidiospores or sporidia are formed (A). Sporidia can grow indefinitely as a budding yeast phase or give small primary mycelia (B). When two compatible partners are found, plasmogamy occurs with development of a secondary dikaryotic mycelium that can invade host tissues (C, D). Later, immature dikaryotic teliospores are formed from the hyphal mass by simple fragmentation and acquisition of a resistant wall (E). Karyogamy produces diploid teliospores, which spread in the environment and infect susceptible hosts (F). The red inner circle represents the nuclear cycle of smut fungus with mitosis (M), plasmogamy (P), and karyogamy (K) preceding the haploid (slender solid line), dikaryotic (double line), and diploid (heavy solid line) phases, respectively. Saprophytic (dark grey) and parasitic (light grey) fungal stages are also depicted. The diffuse white line marks the beginning of fungal cycle, and progression is shown clockwise.

haplophase. Occasionally, instead of sporidia, the promycelium forms haploid hyphae. The fusion (plasmogamy) of two sporidia or hyphae with compatible mating types (determined at the fungal MAT locus) restores the dikaryotic state and gives rise to parasitic mycelium (dikaryophase). The fungus grows intra- or intercellularly inside the plant, mainly in the meristematic tissues, without causing significant tissue damage until sporulation. Spore development occurs primarily in the host's reproductive organs, in galls. Sporogenesis begins with hyphal fragmentation. The developing spores (simple or aggregated) are covered with a thick, echinulate (with small spines) wall and are commonly black or dark brown. Teliospores form masses, also known as sori, that look like coal dust. Nuclear fusion (karyogamy) in immature diploid spores produces mature diploid monokaryotic teliospores (Zuo et al. 2019).

Features of *Thecaphora frezii* Biology That Are Specific or Shared with Other Agronomically Important Smuts

Peanut smut. The peanut plant blooms above the ground, but the fruit develops underground. After self-pollination, the flowers lose their petals and the fertilized ovules, future seeds, and fruit penetrate into the soil by a specialized organ called a gynophore or peg (Cazón

et al. 2018). During this underground stage of rapid metabolic activity and plant cell division, *T. frezii* invades. Peanut smut is a monocyclic (one infection cycle per growing season) and polyetic (inoculum accumulation affects subsequent seasons) disease (Rago et al. 2017). *T. frezii* causes partial or total destruction of peanut fruit, which is evidenced by appearance of “brown flour” or smut (masses of teliospores) replacing seed tissue inside mature pods (Fig. 4). Information concerning the details of fungal infection and dissemination is sparse. However, it is clear that the burial of the peanut gynophores or pegs in the soil is a critical stage for infection. The molecular mechanisms involved in this process are currently unknown. Mary et al. (2017) evaluated the ability of peg extracts to induce spore germination in an in vitro experiment. The authors used pegs collected from peanut plants grown in soils contaminated or not by *T. frezii* teliospores. Spore germination occurred earlier and at higher percentages in extracts of those developed from soils contaminated by the fungus. These results reinforce the hypothesis that *T. frezii* teliospore germination is triggered by the pegs through the release of chemical mediators. Infection takes place after overcoming several physical and chemical barriers, in the absence of effective defense mechanisms to limit fungal invasion. Briefly, the teliospores surrounding pegs germinate and produce sporidia (Fig. 5A). These cells need to fuse with a compatible partner to produce

Fig. 4. Peanut smut symptoms caused by *Thecaphora frezii*. **A**, Infected seed tissues are replaced by a powdery mass of reddish-brown teliospores. **B**, Correlation between external symptoms of disease and tissue destruction in pods with one (middle two), and both affected seeds (right). An uninfected pod is shown on the left for comparison. **C**, Grains not infected (left) and partially damaged by *Thecaphora frezii*.

the infective form (the dikaryotic mycelium). Upon invasion, the latter produce galls and reach the developing seeds to partially or totally transform them into a carbonaceous mass of teliospores (Marinelli et al. 2010; Rago et al. 2017). Marraro Acuña et al. (2012) studied fungal localization in histological sections of pegs in the early stages of development and reported that initially, the infective mycelium invaded the outer and middle layers of parenchymal cells of the developing fruit, inducing cellular hyperplasia. The authors observed the presence of haustoria. Later, hyphae infect seeds through the funiculus, a connecting tissue that holds them to the fruit,

and spread throughout the integument. In the most advanced stages of infection, the fungus develops intra- and intercellularly in the cotyledon reserve parenchyma, attacking the phloem and then the xylem (Astiz Gasso et al. 2010). As in other smuts, teliospore formation begins with fragmentation of hyphae and proceeds until seeds transform into carbonaceous masses. Strongly bound teliospores (2 to 7) form glomeruli. Deformation and hypertrophy are commonly observed in smutted mature fruit, although the lack of such symptoms is not always associated with absence of infection (Marinelli et al. 2010).

Fig. 5. Peanut smut by *Thecaphora frezii*. Biological features in comparison with those from other agronomically important smuts. The disease cycle of *T. frezii* (A) shares similarities and differences with that of *T. solani*, the etiological agent of potato smut (B), and those of *Ustilago tritici* (wheat smut) and *U. nuda* (barley smut) (C). These fungi produce monocyclic diseases (having only one appearance in the host cycle), while inoculum accumulation in successive seasons (polyetic) negatively affects the production of peanut and potato, but not wheat or barley. Teliospore dormancy is a property shared by *T. frezii* and *T. solani*; however, in both *Ustilago* species the fungi overwinter in their mycelial states in infected seeds. Soil-contaminating teliospores that contaminate soil are the cause of peanut infection and smut development. Conversely, infected seed tubers and infected seeds transmit potato and wheat/barley smuts, respectively. Currently available data suggest that chemical mediators in peanut plant exudates could trigger teliospore germination. Dikaryotic mycelium arises after mating of compatible sporidia (*T. frezii* and *T. solani*) or hyphae (*U. tritici* and *U. nuda*) produce the infective dikaryotic mycelia. Infection and gall formation are limited to the underground plant parts of peanut (gynophores or pegs) and potato (potentially all growing stem parts but not roots), while aerial organs are affected in wheat and barley. Symptoms of infection are evident at harvest (peanut and potato), or immediately before spike emergence in wheat and barley. Farm machinery and wind, among others, can transport spores to uncontaminated soil. The red inner circle represents the nuclear cycle of each smut fungus with mitosis (M), plasmogamy (P), and karyogamy (K) preceding the haploid (thin solid line), dikaryotic (double line), and diploid (thick solid line) phases, respectively. Saprophytic (dark grey) and parasitic (light grey) fungal stages are also depicted. The diffuse white lines mark the beginning of fungal cycles, and progression is shown clockwise.

Most soil contamination occurs during the peanut picking and threshing process, where the peg of the spore-laden fruit is frequently broken or cut, increasing inoculum with each cultivation cycle. Although seed contamination with teliospores does not endanger seedling development in the next growing season (Cazón et al. 2018), it serves as a vehicle for spreading the disease over long distances. Wind contributes to peanut smut dissemination, transporting teliospores to formerly *T. frezii*-free fields. The spores survive in the soil and crop residue, where they can remain viable for years (Cazón et al. 2016b).

Potato smut. Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) production is carried out mainly by vegetative multiplication of tubers used as seeds. Seed potatoes are grown in regions considered safe from the point of view of pest and disease transmission. Potato smut, caused by *T. solani*, is one of the most damaging fungal diseases affecting potato crops. The pathogen is transmitted primarily by infected seed tubers (Andrade and Muñoz 2005). Many aspects of the infection process remain unclear. In infected potatoes, the fungus grows internally through the underground stems until it reaches the developing tubers (Fig. 5B). Fungal penetration of the host plant cortex is followed by ramification toward the phloem and parenchyma. The hyphae stimulate proliferation of cells in the cambium, causing hypertrophy in stems and tubers (Andrade and Muñoz 2005). The fungus invades potato tubers, stolons, and underground stem parts but not the roots. Each gall develops from a separate infection. The size and shape of galls depend mostly on the time of infection. They contain dark brown to black spores, whose carbonaceous appearance give the disease its name (Andrade 2005). Unlike peanut smut, symptoms of infection are not found on aerial parts of plants. Spore sori are easy to identify in malformed tubers, but their absence in those that are asymptomatic does not necessarily correlate with health. Andrade and Muñoz (2005) reported that some healthy tubers can carry the fungus without causing visible symptoms until the next harvest, thereby increasing the chances of spread and introduction of the pathogen to disease-free areas. Undetectable levels of spores can be transported on the surface of healthy tubers favor pathogen spread. Although the fungus can persist for up to 7 years in gall fragments (Torres 2002), growth of non-infected seed potatoes in contaminated soil is not considered the principal mode of transmission. Other routes of transmission such as shoes, machinery, and tools contaminated with soil from infected areas are also possible. Natural dispersion of the fungus by water or wind is also a possibility; however, the potential is very low (EFSA 2018).

Other solanaceous species, such as *S. lycopersicum* (tomato) and wild solanaceous plants (*Datura stramonium*, *S. stoloniferum*) are hosts of *T. solani*, and in consequence are considered potential reservoirs of the pathogen (Andrade et al. 2004). For example, *D. stramonium* that grows in potato production fields can contribute to the fungal contamination of soil (CABI 2019b).

Bearing in mind the current knowledge of this disease, the need for using certified pathogen-free seed tubers for potato production becomes evident. Moreover, the cultivation of resistant varieties, the implementation of sanitation measures, and the submission to compliance with a quarantine period are mandatory to avoid disease dissemination by seed tubers.

Loose smuts of wheat and barley. Loose smut caused by *Ustilago tritici* (Pers.) Rostr. of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and loose smut of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) caused by *U. nuda* are seedborne monocyclic diseases. The biology of both pathogens is similar (Fig. 5C) but shows some differences with that of *T. frezii* (Fig. 5). The disease cycles show that primary infection takes place when teliospores, released by smutted heads, fall into healthy flowers. Following spore germination and mating, the young infective mycelium penetrates the developing seed, where it will lie dormant. In the next growing season, the mycelium grows in parallel to seedling development, producing a systemic infection, which reaches maturity and sporulates to give smutted heads, completing the cycle.

Both diseases result from a complex interplay between the pathogen, the host, and the weather conditions that occur during bloom. The pathogenicity of *U. tritici* is dependent on its physiological race. Race in this context is defined as members of a spore collection sharing a relatively conserved virulence against a defined set of differential wheat

varieties. An in-depth knowledge of such pathogenic behavior is essential for the development of wheat varieties resistant to smut.

Unlike what is seen in peanut smut, *U. tritici* and *U. nuda* infections affect aerial parts of plants. Both wheat and barley loose smuts were recently reviewed (Abraham 2019; Woldemichael 2019). The vegetative growth of infected plants can be slightly increased (barley plants may be slightly taller than unaffected neighbors) or mostly unaltered (wheat). Symptoms generally become evident at the phenological stage of spike emergence. Characteristic narrow linear sori can eventually be detected on the flag leaves, sheath, and culms of barley. Healthy and *U. tritici*-infected wheat heads emerge almost simultaneously, but *U. nuda* infection seems to slightly accelerate the maturation process in barley.

Teliospores from smutted spikes are transported by several carriers, including insects and wind, to the flowers of non-infected heads (Fig. 5C). It is believed that wind spreads spores to nearby healthy heads with recently opened florets. The initial steps of fungal invasion occur from early and mid-anthesis to 2 days after flowering, while the female reproductive tissues are susceptible to infection. Environmental conditions can lengthen or shorten the period that flowers stay open, and therefore modify the probability of infection. Another difference with *T. frezii* is that *U. tritici* and *U. nuda* teliospores do not show a dormancy period. With favorable relative humidity and temperature inside the glumes, the spores that land on open flowers quickly germinate. Excessive heat or low humidity can inhibit seed invasion. After several rounds of meiosis and mitosis in the teliospore diploid nuclei, a promycelium (slightly curved in *U. tritici*), initially formed by four monokaryotic haploid cells, emerges. Typically, *U. tritici* and *U. nuda* promycelia do not form sporidia. Young cells in the germ tube divide and lead to the formation of haploid hyphae that elongate and fuse through short conjugation tubes. The dikaryotic infective hyphae rebranch, grow along the stigma, and proceed between and through the cells to penetrate the ovary and infect the embryo.

Independently from entry through the style, *U. tritici* can penetrate the ovary wall directly or enter from any part of the pericarp to reach the embryo (Ram and Singh 2004). Regardless of the infection mechanism, the mycelium reaches the growing point of the embryo, where it will lie dormant in the plumular bud of the asymptomatic mature kernel until seed germination.

In some cases, seed infection may weaken or kill seedlings before emergence. If germination occurs, the dormant dikaryotic mycelium (diplophase) revitalizes and starts to grow. It invades meristem tissue and is transported in the crown node throughout the seedling, colonizing the seed primordia. The mycelium develops sporiferous hyphae and sporulation proceeds in parallel with the development of the spike, whose tissues are largely replaced by sori containing teliospores. The two nuclei in the initial young dikaryotic spores fuse together to give mature diploid monokaryotic spores. The sori of *U. nuda*, but not *U. tritici*, are covered with a thin and fragile membrane, whose rupture shortly after emergence leaves the teliospore mass naked and free for dispersion in the environment. Almost all parts of young spikes except the rachis and the awns (barley) are destroyed and replaced before their emergence by a powdery mass of the characteristic light olivaceous-brown teliospores.

Effectors: Chemical weapons of smut fungi against their hosts. A common feature of smut fungi is their lifestyle. They are biotrophic organisms, which means that they need living plant host cells from which nutrients are taken up in order to survive. As a result, they establish a close interaction with the host, minimizing damage to the host during infection. Plants usually react in response to the biotrophic pathogen's "attack" with a first line of defense including physical cell wall reinforcement, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), or oxidative burst and production of antifungal compounds, among others. In addition, salicylic acid (SA) signaling leads the defense response against biotrophs, which induces the expression of defense genes and localized cell death (Glazebrook 2005). Plant local cell death, also called hypersensitive response (HR), can effectively circumscribe the pathogens and limit their access to nutrients and water.

To deal with the host defense response, pathogens have a secret "army" called effectors. These compounds help the microorganisms to manipulate the immune response of the plant and can determine

the outcome of the interaction. Effectors are composed of secreted proteins but may also include secondary metabolites and sRNAs (Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2018). The protein effectors are classified as apoplastic or cytoplasmic according to their zone of action in the host plant. The effectors could be either secreted to the intercellular space (apoplast), i.e., stay in the space between plant and pathogen cells, or be translocated into the cytoplasm of host cells (Lanver et al. 2017). Sequencing the fungal genome has provided significant insight into the study of protein effectors in smut fungi, especially in *U. maydis*

but also *Sporisorium reilianum*, *U. hordei*, *S. scitamineum* (Schuster et al. 2018), and *T. thlaspeos* (Courville et al. 2019). Interestingly, the genome of *U. maydis*, the model of biotrophic plant-pathogenic basidiomycetes, is very similar to that of *T. thlaspeos*, but they behave very differently (Frantzeskakis et al. 2017).

Although several effectors have been functionally characterized, particularly for *U. maydis* (Xia et al. 2020), little is known about the genus *Thecaphora*. A smut fungus effector in the early stages of infection is Pep1 (protein essential during penetration 1), which is essential

Fig. 6. Functionally characterized effectors of *Ustilago maydis* and *Thecaphora thlaspeos*. Upper: Plant defense manipulation of *U. maydis* by the apoplastic Pep1 (a protein essential during penetration 1) and the cytoplasmic Cm1 (chorismate mutase 1), Tin2 (tumor inducing 2), and See1 (seedling-efficient effector 1) effectors. *U. maydis* can grow both intra- and intercellularly in host plants. Conversely, many other smut grasses as well as *T. thlaspeos* and *U. maydis* infect locally rather than systemically and form large tumors on all aerial tissues. Several effectors are required to induce tumors. Pep1 inhibits the maize peroxidase enzyme (POX12) that contributes to ROS production. This effector is essential for the fungus to enter in its host. Cm1 alters host salicylic acid (SA) production by sequestering chorismate (SA precursor) and redirecting it toward the synthesis of tyrosine and phenylalanine. Tin2 induces tumor-like structures in vegetative tissue by stabilization of the enzyme plant kinase (TTK1). This leads to the induction of the anthocyanin. In the absence of tin2, lignin synthesis is increased. See1 reactivates plant DNA synthesis through interaction with a cell cycle suppressor (SGT1), during tumor formation in maize leaves. Lower: *T. thlaspeos*, manipulation of plant defenses by the effector Pep1, likely localized in the apoplast, as well as two likely cytoplasmic effectors Np1 (necrosis and ethylene-inducing peptide 1 [Nep1]-like proteins) and Tue1 (*Thecaphora* unique effector 1) effectors are shown. Pep1 could potentially inhibit the *A. thaliana* peroxidase enzyme (PR33/34) preventing the host oxidative burst. A non-cytotoxic Np1 may also prevent the ROS burst by inhibiting a dicot-specific NADPH oxidase. Tue1 could potentially induce the expression of ERF6 (ethylene response factor 6) and therefore active JA/ET response.

for entering both monocot and dicot hosts (Fig. 6). This functionally conserved compound is required by *U. maydis*, *S. reilianum*, *U. hordei*, and *T. thlaspeos* to penetrate maize, barley, or *Arabidopsis thaliana*, respectively (Courville et al. 2019; Doehlemann et al. 2009; Hemetsberger et al. 2012). In the *U. maydis*–maize pathosystem, Pep1 inhibits an apoplastic plant peroxidase enzyme (POX12) that is one of the key components of the oxidative burst, suppressing the host defense response. Similarly, *T. thlaspeos*’ Pep1 could potentially block an apoplastic *A. thaliana* peroxidase (PR33/34) function (Courville et al. 2019). Noticeably, Pep1 is a pathogenicity factor for *U. maydis* and *U. hordei*. Without it, biotrophic infection would not be possible (Doehlemann et al. 2009).

Nlps (necrosis and ethylene-inducing peptide 1 [Nep1]-like proteins) are effectors that inhibit defense responses typically seen in dicot hosts (Oome et al. 2014) but not in monocots, such as cereal grasses. For example, a non-cytotoxic Nlp1 in *T. thlaspeos* does not cause necrosis in cells but may potentially suppress the function of the dicot-specific enzyme NADPH oxidase, inhibiting the oxidative burst (Courville 2018). Although more studies are needed to define the function of Nlp1, this finding suggests that effector genes identified in *T. thlaspeos* (Courville et al. 2019) may also be identified in other *Thecaphora* species involved in dicot-host interactions like *T. frezii*–peanut and *T. solani*–potatoes.

Smut fungi can use multiple strategies to dampen host reactions. For instance, *U. maydis* secretes Rsp3 and UmFly1 proteins to protect itself from plant-derived antifungals (Ma et al. 2018; Ökmen et al. 2018). Moreover, the pathogens can outsmart phytohormone signaling in different ways. While SA protects plants against biotrophic pathogens, ethylene (ET) and jasmonic acid (JA) lead responses against necrotrophs (Glazebrook 2005). The virulence factor of *U. maydis*, Cmu1 (chorismate mutase 1), reduces synthesis of SA by lowering the level of its precursor chorismate (Djamei et al. 2011). In the shikimate pathway, Cmu1 detours the synthesis of SA toward the production of other compounds (phenylalanine, tyrosine) (Lanver et al. 2017). Recently, Tue1 (*Thecaphora* unique effector 1), a novel effector candidate secreted by *T. thlaspeos*, was described (Courville et al. 2019). Interestingly, Tue1 may activate the expression of ERF6 (ethylene response factor 6). ERF6 is a transcription factor involved in response to abiotic stress (Huang et al. 2016). However, ERFs participate in the regulation of the genetic expression of JA/ET response (Huang et al. 2016). ERF6 could potentially induce PDF1.2 expression, hence triggering JA/ET response, effective for necrotrophic but not biotrophic pathogens. Although effectors have not yet been described in *T. frezii*, Mary et al. (2019) found that the fungus could manipulate plant immunity through the jasmonate signaling pathway. The authors found that the jasmonate levels in peg extracts showed a direct correlation with susceptibility to *T. frezii* smut and were increased by the presence of teliospores in the ground. These results show that plant defense mechanisms can be modulated by teliospores and that the young promycelium in soils, or compounds released by them, potentially favor fungal invasion.

While effectors of necrotrophic pathogens kill host cells in order to get nutrients, effectors of biotrophs “manipulate death” or localized cell death. Most smut fungi colonize their host without causing drastic symptoms. Many smuts of grasses, such as *S. reilianum* and *T. thlaspeos*, develop systemically and disease symptoms are restricted to the inflorescence of the host plant after several weeks or months. Conversely, *U. maydis* and *T. frezii* infect locally and produce symptoms in aboveground or belowground parts of the plant, respectively. The effector repertoires of each smut fungus evolve according to its lifestyle. For instance, the effector Tin2 (tumor inducing 2), common to a number of smut fungi, displays different functions when *S. reilianum* or *U. maydis* infect maize (Tanaka et al. 2014; Zess et al. 2019). *S. reilianum* follows the typical model of smut fungi that infect grasses, whereas symptoms like tumors can be visualized after systemic infection. In *S. reilianum*, Tin2 inhibits critical proteins in maize, plant kinases TTK2 and TTK3 (Tanaka et al. 2019). For *U. maydis*, in contrast, Tin2 induces plant anthocyanin production (red pigment) by stabilization, but not inhibition, of the plant kinase enzyme (TTK1) (Tanaka et al. 2014). Induction of the anthocyanin pathway may inhibit the biosynthesis of lignin. Deficiency in lignification of the cell wall could explain the development of noticeable tumors in aerial vegetative tissues during the early

stages of infection in addition to tumor formation in the inflorescence. Tanaka et al. (2019) showed evidence that *U. maydis*’ Tin2 has gone through an evolutionary process called “neofunctionalization” related to tumor formation. The authors observed that the ancestral Tin2 effector can substitute virulence function in *S. reilianum* but not in *U. maydis*, suggesting that Tin2 has acquired a new function in *U. maydis* according to its lifestyle. See1 (seedling-efficient effector 1) is another cytoplasmic effector that, along with Tin2, is crucial for tumor formation (Redkar et al. 2015). Interestingly, although See1 has been described in other smut fungi such as *U. hordei*, it induces tumors only in *U. maydis* (Redkar et al. 2015). This seedling-specific effector intervenes in reactivation of maize DNA synthesis and cell division in leaves, contributing to tumor progression (Xia et al. 2020). See1 reactivates plant DNA synthesis through interaction with a cell cycle suppressor (SGT1) during tumor formation in maize leaves. Future research will be crucial to determine if See1, like Tin2, has acquired a new function in *U. maydis*.

Disease Assessment and Methods for Isolation and Identification of *Thecaphora* Smuts

Field evaluation of disease symptoms of peanut and potato smuts is difficult because they are absent in aerial parts. Therefore, they can be evaluated only at harvest time. To assess peanut smut, it is necessary to open mature pods. Astiz Gassó et al. (2008) proposed the following five-level scale to characterize disease severity: 0, healthy pods; 1, normal pod with a small sorus in a single kernel; 2, deformed or normal pod with half of the kernels affected; 3, deformed pod and a completely smutted kernel; 4, deformed pod with two completely smutted kernels. Later, Paredes et al. (2014) calculated a disease intensity index, combining incidence (proportion of infected pods) and severity of infection in a representative pod sample.

Fungal detection can be required to confirm infection and is essential to facilitate removal of infected but asymptomatic pods. Moreover, it is a useful tool to evaluate the persistence of smut fungi span in seeds and soil. The methods currently available for fungal detection include microbiological cultures, teliospore identification and counts, and recognition and quantification of fungal-specific nucleic acids, among others. As is expected, some methods fit better than others depending on the test purpose.

Culturing enables initial isolation and morphological characterization of pathogens. Cultures are part of in vitro evaluation tests to detect potential antifungal substances and in studies aimed at unveiling fungal genetic traits. In order to avoid contamination, protocols for spore cultures include slight surface disinfection before plating. Germination of teliospores is typically low, with the highest rates observed in media supplemented with extracts of some part of the susceptible hosts. This fact, plus the long time required to form colonies (usually one month), limit the value of microbiological cultures for detecting smut fungal infection or contamination. Supplementation of common culture media with gynophore extracts improved the sprouting of *T. frezii* teliospores, although the improvement was modest (Astiz Gassó and Marinelli 2013). A similar behavior was reported in *T. solani* and the low germination rate was attributed to different degrees of maturity in a teliospore population, whereas only those that were fully mature (found in sori showing a dusty aspect when transecting a gall) were able to germinate (Andrade et al. 2004). The *T. frezii* spores germinate and mycelium develops in Murashige and Skoog medium when supplemented with peanut peg extracts (Fig. 7). Fungal subcultures usually have fewer nutritional requirements and can use conventional culture media without supplements, although slow growth rate remains a limitation.

Several surfaces, including those of peanut seeds and agricultural machinery, may carry *T. frezii* teliospores, and thereby increase their inoculum in soils. Fungal spore adsorption to surfaces can be investigated by means of a “washing test.” This is a rapid and cheap assay, based in the microscopic identification of spores in sediments from surface lavages with water and detergents. Marraro Acuña et al. (2012) evaluated the washing test protocol, described in the quarantine procedures manual of the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO), and observed consistency and repeatability of the results when it was applied for *T. frezii* spore detection in peanuts. A similar procedure, but replacing centrifugation with natural

sedimentation, was performed to detect soil contamination with teliospores (Marinelli et al. 2008). The results of such studies help to predict disease severity in hypothetical scenarios where disease-favorable weather conditions converge.

Sediments of washing solutions were also employed to assess nucleic acids. Cazón et al. (2016a) designed a PCR protocol for detection of *T. frezii* using two specific primers designed from the ITS region. The PCR amplified nucleic acids with high specificity, but not from other microorganisms frequently found in peanut seeds. A detection limit of 10 teliospores from a sample of 400 kernels was reported for this protocol. Sensitivity was improved to up to a single spore by performing a quantitative PCR (qPCR) with the same primers and using SYBR-Green for real-time detection of amplicons (Cazón et al. 2018).

Molecular biology tools were also applied for characterization of *T. solani* (Andrade et al. 2004). DNA fingerprints generated by PCR products, and the partial sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the large subunit (LSU) rDNA region, led to confirmation of the first successful in vitro germination and culture of *T. solani* teliospores in 2001, as well as its classification within the *Thecaphora* genus. In addition, a method based on the amplification by PCR of a DNA sequence from the fungal nuclear ribosomal region was developed for detection of *T. solani* in soil, plants, and tubers (Andrade and Muñoz 2009).

Peanut smut management Many tactics for managing peanut smut have been recommended or evaluated including crop rotation, application of fungicides in the soil (once peanuts begin to peg), and cultivation of the crop in fields free or with low density of *T. frezii* teliospores (Rago et al. 2017). These strategies should be part of an integrated management of the disease, particularly in conjunction with resistant or tolerant cultivars when possible. Currently, most commercial varieties of peanut that are widely cultivated are susceptible. There are, however, a few resistant cultivars, including EC-191RC from Criadero, and El Carmen and Hispano Ascasubi from the Argentinian National Institute of Agricultural Technology (INTA) (CABI 2019a; Cazón et al. 2018), although they are not widely used due to their agronomic characteristics. In addition, genetic variability in cultivated peanut is limited. Recently, Argentinean researchers identified high levels of resistance in wild species (De Blas et al. 2019) and landraces introduced from Bolivia (Bressano et al. 2019) to peanut smut. The aim of these projects is to develop new cultivars with a broad genetic base. To accomplish this, they transferred resistance from wild species and landraces in crossing programs to commercial cultivars. Among the biggest challenges were the ploidy differences among commercial and wild

species. Whereas cultivated peanut is allotetraploid ($2n = 2x = 40$), most of the wild species of section *Arachis* are diploid ($2n = 2x = 20$, or $2n = 2x = 18$). For that reason, the wild species *Arachis correntina*, *A. cardenasii*, and *A. batizocoi* were hybridized and the chromosome number was doubled to be compatible with *A. hypogaea* (De Blas et al. 2019). Conversely, utilizing landrace germplasm has the advantage that they can be immediately transferred to commercial varieties. After 3 years of phenotyping the accessions of wild relatives and landraces in field trials under high inoculum pressure, transfer of resistance to peanut smut was achieved with relatively high frequency (De Blas et al. 2019). In addition, genetic transfer was supported through the use of molecular tools, such as simple-sequence repeats (SSRs) and insertion/deletion (InDel) marker genotyping, to follow resistant traits in introgressed lines (Bressano et al. 2019; De Blas et al. 2019). These materials can be used for breeders in peanut improvement programs to obtain commercial cultivars resistant to peanut smut.

The effectiveness of using commercial fungicides to control peanut smut is quite variable, at best reaching 50 to 60% control (Astiz Gassó and Wojszko 2011; Cazón et al. 2013; Paredes et al. 2015a). Chemical treatment is constrained by the fact that fungi may develop resistance against fungicides (Ma and Michailides 2005; Sierotzki and Scalliet 2013). To control peanut smut, the use of carboxamides, strobilurins, and azoles is common. Carboxamides and strobilurins target mitochondrial proteins related to fungal energy metabolism. Azoles target the membrane ergosterol biosynthesis pathway but also can inhibit the enzyme subunit of mitochondrial complex I, among other mechanisms recently described (Arias et al. 2019; Bromley et al. 2016). Recently, Arias et al. (2019) indicated potential development of resistance of the pathogen to carboxamide and strobilurin fungicides and provided a basis to investigate triazole resistance in *T. frezii* after sequencing the mitogenome and other fungicide targets outside the mitochondria. Therefore, monitoring mutations of fungicide targets in field samples is key to implement changes in fungicide treatments and to determine whether resistance by the pathogen is the cause where the disease is not controlled (Brent and Hollomon 2007).

Research Priorities

Is teliospore germination triggered by chemical mediators released by peanut pegs? Current knowledge of peanut smut recognizes the existence of a two-way chemical communication between the anatomical sites of entry into the plant (pegs) and the adjacent teliospores in the soil. As previously mentioned, in vitro spore germination increased by adding peg extracts to the culture medium, and it was enhanced when extracts coming from plants growing in soils contaminated with *T. frezii* were used. These results suggest that in nature, pegs could release biomolecules that enhance teliospore germination. Future research should focus on elucidating the biochemistry involved in this phenomenon, which in turn could lead to development of new strategies to suppress smut. For example, peanut genotypes producing lesser amounts of germination-inducing substances could be selected. Additionally, given that a susceptible host is essential for *T. frezii* sporulation, chemicals could be applied to reduce soil inoculum by inducing spore germination between growing seasons. A similar strategy of decontamination has already been explored for bacterial spores under laboratory conditions (Kohler et al. 2018). This would reduce teliospore formation and mycelia would die due to the absence of developing peanut pegs in the ground.

Can all *T. frezii* teliospores germinate and produce a dikaryotic infective mycelium? Soil contamination with *T. frezii* increases with subsequent peanut culture seasons, and at least some spores maintain their infectivity for at least 4 years (Cazón et al. 2018). The incidence and severity of smut is correlated with the extent of soil contamination with this fungus (Rago et al. 2017). However, it is unclear if all the teliospores can germinate and produce dikaryotic infective mycelium. Moreover, the low germination rates found in in vitro cultures could be partially due to high proportions of naturally occurring immature or non-viable spores. The latter might be increased by surface disinfection protocols applied before plating. This hypothesis has been proposed by Andrade (2005) in an attempt to explain the low germination scores of *T. solani* spores in in vitro cultures. The lack of data concerning the proportion of viable teliospores in a population makes it difficult to link low in vitro germination rates to suboptimal

Fig. 7. *Thecaphora frezii* teliospore morphology and in vitro growth. Before seeding, spores grouped in the form of glomeruli, composed of 2 to 7 teliospores (A), are superficially disinfected with 1.5% sodium hypochlorite. Germination takes place after 5 to 7 days in Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with peg extracts (B), and sporidia are seen after 15 days of incubation (C). White or sparsely pigmented colonies are observed in 1-month-old subcultures in potato dextrose agar (D).

culture conditions. Furthermore, this data gap represents a significant barrier for the identification of new molecules with sporicidal activity. This target should not be discarded when keeping in mind the low efficacy of antifungals applied to aerial parts or soil. Hence, a better characterization of teliospore physiological status within a population should be considered as a priority.

Can *T. frezii* weaken local and systemic defense mechanisms in peanut plants? In plants, there are two contrasting phenotypes of defense responses controlled by different signaling pathways. Both are associated with transcriptional changes and the synthesis of phytohormones. The most effective for the control of biotrophic pathogens is characterized by the activation of the SA pathway. In contrast, necrotrophic microorganisms are more efficiently controlled by mechanisms involving JA and ET. Since *T. frezii* has a biotrophic lifestyle defense responses mediated by SA would be more competent for its control. We found a direct correlation between susceptibility to *T. frezii* and JA levels in pegs (Mary et al. 2017, 2019). Furthermore, jasmonate levels increased with fungal presence in the soil, suggesting a strategy to evade plant immunity. The infection of some but not all pegs in each plant, and the absence of the fungus in asymptomatic above- and belowground tissues, could indicate tissue-specific defense mechanisms, although systemic effects should not be ruled out.

The role of fungal effectors in such antagonistic manipulation of phytohormones during pathogen-host interaction is still an enigma for most smut fungi. So far, the mechanisms of only six effectors of *U. maydis* have been described (Zuo et al. 2019). Concerning the *Thecaphora* clade, the DNA and RNA sequencing of *T. thlaspeos* helped to identify promising effector candidates, providing a first approach to elucidating the possible role of some of them at functional level (Courville et al. 2019). Genome sequences of *T. frezii* and *T. solani* still need to be produced. It will be interesting to determine whether broadly conserved effectors in smut fungi, and the typically dicot-specific effector NLP1, are likely to play important roles in *T. frezii* and *T. solani* virulence. Moreover, the identification and participation of specific mitochondrial proteins as virulence/pathogenic determinants is currently an underexplored field.

In this context, future studies should be focused on defense mechanisms associated with susceptibility and resistance to *T. frezii*, also considering probable fungal modulatory effects. These data will allow the design of new strategies to induce resistant phenotypes that prevent peanut smut.

Which management strategies can be improved to reduce peanut smut? Growing resistant varieties seems to be the best way to control peanut smut. Critical progress has been achieved recently in development of pre-breeding materials, diversifying the germplasm of commercial cultivars by crossing them with landraces and wild relatives. The development of new tools, such as molecular markers, is needed for germplasm characterization, such as the identification of genomic regions involved in peanut smut resistance and other stresses. This knowledge will boost breeding programs' development of resistant cultivars.

Fungicides continue to be one of the complementary tools for managing peanut smut. Currently, it is clear that determinants of fungicide efficacy, in addition to the active ingredients and development of resistance to fungicides, include application timing and delivery systems. Seed treatments (seeds coated with fungicides) do not control the disease in the field because *T. frezii* infects during the pegging process (Rago et al. 2017). However, since contaminated seed is a long-distance dispersal agent, seed treatments are important to prevent inoculum increases in the soil. In the field (Cazón et al. 2018), strategies using slow-release fungicide formulations or spraying fungicides at night because plant leaflets are closed, seem to be more effective with greater penetration of fungicide droplets to pegs reported (Augusto et al. 2010; Paredes et al. 2014, 2015b). Overall, these technologies and practices, in combination with the use of nanoparticles as carriers of fungicides, may eventually lead to enhanced efficiency of fungicide formulations (Camiletti et al. 2020).

Does ingestion of peanuts contaminated with *T. frezii* represent a hazard for human health? Smut fungi spores are sources of a broad spectrum of metabolites that may cause toxicity when humans and animals ingest them (Pepelnjak et al. 2005). Peanuts

entering the food chain may be contaminated with *T. frezii* teliospores, mycotoxins, and chemical residues. There are very few studies that address toxicological aspects associated with the consumption of these fungal structures (Mary et al. 2014a, b, 2015); however, their results suggest that it would not represent a hazard to human health. The question of components of smut spores could modify, through toxicodynamic interactions (synergism, antagonism, or additive effects), the toxicities of mycotoxins and agrochemicals that contaminate peanuts has not been explored. Therefore, future research must include studies with different toxicological approaches for a better estimation of the impact of *T. frezii* on human health.

Acknowledgments

MGR and SNOG hold fellowships from National Agency for Scientific and Technological Promotion, Argentina (ANPCyT). PAV holds fellowships from the National Research Council of Argentina (CONICET). MGT and VSM are Career Members of the latter institution. We thank Paula Madson, native English speaker, for linguistic revision of the manuscript, and Luciana Minola, graphic designer, for her technical assistance in preparing the drawings.

Literature Cited

- Abraham, A. 2019. Loose smut of wheat (*Ustilago tritici*) and its management: A review article. *J. Biol. Agric. Healthc.* 9:25-33.
- Agrios, G. N. 2005. *Plant Pathology*, 5th ed. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, MA.
- Andrade, O. 2005. Diagnóstico del carbón de la papa. Pages 27-33 in: *El Carbón de la Papa: Avances en la Etiología y Control de la Enfermedad*. O. Andrade, ed. Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA), Centro Regional de Investigación Carillanca, Ministerio de Agricultura, Gobierno de Chile.
- Andrade, O., and Muñoz, G. 2005. Epidemiología: Transmisión y diseminación del carbón de la papa. Pages 79-88 in: *El Carbón de la Papa: Avances en la Etiología y Control de la Enfermedad*. O. Andrade, ed. Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA), Centro Regional de Investigación Carillanca, Ministerio de Agricultura, Gobierno de Chile.
- Andrade, O., and Muñoz, G. 2009. Diagnóstico de última generación para el carbón de la papa. Pages 30-32 in: *Revista Tierra Adentro* Santiago. Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Santiago, Chile.
- Andrade, O., Munoz, G., Galdames, R., Duran, P., and Honorato, R. 2004. Characterization, *in vitro* culture, and molecular analysis of *Thecaphora solani*, the causal agent of Potato smut. *Phytopathology* 94:875-882.
- Arias, R., Cazon, L., Massa, A., Scheffler, B., Sobolev, V., and Lamb, M. 2019. Mitogenome and nuclear-encoded fungicide-target genes of *Thecaphora frezii* causal agent of peanut smut. *Fungal Genom. Biol.* 9:160.
- Astiz Gassó, M., and Marinelli, A. 2003. Cultivo *in vitro* de *Thecaphora frezii* (Ustilaginales) carbón del maní (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot.* 38:256.
- Astiz Gassó, M., and Marinelli, A. 2013. Pages 1-2 in: *Biología de la germinación de Thecaphora frezii in vitro*. XXVIII Jornada Nacional del Maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba.
- Astiz Gassó, M., Leis, R., and Marinelli, A. 2008. Evaluación de incidencia y severidad del carbón de maní (*Thecaphora frezii*) en infecciones artificiales, sobre cultivares comerciales de maní. Page 118 in: *Primer Congreso Argentino de Fitopatología*, Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Astiz Gasso, M. M., Lovisolo, M., and Mollá Kralj, A. 2010. Histopatología del carbón *Thecaphora frezii* en *Arachis hypogaea*. Pages 1-2 in: XXV Jornada nacional del maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Astiz Gassó, M. M., and Wojszko, A. 2011. Evaluación *in vitro* de fungicidas curasemillas para el control químico del carbón del maní [*Thecaphora frezii*]. *Jornada Nacional de Maní*. 26. 2011 09 15, 15 de Septiembre de 2011. General Cabrera, Córdoba. AR.
- Augusto, J., Breneman, T., Culbreath, A., and Sumner, P. 2010. Night spraying peanut fungicides I. Extended fungicide residual and integrated disease management. *Plant Dis.* 94:676-682.
- Bauer, R., Begerow, D., and Oberwinkler, F. 2008. Ustilaginomycotina. In: *The Tree of Life Web Project*, <http://tolweb.org/>. R. Bauer, J. P. Begerow, M. Samp. and W. Oberw., eds.
- Brent, K. J., and Hollomon, D. W. 2007. Fungicide resistance in crop pathogens: how can it be managed? 2nd ed. *The Fungicide Resistance Action Committee*, Crop Life International, Brussels, Belgium.
- Bressano, M., Massa, A. N., Arias, R. S., de Blas, F., Oddino, C., Faustini, P. C., Soave, S., Soave, J. H., Pérez, M. A., and Sobolev, V. S. 2019. Introgression of peanut smut resistance from landraces to elite peanut cultivars (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *PLoS One* 14:e0211920.

Silvina L. Arias

Dr. Silvina Arias is currently an adjunct assistant professor in the Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology and Seed Science Center at Iowa State University. Silvina earned a B.S. in biochemistry (2000) and a Ph.D. in chemical sciences (2014) from the National University of Cordoba (UNC), Argentina. As part of her Ph.D. research, she investigated the role of fumonisin B1 as a possible pathogenicity factor in the interaction of maize hybrids and *Fusarium verticillioides*. She also has been working in evaluating the chemical composition of extracts from peanut pegs and the in vitro toxicity of *Thecaphora frezii* spores. She taught food microbiology to undergraduate students and held a post-doctoral position in a phytopathology molecular laboratory in Argentina. In 2016, she joined Iowa State as a post-doctoral research associate to study a new maize disease in the United States called bacterial leaf streak (BLS), caused by the bacterium *Xanthomonas vasicola* pv. *vasculorum*. Current research involves plant-pathogen interactions, detection of seedborne and seed-transmitted pathogens in crop plants, analysis of mycotoxins and other secondary metabolites, and host defense responses.

Verónica S. Mary

Dr. Verónica Mary is assistant professor of food microbiology at the Faculty of Chemical Sciences of the UNC, and assistant researcher of the National Research Council from Argentina (CONICET). She completed her undergraduate degree in biochemistry (2005) at the Faculty of Chemical Sciences of the National University of Córdoba (UNC) – Argentina, and later she obtained her Ph.D. in chemical sciences (2013) at the same university. Her Ph.D. research focused on studying the immunotoxicities of the mycotoxins aflatoxin B1 and fumonisin B1 in Wistar rats. Dr. Mary evaluated the ability of exudates and extracts from peanut pegs to induce the in vitro germination of *T. frezii* teliospores, and if such activity is modified when pegs develop in soils contaminated by this fungus. At present, she is studying the involvement of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) in the induction of hepatotoxicity and immunotoxicity by fungal metabolites.

Pilar A. Velez

Ms. Pilar Velez obtained her B.S. in microbiology (2014) at the Faculty of Exact, Physical-Chemical and Natural Sciences of the National University of Río Cuarto – Argentina. She is currently a Ph.D. student, holding a doctoral fellow from CONICET. Her research program is focused on plant-pathogen interactions, with particular emphasis on evaluating the immune responses in the pathosystems maize–*Fusarium verticillioides* and peanut–*Thecaphora frezii*.

María G. Rodriguez

Ms. María Gisel Rodriguez earned her B.S. in biology (2017) at the Faculty of Exact, Physical and Natural Sciences of the National University of Córdoba (UNC). She is currently a Ph.D. student, holding a doctoral fellow from the National Agency for the Promotion of Research, Technological Development and Innovation. Her Ph.D. research is aimed to evaluate the oxylipins as chemical mediators in the peanut–*T. frezii* interplay, and to assess the toxicity of teliospore extracts in cells from animal origin.

Santiago N. Otaiza-González

Santiago Otaiza-González earned a B.S. in biochemistry (2009), and later he obtained his Ph.D. in chemical sciences (2017) at the Faculty of Chemical Sciences of the National University of Córdoba (UNC) – Argentina. He is teaching assistant professor of food microbiology at this faculty. In the course of his doctoral research, he studied the involvement of oxidative stress in the cell death caused by fumonisin B1 in maize seedlings. He collaborated in the chemical characterization of peanut peg extracts showing different potential to induce in vitro germination of *T. frezii* teliospores. He is currently conducting biophysical studies in order to test the colloidal properties of cytosol, its metabolic regulation, and its modulating role on cellular function.

Martín G. Theumer

Dr. Martín G. Theumer is an independent researcher of the National Research Council from Argentina (CONICET). He has been adjunct professor of food microbiology since 2011, at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Faculty of Chemical Sciences (UNC). He leads the “Food mycology and mycotoxicology” group, currently integrated by Dr. Verónica Mary, Ms. Pilar Velez, and Ms. María Gisel Rodriguez. He received a B.S. in biochemistry (1999) and a Ph.D. in chemical sciences (2004) at the Faculty of Chemical Sciences of the National University of Córdoba (UNC), Argentina. The team headed by Dr. Theumer is studying: i) the plant immune responses in the pathosystems maize–*Fusarium verticillioides* and peanut–*Thecaphora frezii*; ii) the components of peanut pod causing *T. frezii* teliospore germination, a key step for fungal invasion and smut development; and iii) the involvement of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) in the hepatotoxicity and immunotoxicity induced by mycotoxins (aflatoxin B1 and fumonisin B1), and by *T. frezii* teliospore extracts. The group is also working in a collaborative study with researchers from the Multidisciplinary Institute of Vegetal Biology (IMBIV, UNC-CONICET), whose main objective is the evaluation of mycoviruses as alternatives for the control of fungi and their mycotoxins.

- Bromley, M., Johns, A., Davies, E., Fraczek, M., Mabey Gilsean, J., Kurbatova, N., et al. 2016. Mitochondrial Complex I is a global regulator of secondary metabolism, virulence and azole sensitivity in fungi. *PLoS One* 11:e0158724.
- CABI. 2019a. *Thecaphora frezii* (peanut smut). In: Crop Protection Compendium (Online database: <https://www.cabi.org/isc/>). CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- CABI. 2019b. *Thecaphora solani* (potato smut). In: Crop Protection Compendium (Online database: <https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/72512303#topventionAndControl>). CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Camiletti, B. X., Camacho, N. M., Paredes, A. J., Allemandi, D. A., Palma, S. D., and Grosso, N. R. 2020. Self-dispersible nanocrystals of azoxystrobin and cyproconazole with increased efficacy against soilborne fungal pathogens isolated from peanut crops. *Powder Technol.* 372:455-465.
- Carranza, J. M., and Lindquist, J. C. 1962. *Thecaphora frezii*, parasita de *Arachis* sp. *Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot.* 10:11-18.
- Cazón, I., Bisonard, E. M., Conforto, C., March, G., and Rago, A. 2013. Estrategias para el manejo del carbón del maní. *Jornada Nacional de Maní*. 28. 2013 09 19, 19 de setiembre 2013. General Cabrera, Córdoba, AR.
- Cazón, I., Conforto, C., Fernández, F. D., Paredes, J. A., and Rago, A. M. 2016a. Molecular detection of *Thecaphora frezii* in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) seeds. *J. Plant Pathol.* 98:327-330.
- Cazón, L. I., Paredes, J. A., and Rago, A. M. 2018. The biology of *Thecaphora frezii* smut and its effects on Argentine peanut production. Pages 31-46 in: *Advances in Plant Pathology*. J. N. Kimatu, ed. IntechOpen.
- Cazón, L. I., Paredes, J. A., Bisonard, E. M., and Rago, A. M. 2016b. Page 52 in: *Capacidad de infección de teliosporas de Thecaphora frezii en el tiempo*. XXXI Jornada Nacional del Maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba.
- Conforto, C., Cazón, I., Fernández, F. D., Marinelli, A., Oddino, C., and Rago, A. M. 2013. Molecular sequence data of *Thecaphora frezii* affecting peanut crops in Argentina. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 137:663-666.
- Courville, K. J. 2018. Pages 85-87 in: *The infection biology of the smut fungus Thecaphora thlaspeos and its molecular cross-talk with its Brassicaceae hosts*. Ph.D. dissertation, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany.
- Courville, K. J., Frantzeskakis, L., Gul, S., Haeger, N., Kellner, R., Heßler, N., Day, B., Usadel, B., Gupta, Y. K., and van Esse, H. P. 2019. Smut infection of perennial hosts: the genome and the transcriptome of the *Brassicaceae* smut fungus *Thecaphora thlaspeos* reveal functionally conserved and novel effectors. *New Phytol.* 222:1474-1492.
- De Blas, F. J., Bressano, M., Teich, I., Balzarini, M. G., Arias, R. S., Manifesto, M. M., Costero, B. P., Oddino, C., Soave, S. J., and Soave, J. A. 2019. Identification of smut resistance in wild arachis species and its introgression into peanut elite lines. *Crop Sci.* 59:1657-1665.
- Djamei, A., Schipper, K., Rabe, F., Ghosh, A., Vincon, V., Kahnt, J., Osorio, S., Tohge, T., Fernie, A. R., and Feussner, I. 2011. Metabolic priming by a secreted fungal effector. *Nature* 478:395-398.
- Doehlemann, G., Van Der Linde, K., Aßmann, D., Schwambach, D., Hof, A., Mohanty, A., Jackson, D., and Kahmann, R. 2009. Pep1, a secreted effector protein of *Ustilago maydis*, is required for successful invasion of plant cells. *PLoS Pathog* 5:e1000290.
- EFSA. 2018. Pest categorization of *Thecaphora solani*. *EFSA J.* 16:e05445.
- Frantzeskakis, L., Courville, K. J., Plücker, L., Kellner, R., Kruse, J., Brachmann, A., Feldbrügge, M., and Göhre, V. 2017. The plant-dependent life cycle of *Thecaphora thlaspeos*: a smut fungus adapted to *Brassicaceae*. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 30:271-282.
- GBIF. 2020. Global Biodiversity Information Facility. <https://www.gbif.org/>
- Glazebrook, J. 2005. Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 43:205-227.
- Harris, M. O., and Pitzschke, A. 2020. Plants make galls to accommodate foreigners: some are friends, most are foes. *New Phytol.* 225:1852-1872.
- Hemetsberger, C., Herrberger, C., Zechmann, B., Hillmer, M., and Doehlemann, G. 2012. The *Ustilago maydis* effector Pep1 suppresses plant immunity by inhibition of host peroxidase activity. *PLoS Pathog* 8:e1002684.
- Huang, P. Y., Catinot, J., and Zimmerli, L. 2016. Ethylene response factors in Arabidopsis immunity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67:1231-1241.
- Kämper, J., Kahmann, R., Bölker, M., Ma, L.-J., Brefort, T., Saville, B. J., Banuett, F., Kronstad, J. W., Gold, S. E., and Müller, O. 2006. Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. *Nature* 444:97-101.
- Kohler, L. J., Quirk, A. V., Welkos, S. L., and Cote, C. K. 2018. Incorporating germination induction to decontamination strategies for bacterial spores. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 124:2-14.
- Launver, D., Tollot, M., Schweizer, G., Presti, L. L., Reissmann, S., Ma, L. S., Schuster, M., Tanaka, S., Liang, L., and Ludwig, N. 2017. *Ustilago maydis* effectors and their impact on virulence. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15:409-421.
- Ma, L. S., Wang, L., Trippel, C., Mendoza-Mendoza, A., Ullmann, S., Moretti, M., Carsten, A., Kahnt, J., Reissmann, S., and Zechmann, B. 2018. The *Ustilago maydis* repetitive effector Rsp3 blocks the antifungal activity of mannose-binding maize proteins. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1711.
- Ma, Z., and Michailides, T. J. 2005. Advances in understanding molecular mechanisms of fungicide resistance and molecular detection of resistant genotypes in phytopathogenic fungi. *Crop Prot.* 24:853-863.
- Marinelli, A., March, G., and Rago, A. 1995. El carbón del maní *Thecaphora frezii* sobre *Arachis hypogaea* L. Page 134 in: VII Congreso de Micología y XVII Jornadas Argentinas de Micología, Rosario, Santa Fe, Argentina.
- Marinelli, A., March, G. J., and Oddino, C. 2008. Biological and epidemiological aspects of peanut smut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) caused by *Thecaphora frezii* Carranza & Lindquist. *Agriscientia* XXV:1-5.
- Marinelli, A., March, G., Oddino, C., García, J., Rago, A., and Zaza, M. 2010. El carbón del maní de 1995 a 2010: De enfermedad emergente a enfermedad endémica y epidémica. XXV Jornada nacional del maní. General Cabrera, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Marraro Acuña, F., Wiemer, A. P., and Cosa, M. T. 2012. Carbón del maní bajo la lupa, anatomía de la infección. in: XXVII Jornada nacional del maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Mary, V., Velez, P., Rodríguez, M., Rubinstein, H., and Theumer, M. 2019. Estudio de jasmonatos y precursores biosintéticos en extractos de clavos de maní inductores de germinación de esporas de *Thecaphora frezii*. In: II Congreso científico profesional de bioquímica, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Mary, V., Velez, P., Otaiza, S., Di Paola, R., Yunes, P., Wunderlin, D., Rubinstein, H., and Theumer, M. G. 2017. Estudio del perfil químico de extractos de clavo de maní, inductores de germinación de teliosporas de *Thecaphora frezii*. In: IV Congreso Argentino de Fitopatología, Mendoza.
- Mary, V. S., Arias, S. L., Otaiza González, S. N., Rubinstein, H. R., and Theumer, M. G. 2014a. Evaluación toxicológica del consumo de maní infectado con el fitopatógeno *Thecaphora frezii*: Perfil bioquímico y hematólogo. In: III Congreso argentino de fitopatología, San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina.
- Mary, V. S., Arias, S. L., Otaiza González, S. N., Rubinstein, H. R., and Theumer, M. G. 2014b. Evaluación toxicológica del consumo de maní infectado con el fitopatógeno *Thecaphora frezii*: Perfil nutricional e histológico. In: III Congreso argentino de fitopatología, San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina.
- Mary, V. S., Arias, S. L., Otaiza González, S. N., Rubinstein, H. R., and Theumer, M. G. 2015. Evaluación de la mutagenicidad de extractos de esporas del hogo *Thecaphora frezii*, mediante el test de Ames. In: XXX Jornada nacional del maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Munkvold, G., and White, D. 2016. Compendium of Corn Diseases. Fourth ed. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN.
- Ökmen, B., Kemmerich, B., Hilbig, D., Wemhöner, R., Aschenbroich, J., Perrar, A., Huesgen, P. F., Schipper, K., and Doehlemann, G. 2018. Dual function of a secreted fungalysin metalloprotease in *Ustilago maydis*. *New Phytol.* 220:249-261.
- Oome, S., Raaymakers, T. M., Cabral, A., Samwel, S., Böhm, H., Albert, I., Nürnberger, T., and Van den Ackerveken, G. 2014. Nep1-like proteins from three kingdoms of life act as a microbe-associated molecular pattern in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111:16955-16960.
- Paredes, J., Cazón, L. I., Bisonard, E. M., and Rago, A. M. 2015a. Efecto de fungicidas con carboxamidas en el control de *Thecaphora frezii*. XXX Jornada Nacional de Maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Paredes, J., Cazón, L., Bisonard, E., Edwards-Molina, J., and Rago, A. 2015b. Uso de fungicidas para el control de *Thecaphora frezii* en ensayos a campo. Pages 7-9 in: XV Jornadas Fitosanitarias Argentinas.
- Paredes, J. A., Edwards Molina, J. P., Cazón, L. I., Bisonard, E. M., and Rago, A. M. 2014. Pages 49-50 in: *Alternativas tecnológicas de aplicación de fungicidas para el control de Thecaphora frezii*. XXIX Jornada Nacional del Maní, General Cabrera, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Pepelnjak, S., Petrik, J., and Segvić Klarić, M. 2005. Toxic effects of *Ustilago maydis* and fumonisin B1 in rats. *Acta Pharm.* 55:339-348.
- Rago, A. M., Cazón, L. I., Paredes, J. A., Molina, J. P. E., Conforto, E. C., Bisonard, E. M., and Oddino, C. 2017. Peanut Smut: from an emerging disease to an actual threat to Argentine Peanut production. *Plant Dis.* 101:400-408.
- Ram, B., and Singh, K. P. 2004. Smuts of wheat: A review. *Indian Phytopathol.* 57:125-134.
- Redkar, A., Villajuana-Bonequi, M., and Doehlemann, G. 2015. Conservation of the *Ustilago maydis* effector See1 in related smuts. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 10:e1086855.
- Rodríguez-Moreno, L., Ebert, M. K., Bolton, M. D., and Thomma, B. P. 2018. Tools of the crook-infection strategies of fungal plant pathogens. *Plant J.* 93:664-674.
- Schuster, M., Schweizer, G., and Kahmann, R. 2018. Comparative analyses of secreted proteins in plant pathogenic smut fungi and related basidiomycetes. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 112:21-30.
- Sierotzki, H., and Scalliet, G. 2013. A review of current knowledge of resistance aspects for the next-generation succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor fungicides. *Phytopathology* 103:880-887.
- Soave, J., Bianco, C., Burgo, R., Montaña, R., Rago, A., Cazón, I., Paredes, J. A., Butler, M., Faustinelli, P., Soave, S., Moresi, A., Torres, D., and Oddino, C. 2014. Primera detección de carbón del maní (*Thecaphora frezii*) en Bolivia. Page 211 in: III Congreso Argentino de Fitopatología. Asociación Argentina de Fitopatólogos, San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina.

- Tanaka, S., Schweizer, G., Rössel, N., Fukada, F., Thines, M., and Kahmann, R. 2019. Neofunctionalization of the secreted Tin2 effector in the fungal pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4:251-257.
- Tanaka, S., Brefort, T., Neidig, N., Djamei, A., Kahnt, J., Vermerris, W., Koenig, S., Feussner, K., Feussner, I., and Kahmann, R. 2014. A secreted *Ustilago maydis* effector promotes virulence by targeting anthocyanin biosynthesis in maize. *eLife* 3:e01355.
- Torres, H. 2002. Carbón. Pages 35-38 in: Manual de las enfermedades más importantes de la papa en el Perú. H. Torres, ed. Lima, Perú.
- USDA. 2020. World Agricultural Production, October 2020. <https://downloads.usda.library.cornell.edu/usda-esmis/files/5q47rn72z/ft849d88n/q811m8874/production.pdf>
- Ványk, K. 2012. Smut Fungi of the World. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN.
- Woldemichael, M. D. 2019. Importance, biology, epidemiology, and management of Loose Smut (*Ustilago nuda*) of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*): A Review. *East Afr. J. Sci.* 13:89-108.
- Xia, W., Yu, X., and Ye, Z. 2020. Smut fungal strategies for the successful infection. *Microb. Pathog.* 142:104039.
- Zess, E. K., Bialas, A., and Kamoun, S. 2019. Old fungus, new trick. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4:210-211.
- Zuo, W., Ökmen, B., Depotter, J. R., Ebert, M. K., Redkar, A., Misas Villamil, J., and Doehlemann, G. 2019. Molecular interactions between smut fungi and their host plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 57:411-430.