Response of Butternut Selections to Inoculation with *Sirococcus clavignenti-juglandacearum*

M. E. Ostry and M. Moore, Northern Research Station, St. Paul, MN 55108

ABSTRACT

Ostry, M. E., and Moore, M. 2008. Response of butternut selections to inoculation with *Sirococcus clavignenti-juglandacearum*. Plant Dis. 92:1336-1338.

Butternut trees (*Juglans cinerea*) clonally propagated via grafting from source trees with putative resistance to butternut canker were inoculated monthly with two isolates of *Sirococcus clavignenti-juglandacearum* in a field planting in Minnesota. Significant differences in resulting canker length were found among (i) month of inoculation, (ii) accession, and (iii) isolate. Results support the suggestion that canker-free butternut occasionally found growing among butternut severely affected by butternut canker potentially have disease resistance and may have value for genetic conservation and restoration of the species. Developing an effective resistance screening technique will require additional information on the natural infection process and testing under various climatic conditions across the range of butternut.

Additional keywords: disease resistance screening

Sirococcus clavignenti-juglandacearum, described in 1979 (5), is killing butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) throughout North America and is threatening the survival of the species in many parts of its native range (11). The relatively rapid increase in the incidence of the canker disease since its discovery has been attributed to dissemination of conidia by rain splash, aerosols (14), and insects (3,13). The fungus can also be seedborne on butternut and black walnut (*J. nigra*) (2) and can fruit on dead trees for nearly 2 years (15). In artificial inoculations of greenhouse-grown plants, the pathogen was able to colonize several genera of hardwoods in addition to butternut, and several commercially important Persian walnut (*J. regia*) cultivars were moderately or highly susceptible (9).

Butternut, although never commercially important for timber or nut production, is an ecologically important species in eastern hardwood forests. Scarcity of large trees resulting from tree mortality predominately caused by butternut canker has increased the value of the wood, highly prized for woodworking, and has reduced the availability of an important mast species to wildlife (10). In addition, eating butternuts has human health benefits (4), and butternut bark extracts may have value

for their high level of antibiotics (6), including some that inhibit human-pathogenic fungi (1).

Concern over the loss of butternut has motivated investigators in the United States and Canada to examine the potential conservation of individual trees that may have resistance to the disease (12). Occasionally, one to several healthy butternut trees are found growing among groups of similarly aged diseased and dying butternut, and it has been speculated that these trees may have resistance to the disease and this germplasm may have value for future conservation and restoration of the species (11).

Few experimental investigations have been made to determine the level of canker resistance among butternut populations or individual trees; however, evidence sug-

gests that some trees may be resistant (7,8). However, no controlled inoculations of sapling-sized, clonally propagated butternut trees have been undertaken and knowledge of the effect of season on inoculation success and disease development is lacking. Results from previous inoculations of grafted, field-grown butternut accessions from healthy and diseased source trees at various times during the growing season were ambiguous (*unpublished data*).

The objectives of this study were to (i) examine the influence of month of inoculation on infection and (ii) test the hypothesis that selected butternut trees have more resistance to butternut canker than unselected trees.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Butternut selections. Beginning in spring 2003, 43 grafted 7- to 11-year-old trees propagated from 15 healthy, mature source trees (2 to 3 trees from each accession; Table 1) in a plantation near Rosemount, MN were tested for canker resistance. Also tested were six trees of 9-year-old, seedgrown butternut from unknown source trees. Tree heights ranged from 1.8 to 7.8 m, with a mean of 3.3 m.

Source trees were selected from 1990 to 1996 in various locations within the natural range of butternut. The source trees were over 25 cm in diameter and growing among severely diseased and killed butternut. In fall 2003, an examination of source trees revealed that three of the trees had developed one or more stem or branch cankers.

Table 1. *Juglans cinerea* source trees and canker prevalence

Accession (no.) ^x	Location	Canker prevalence
1 (3)	Dunn Co., WI	No cankers
13 (3)	Olmstead Co., MN	Branch and stem cankers ^y
17 (3)	Olmstead Co., MN	Branch cankers only ^y
19 (3)	Olmstead Co., MN	Callused-over stem canker ^y
20 (3)	Rock Co., WI	No cankers
22 (3)	Rock Co., WI	No cankers
23 (3)	Rock Co., WI	No cankers
54 (3)	Forest Co., WI	No cankers
60 (2)	Rock Co., WI	No cankers
67 (2)	Rice Co., MN	No cankers
86 (3)	Pulaski Co., MO	No cankers
146 (3)	Rock Co., WI	No cankers
148 (3)	Rock Co., WI	No cankers
174 (3)	Marinette Co., WI	No cankers
181 (3)	Menominee Co., MI	No cankers
200 (6) ^z	Unknown	Unknown

^x Number of trees inoculated shown in parentheses.

^y Cankers first noted in 2003.

^z Open-pollinated seed origin.

Corresponding author: M. E. Ostry
E-mail mostry@fs.fed.us

Accepted for publication 8 June 2008.

doi:10.1094/PDIS-92-9-1336

This article is in the public domain and not copyrightable. It may be freely reprinted with customary crediting of the source. The American Phytopathological Society, 2008.

Origin of isolates and inoculum preparation. Inoculum was prepared from sporulating 2- to 3 month-old cultures of *S. clavignenti-juglandacearum* grown on malt agar at 20°C in the dark. Isolates used were WI1344 and MN1347, cultured from butternut cankers on branches collected from Forest County, WI and Kanabec County, MN, respectively. A 40-mm² section of each culture was ground separately with a sterile mortar and pestle, mixed with 10 ml of sterile water, and placed in a sterile dropper bottle.

Inoculations. We inoculated branches to assess monthly differences in susceptibility of individual trees without sacrificing the trees by inoculating their main stems. Each month, beginning in April and continuing through October, individual third-year branches (a different branch each month) ranging in diameter from 9 to 26 mm on each tree were wounded using a cordless electric drill with a 7-mm forstner bit to make three wounds 10 to 15 cm apart through the bark to the cambium. Third-year wood was inoculated because this is the age of wood on which we most often find incipient cankers in the upper crowns of trees. Each branch wound received one of three treatments. Two of the wounds were inoculated with a 50-μl drop of one or the other of the two *S. clavignenti-juglandacearum* isolates; the third wound was inoculated with sterile media slurry that served as a control. Each wound was wrapped in a laboratory film that was removed after the first examination 1 month later.

Canker evaluations and statistical analyses. Canker lengths were recorded monthly through October and final measurements were made in June 2004 based on visual canker symptoms in the field. In July, inoculated branches were brought into the laboratory where the bark was removed from wound sites to compare canker lengths under the bark with those

measured in the field. Tissue samples were removed from selected canker margins and plated on potato dextrose agar maintained at 20°C in the dark for pathogen confirmation.

None of the control inoculations resulted in canker development and they were removed from the analysis. No isolate-accession interaction was detected and data from inoculations with both isolates were combined. Because the response variables were from the exponential distribution family, data were analyzed using a maximum likelihood estimation technique via PROC GLIMMIX (version 9.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Two separate analyses were run.

In the first analysis, we tested the likelihood of canker development. Because cankers always developed in September and October, these inoculation months were excluded from this analysis. For this analysis, we used a binary distribution with a logit link function. Isolate, inoculation month, tree accession, and replication were fixed effects in the model. Least squares means separation tests for fixed effects were conducted using a Tukey-Kramer procedure.

In the second analysis, we examined the length of cankers that developed. In this analysis, only treatment combinations that produced cankers were used. For this analysis, we used a negative binomial distribution and a log link function. Isolate, inoculation month, tree accession, and replication were fixed effects in the model. Least squares means separation tests for fixed effects were conducted using a Tukey-Kramer procedure.

RESULTS

Both isolates were pathogenic but canker incidence and canker lengths were significantly ($P < 0.001$) greater after 8 to 14 months when trees of all accessions were inoculated with isolate WI1344 than with isolate MN1347. Canker length measurements in the field based on bark collapse and discoloration were similar to measurements taken with the bark removed in the laboratory (*data not shown*). *S. clavignenti-juglandacearum* was re-

covered in pure culture from canker margins on selected symptomatic branches inoculated with each isolate.

Month of inoculation significantly ($P = 0.05$) influenced canker incidence and canker lengths (Tables 2 and 3). Cankers always developed on trees regardless of accession when inoculated in September and October and the largest cankers developed with no significant differences among accessions during those months with either isolate.

All accessions were susceptible to *S. clavignenti-juglandacearum* to varying degrees, but significant differences ($P < 0.001$) were found among accessions in canker incidence and canker length (Tables 4 and 5). Trees of accession 67 developed significantly ($P < 0.001$) fewer cankers than the other accessions when inoculated during the growing season (Table 4); however, the resulting canker lengths on this accession were among the accessions with the largest cankers (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that all of the trees propagated from canker-free source trees were susceptible to infection by *S. clavignenti-juglandacearum* when artificially inoculated through wounds. The relatively large wounds and large amount of inoculum used may have overcome resistant mechanisms effective for natural inoculations. Canker elongation after wound inoculation may demonstrate variation in the fungus isolate virulence but is not related to resistance to infection. However, detection of differences in disease severity among some of the accessions is encouraging. Results support the suggestion that canker-free trees occasionally present within populations of butternut severely affected by butternut canker may be more resistant than nearby diseased trees. Similar to the results of Orchard (8), the most rapid expansion of branch cankers occurred in the spring as the result of fall inoculations, and levels of disease resistance among trees was expressed as differences in canker lengths. As of 2007, source trees used in this study were still apparently healthy, except for the three

Table 2. Canker incidence by month of inoculation of *Juglans cinerea* with *Sirococcus clavignenti-juglandacearum* April 2003 to October 2003^w

Inoculation month	Branches (no.) ^x	Cankered (%) ^y
May	76	64 a
June	78	71 a
April	70	79 ab
August	82	89 b
July	84	92 b
September ^z	88	100
October ^z	88	100

^w Data collected June 2004. Combined data using 2 isolates and 16 *J. cinerea* accessions.

^x Number of branches varies due to death or damage during study.

^y Values with the same letter do not differ significantly according to Tukey-Kramer's least squares means test ($P = 0.05$).

^z Months with 100% incidence not included in the statistical analysis.

Table 3. Canker length by month of inoculation of *Juglans cinerea* with *Sirococcus clavignenti-juglandacearum* April 2003 to October 2003^x

Inoculation month	Branches (no.) ^z	Canker length (mm) ^y		
		Mean	Range	SE
August	82	29 a	2–211	5.63
May	76	42 b	5–193	5.74
June	78	48 bc	4–181	5.81
July	84	61 bc	3–297	7.70
April	70	71 cd	7–150	6.32
September	88	109 de	5–225	6.38
October	88	115 e	13–213	4.21

^x Data collected June 2004. Combined data using 2 isolates and 16 *J. cinerea* accessions.

^y Only branches that developed cankers were included. Values with the same letter do not differ significantly according to Tukey-Kramer's least squares means test ($P = 0.05$); SE = standard error.

^z Number of branches varies due to death or damage during study.

Table 4. Incidence of canker on *Juglans cinerea* accessions inoculated with *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum* April 2003 to August 2003^w

Accession	Branches (no.)	Cankered (%) ^x
67	28	20 a
54	42	57 ab
60	28	65 ab
1	42	73 ab
23	30	75 ab
86	34	77 ab
148	42	77 ab
17	20	83 ab
22	36	83 b
181	30	86 b
200 ^y	76	87 b
146	42	90 b
174	34	95 b
13 ^z	28	100
19 ^z	34	100
20 ^z	20	100

^wData collected in June 2004. Combined data using two isolates.

^xData from months with 100% infection (September and October) were removed from analysis. Values with the same letter do not differ significantly according to Tukey-Kramer's least squares means test ($P = 0.05$).

^yOpen pollinated, unknown seed origin.

^zTree lines with 100% incidence were not included in the analysis.

trees that have a few nonlethal stem or branch cankers, despite a high incidence of mortality of adjacent trees caused by butternut canker. One tree has not been reexamined since it was selected and its current health status is unknown.

Although a difference in virulence among the two isolates was detected, choice of isolate did not appreciably affect the results. However, because month of inoculation significantly affected canker incidence and severity, preliminary resistance testing under various climatic conditions and growing seasons across the range of butternut may be warranted before widespread disease resistance screening is carried out. Additional information on the natural infection process and entry courts is also needed in order to develop an inoculation technique that relies on a less severe wound that will not mask potential resistance mechanisms. A conservative screening to determine maximum butternut canker resistance using the technique described in this article may best be done with a highly virulent isolate in the fall when susceptibility and canker development was greatest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Rowe, J. Karelis, and K. Karelis, University of Minnesota Agriculture Experiment

Table 5. Canker length on *Juglans cinerea* accessions inoculated with *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum* April 2003 to October 2003^x

Accession	Branches (no.)	Canker length (mm) ^y		
		Mean	Range	SE
22	36	49 a	5–144	7.63
1	42	53 a	3–123	7.60
148	42	56 a	4–178	9.13
60	28	51 ab	4–117	9.02
13	28	53 ab	4–167	10.35
54	42	69 ab	2–213	13.02
146	42	62 abc	4–213	8.54
19	34	62 abc	6–146	7.88
23	30	65 abc	3–205	13.60
181	30	66 abc	6–157	10.80
20	20	71 abc	6–155	10.54
17	20	83 abc	7–181	13.06
174	34	87 abc	4–225	12.37
67	28	108 abc	6–193	17.57
86	34	106 bc	5–183	12.87
200 ^z	76	105 c	5–297	7.77

^xData collected in June 2004. Combined data using two isolates.

^yOnly branches that developed cankers included. Values with the same letter do not differ significantly according to Tukey-Kramer's least squares means test ($P = 0.05$); SE = standard error.

^zOpen pollinated, unknown seed origin.

Station, for field plot assistance; and J. Stanovich for statistical advice in this study.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ficker, C. E., Arnason, J. T., Vindas, P. S., Alvarez, L. P., Akpangana, K., Gbéassor, De Souza, C., and Smith, M. L. 2003. Inhibition of human pathogenic fungi by ethnobotanically selected plant extracts. *Mycoses* 46:29–37.
- Innes, L. 1997. *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum* on butternut and black walnut fruit. Pages 129–132 in: *Foliage, Shoot and Stem Diseases of Trees*. Proc. IUFRO WP 7.02.02 Meeting. G. Laflamme, J. A. Bérubé, and R. C. Hamelin, eds. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada.
- Katovich, S. A., and Ostry, M. E. 1998. Insects associated with butternut and butternut canker in Minnesota and Wisconsin. *Great Lakes Entomol.* 31:97–108.
- Krochmal, A., and Krochmal, C. 1982. Genus *Juglans* L. Walnut. Pages 51–58 in: *Uncultivated Nuts of the United States*. Agric. Inf. Bull. 450. U. S. Dep. Agric. For. Serv. Washington, DC.
- Nair, V. M. G., Kostichka, C. J., and Kuntz, J. E. 1979. *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum*: an undescribed species causing canker on butternut. *Mycologia* 71:641–646.
- Omar, S., Lemonnier, B., Jones, N., Ficker, C., Smith, M. L., Neema, C., Towers, G. H. N., Goel, K., and Arnason, J. T. 2000. Antimicrobial activity of extracts of eastern North American hardwood trees and relation to traditional medicine. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 73:161–170.
- Orchard, L. P., Guries, R. P., and Kuntz, J. E. 1981. Butternut canker: screening seedlings for disease resistance. (Abstr.) *Phytopathology* 71:247.
- Orchard, L. P., Kuntz, J. E., and Kessler, K. J. 1982. Reactions of *Juglans* species to butternut canker and implications for disease resistance. Pages 27–31 in: *Black Walnut for the Future*. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-74. U.S. Dep. Agric. For. Serv. N. Cent. For. Exp. Stn. St. Paul, MN.
- Ostry, M. E., and Moore, M. 2007. Natural and experimental host range of *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum*. *Plant Dis.* 91:581–584.
- Ostry, M. E., and Pijut, P. M. 2000. Butternut: an underused resource in North America. *HortTechnology* 10:302–306.
- Ostry, M. E., and Woeste, K. 2004. Spread of butternut canker in North America, host range, evidence of resistance within butternut populations and conservation genetics. Pages 114–120 in: *Black Walnut in a New Century*. Proc. 6th Walnut Council Res. Symp. Lafayette, IN. C. H. Michler, P. M. Pijut, J. Van Sambeek, M. Coggeshall, J. Seifert, K. Woeste, and R. Overton, eds. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-243. U. S. Dep. Agric. For. Serv. N. Cent. Res. Stn. St. Paul, MN.
- Schlarbaum, S. E., Anderson, R. L., Ostry, M. E., Brosi, S. L., Thompson, L. M., Clark, S. L., van Manen, F. T., Spaine, P. C., Young, C., Anagnostakis, S. A., and Brantley, E. A. 2004. An integrated approach for restoring butternut to Eastern North American forests. Pages 156–158 in: *Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding in the Age of Genomics: Progress and Future*. L. Bailian and S. McKeand, eds. Proc. Joint Conf. IUFRO Div. 2, Charleston, SC.
- Stewart, J. E., Halik, S., and Bergdahl, D. R. 2004. Viability of *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum* conidia on exoskeletons of three Coleopteran species. *Plant Dis.* 88:1085–1091.
- Tisserat, N., and Kuntz, J. E. 1983. Dispersal gradients of conidia of the butternut canker fungus in a forest during rain. *Can. J. For. Res.* 13:1139–1144.
- Tisserat, N., and Kuntz, J. E. 1984. Butternut canker: Development on individual trees and increase within a plantation. *Plant Dis.* 68:613–616.