Variation in Competitive Ability Among Isolates of *Aspergillus flavus* from Different Vegetative Compatibility Groups During Maize Infection

H. L. Mehl and P. J. Cotty

U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, School of Plant Sciences, The University of Arizona, P.O. Box 210036, Tucson 85721-0036.

Accepted for publication 5 October 2009.

ABSTRACT

Mehl, H. L., and Cotty, P. J. 2010. Variation in competitive ability among isolates of *Aspergillus flavus* from different vegetative compatibility groups during maize infection. *Phytopathology* 100:150-159.

Aspergillus flavus, the primary causal agent of aflatoxin contamination, includes many genetically diverse vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs). Competitive ability during infection of living maize kernels was quantified for isolates from 38 VCGs. Kernels were inoculated with both a common VCG, CG136, and another VCG; after 7 days (31°C), conidia were washed from kernels, and aflatoxins and DNA were extracted from kernels and conidia separately. CG136-specific single-nucleotide polymorphisms were quantified by pyrosequencing; VCGs co-inoculated with CG136 produced 46 to 85 and 51 to 84% of *A. flavus* DNA from kernels

and conidia, respectively. Co-inoculation with atoxigenic isolates reduced aflatoxin up to 90% and, in some cases, more than predicted by competitive exclusion alone. Conidia contained up to 42 ppm aflatoxin B₁, indicating airborne conidia as potentially important sources of environmental exposure. Aflatoxin-producing potential and sporulation were negatively correlated. For some VCGs, sporulation during co-infection was greater than that predicted by kernel infection, suggesting that some VCGs increase dispersal while sacrificing competitive ability during host tissue colonization. The results indicate both life strategy and adaptive differences among *A. flavus* isolates and provide a basis for selection of biocontrol strains with improved competitive ability, sporulation, and aflatoxin reduction on target hosts.

Aflatoxins, potent and carcinogenic mycotoxins produced by fungi in *Aspergillus* section *Flavi*, are frequent contaminants of food and feed crops. *Aspergillus flavus* is the most commonly isolated causal agent of aflatoxin contamination (5,54) of many crops, including maize, cotton, peanut, and tree nuts (21). Levels of aflatoxins in food and feed crops are strictly regulated in many countries (53,58); thus, aflatoxins can cause reduced crop value due to loss of premium markets. In the United States, for example, crops for human consumption cannot exceed 20 ppb of total aflatoxin (48) but certain crop components may contain more than 10⁶ ppb (38). Frequency and severity of contamination are influenced by both climate and the composition of resident *A. flavus* communities (1,18,34).

Individual *A. flavus* isolates vary in many characteristics, including aflatoxin-producing ability, production and morphology of sclerotia, and sporulation (10,54). Groups of *A. flavus* designated as the L, S, and T strains are separated based on sclerotial morphology and colony characteristics (10); these groups are further subdivided into vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs) by a heterokaryon incompatibility system. VCGs are typically identified by complementation of nitrate nonutilizing auxotrophs, and members of the same VCG are considered to be members of the same clonal lineage (40,47); sequence data confirm that isolates within a VCG are closely related and distinct from other VCGs (25,28). Phenotypic characteristics (i.e., size of sclerotia and aflatoxin-producing ability) are usually conserved within VCGs (5,33,44,49).

A. flavus communities in soils and on crops are composed of many VCGs (4,31,49), with multiple VCGs occurring even in small components (i.e., 25-g aliquots of soil or individual cotton locules) (4). Frequencies of strains and VCGs vary among fields and crops (1,4,13,22,30,49), and some VCGs are frequently isolated whereas others are rare (4,31,49). Even though *A. flavus* apparently does not have host specificity (57), distributions of different *A. flavus* lineages suggest that they may be adapted to specialized niches and exhibit competitive advantages in specific soils, hosts, regions, and seasons (7,30,35,46). In addition, variability in pectinase production among *A. flavus* isolates confers differential ability to utilize substrates (9,17) and variation in virulence to plants (10).

Because both individual isolates and communities of *A. flavus* vary in both virulence and aflatoxin-producing potential (10,13,27,30,54), strain frequency is an important determinant of crop aflatoxin content (1,13,34,52). An increase in the frequency of low aflatoxin producers in the *A. flavus* community results in lower concentrations of aflatoxin in crops (19). The best demonstration of this is competitive exclusion of aflatoxin producers by atoxigenic isolates, a form of biocontrol that is used commercially to limit contamination in maize, cottonseed, pistachios, and peanut (14,23). Atoxigenic *A. flavus* isolates compete with aflatoxin producers and reduce crop aflatoxin content by lowering the average aflatoxin-producing potential of crop-associated fungal communities (12). Although intraspecific competition is used to reduce contamination, variation among genetically diverse *A. flavus* in competitive ability has not been quantified. The current study sought to develop pyrosequencing assays to quantify competitive ability among *A. flavus* isolates belonging to 38 VCGs during infection of living maize kernels and to assess influences of competitive interactions on the extent to which maize becomes contaminated with aflatoxins.

Corresponding author: P. J. Cotty; E-mail address: pjcotty@email.arizona.edu

doi:10.1094/PHYTO-100-2-0150

This article is in the public domain and not copyrightable. It may be freely reprinted with customary crediting of the source. The American Phytopathological Society, 2010.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal isolates and cultures. The VCG, origin, and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for isolates used in this study are described in Table 1. For simplicity, each isolate will be referred to by the VCG to which it belongs and, in this study, a VCG refers to a single isolate. Nitrate nonutilizing auxotrophs (niaD⁻) were used in this study both so that VCGs could be confirmed rapidly by complementation with tester mutants of the same VCG and to ensure that cultures consisted of a single genetic type. Isolates were grown from silica gel storage on 5/2 agar (5% V8 juice, 2% agar, pH 5.2) and conidial suspensions from plugs of mature cultures were maintained in vials (4-ml) containing sterile distilled water. Conidial suspensions from water vials were used to centrally seed plates of 5/2 agar for inoculum production.

Maize inoculations. Unbroken uniform-sized kernels (5 g) of grain from Pioneer hybrid 33B50 were disinfected by submersion in 80°C water for 45 s and added to 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks that were subsequently sealed with gas-permeable BugStopper plugs (Whatman, Piscataway, NJ). A subsample of disinfected kernels was plated on agar to confirm viability and lack of contaminating fungi. To quantify competitive ability, one isolate from each of 38 different VCGs was co-inoculated with an isolate from VCG CG136 (Table 1). Kernels inoculated with either CG136 alone or sterile water alone were included as positive and

negative controls. Conidia of 7-day-old cultures were dislodged with sterile cotton swabs and suspended in 0.1% Tween-80. Suspensions were adjusted to 50,000 conidia in half the appropriate volume of water to bring total moisture of inoculated maize kernels to 25% (see below). Kernels were first inoculated with an isolate from 1 of the 38 VCGs by gently shaking (10 s) the kernels after the conidial suspension was added and, after 1 h, a conidial suspension of CG136 was similarly added to each flask. In a second experiment, kernels were inoculated with each isolate individually. After 7 days (31°C), inoculated kernels were dried (60°C, 48 h), conidia were washed from kernel surfaces with 0.1% Tween-80, turbidity was quantified (Model: Turbidometer; Orbeco Analytical Systems, Farmingdale, NY), and the quantity of conidia was estimated with a turbidity versus CFU standard curve. Aflatoxin and DNA were extracted independently from conidia and maize kernels as below. The co-inoculation and single inoculation experiments had four and three replicates per treatment, respectively, and each experiment was performed twice. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design.

Aflatoxin extraction and quantification. Maize kernels were pulverized for 10 s in an analytical mill (IKA Works, Wilmington, NC). Ground kernel (≈1.5 g) was combined with 15 ml of 85% acetone in a 22-ml glass vial with Teflon septum. Vials were periodically inverted for 1 h, and sample extracts were separated alongside aflatoxin standards on thin-layer chromatography

TABLE 1. Isolates used in this study and the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) used to distinguish vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs) from VCG CG136 with pyrosequencing

VCG	Isolate	Location ^x	Origin	SNP 1 ^y	SNP 2 ^z
AL31	AL3-31	Alabama	Soil	T	T
AL32	AL3-32	Alabama	Soil	A	T
BR01	Brownsville 2-F	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
BR02	Brownsville-C	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
CH01	Chapman A-j	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
DE01	Devine-A	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
DV06	Danevang B-l	Texas	Cottonseed	A	C
DV901	Danevang B-f	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
EB01	E. Bernard B-c	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
EB03	E. Bernard A-j	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
EB901	E. Bernard B-g	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
EC01	El Campo-2-G	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
GC02	Bayside 2-E	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
KR01	King Ranch-C	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
MN902	Moreman D-a	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
MR17	MR2-17	Arizona	Soil	A	T
MR30	MR2-30	Arizona	Soil	A	T
MR34	MR2-34	Arizona	Soil	A	T
OD01	Odem C-g	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
OD02	Moreman A-g	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
RB01	Robstown A-d	Texas	Cottonseed	A	C
RB02	Robstown B-h	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
RB04	Robstown B-d	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
RB07	Robstown B-a	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
RB09	Robstown B-c	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
ST02	Weslaco-1	Texas	Soil	A	T
TF01	Taft-1-L	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
TI01	Tricounty-B	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
VY10	Vandy 3-L	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
WM01	Woolam-G	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
WN01	Winter B-c	Texas	Cottonseed	A	T
WN03	Winter B-f	Texas	Cottonseed	T	T
WS23	Weslaco-23	Texas	Soil	A	T
YV04	Red-4-E	Arizona	Soil	A	C
YV10	YV4-10	Arizona	Soil	A	T
YV13	13	Arizona	Soil	T	T
YV150	150	Arizona	Soil	A	C
YV36	36	Arizona	Cottonseed	A	C
CG136	136	Arizona	Soil	T	C

^x Location is the state where the isolate was collected.

^y SNP 1 is located in a portion of the aflatoxin biosynthesis gene cluster.

^z SNP 2 is located in a portion of the calmodulin gene.

(TLC) plates (silica gelG, 250 µm) with H₂O:MeOH:ether (1:3:96). Aflatoxins were quantified directly on TLC plates by scanning fluorescence densitometry (50). Samples that were negative in the initial analysis were diluted with an equal volume of water and extracted twice with 5 ml of methylene chloride. Extracts were combined and dried, and residues were dissolved in sufficient methylene chloride to allow accurate densitometry. Half of the conidia were used for DNA isolation, half were dried (60°C, 24 h) and weighed, and aflatoxins were extracted with 2.5 ml of 85% acetone and quantified as above. Samples initially negative for aflatoxins were evaporated to dryness and dissolved in sufficient methylene chloride to allow accurate densitometry. The limit of detection was 20 ppb for kernels and 200 ppb for conidia. Isolates for which aflatoxin B₁ was not detected were considered atoxigenic for the purposes of this study.

DNA isolation. DNA was isolated from 200 mg of pulverized kernel and half the conidia using the FastDNA SPIN Kit and the FastPrep Instrument (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA). Kernel and conidia DNA were diluted 1:10 and 1:100, respectively, with sterile purified water prior to polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

PCR and pyrosequencing. A pyrosequencing assay was developed to quantify proportions of conidia and kernel-infecting hyphae belonging to the CG136 isolate. Sequences of an intergenic region in the aflatoxin biosynthesis gene cluster (Afl) and the calmodulin gene (Cmd) were aligned for all isolates used in this study (Table 1), and CG136-specific SNPs were identified. PCR and sequencing primers were designed using PSQ Assay Design Software (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The primer pairs CG136-Afl-F (5'-TGGCAGCCTTTCCAATTGA-3')/CG136-Afl-R (5'-TGAATCCCTCCCTGGATG-3') and CG136-Cmd-F (5'-AGTTATCGTCGTTTCGTGAAAATTG-3')/CG136-Cmd-R (5'-TGGATAAAATTATGGCGGCTAAAA-3') amplified portions of DNA containing the CG136-specific SNPs. Primers CG136-Afl-F and CG136-Cmd-R were 5' biotinylated and high-performance liquid chromatography purified. A total reaction volume of 50 µl contained 1× PCR Buffer II (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.2 µM each forward and reverse primers, 1.25 units of AmpliTaq Gold DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems), and 10 µl of template DNA. Reaction conditions were 95°C for 5 min; followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 56°C (Afl primers) or 53°C (Cmd primers) for 30 s, and 72°C for 15 s. A large number of cycles was used in order to fully consume the biotinylated primers so that they would not interfere with the pyrosequencing reaction. The final extension was at 72°C for 10 min. Amplicons (5 µl) were loaded on a 1% agarose gel in 1× Tris-borate-EDTA buffer, separated for 45 min at 100 V, stained with SYBR gold, and visualized under ultraviolet light in order to confirm amplicons of 233 and 174 bp for DNA amplified with CG136-Afl and CG136-Cmd primers, respectively.

Amplicons were prepared for pyrosequencing analysis using the Vacuum Prep Tool (Qiagen) as described previously (20) and according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, biotinylated PCR products (40 µl) were bound to streptavidin-coated beads, captured on the Vacuum Prep Tool filter probes, washed with 70% ethanol, denatured to single-stranded DNA with 0.2 M NaOH, and washed with buffer (10 mM Tris-Acetate, pH 7.6). The bead-bound single-stranded PCR products were then released into a PSQ 96 sequencing plate (Qiagen) containing sequencing primer (0.5 µM). Sequencing primer was hybridized to the single-stranded DNA by heating the plate to 90°C for 10 min and then cooling. Sequencing primers used for CG136-Afl and CG136-Cmd assays were CG136-Afl-S2 (5'-AAGGATCATTACCTTG-3') and CG136-Cmd-S (5'-CTATAGGACAAGGACGG-3'), respectively.

Pyrosequencing was performed with the PSQ 96MA pyrosequencer according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen). The basic principal of pyrosequencing is that dNTPs are added

sequentially, and the pyrosequencing reaction produces light that is proportional to the quantity of nucleotides incorporated into the DNA strand. The intensity of the light signal is detected as a peak on a Pyrogram, and peak heights are used to calculate relative quantities of each nucleotide at each target location. Relative quantities of VCG CG136 DNA were calculated using the allele quantification option of the PSQ 96MA 2.1 software.

Data analysis. Quantities of conidia and aflatoxin B₁ were log transformed and percentage data were arcsine transformed prior to analysis. Data were combined across trials and analyzed as a mixed model using the SAS procedure GLIMMIX. The factors trial, block, and the interaction of trial × VCG were treated as random effects while VCG was treated as a fixed effect. Means from the combined trials were separated using Tukey-Kramer groupings for least square means. Correlations between dependent variables were performed between experiments on treatment means and within experiments on replicate values. For co-inoculations, expected and observed relative concentrations of aflatoxin B₁ were calculated using values from the single VCG inoculation experiment and co-inoculation experiment, respectively. Expected values were calculated by multiplying the concentration of aflatoxin produced by VCG CG136 and the second VCG by their respective percentages as determined by pyrosequencing in the co-inoculation experiment and summing these values. Values for each replicate were calculated independently, and expected and observed values were compared using student's *t* test. For atoxigenic VCGs, percent displacement of CG136 and percent aflatoxin reduction were compared using a paired *t* test. When multiple *t* tests were performed, *P* values were adjusted using Bonferroni criterion. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Competition during co-infection. VCGs differed significantly in competitive ability (*P* < 0.0001), with CG136 comprising 15.4 ± 2.2 to 54.3 ± 2.5% of *A. flavus* DNA in infected kernels (Fig. 1). The least and most competitive VCGs retained similar rankings in both trials. VCGs ranked 1 (EB01), 2 (YV10), and 3 (WM01) in the first trial were ranked 2, 3, and 7 in the second trial. The three least-competitive (MR17, DV901, and YV13) were ranked 38 and 38, 37 and 37, and 36 and 35 in the two trials.

Sporulation during competition. CG136 comprised 16.4 ± 2.0 to 48.9 ± 4.6% of DNA from conidia produced on infected kernels. The VCGs that contributed the largest percentage of conidia during competition with CG136 (GC02, DV06, and YV150) were ranked 5 and 1, 4 and 2, and 1 and 5 in the first and second trials, respectively; VCGs that produced the smallest percentage of conidia (RB04, YV13, and MR17) were ranked 38 and 32, 34 and 38, and 36 and 36. There were significant differences among VCG pairs in total sporulation (*P* = 0.0002) (Table 2). Conidia production was significantly different among co-infecting VCGs (Table 2, *P* < 0.0003) and was only weakly correlated with the mean number of conidia produced when kernels were inoculated with the individual VCGs (Table 3). Quantities of conidia produced by CG136 were lower when it was co-inoculated with another VCG than when it was inoculated alone, and VCGs varied significantly in the extent to which they reduced sporulation by CG136 (*P* < 0.0001) (Table 2). However, sporulation by CG136 was not significantly correlated with sporulation by the co-infecting VCG (Table 3).

Relationship between kernel infection and sporulation. In co-inoculated kernels, the proportion of infecting hyphae attributable to CG136 was a poor predictor of the proportion of conidia attributable to CG136. This relationship only explained 33 and 21% of the variation in conidial proportions in the first and second trials, respectively (Fig. 2). Although the correlation describing this relationship was highly significant (*P* < 0.0001),

conidial and kernel proportions differed significantly for eight VCGs (Fig. 3) (paired *t* test, Bonferroni adjusted $P < 0.0013$). Four VCGs reduced CG136 kernel infection more than they reduced sporulation, and four VCGs reduced sporulation more than kernel infection.

Relationship between competitive ability and aflatoxin production. Concentrations and total quantities of aflatoxin B₁ produced by each of the toxigenic VCGs growing individually on maize kernels are presented in Table 4. Aflatoxin B₁ concentrations were significantly different among VCGs in both infected maize kernels ($P < 0.0001$) and in conidia produced on kernels ($P < 0.0001$). Aflatoxin B₁ concentrations in conidia were lower than in kernels but the two concentrations were highly correlated (Table 3). The mean aflatoxin content of conidia was $20 \pm 2\%$ (range = 4 to 36%) of the concentration in kernels. However, the total quantity of aflatoxin contributed by conidia was only <0.1 to 3.3% of the total aflatoxin B₁ associated with infected kernels.

The aflatoxin-producing potential of a VCG grown individually on maize was not correlated with competitive ability as measured by the percent CG136 DNA in co-infected kernels (Table 3). However, there was a weak but significant inverse correlation between aflatoxin-producing potential and both the proportion and total quantity of conidia produced during co-infection (Table 3). Sporulation of single VCGs was not correlated with aflatoxin-producing ability (Table 3). Overall, atoxigenic VCGs contributed a greater proportion of conidia than aflatoxin producers (73 ± 1 versus $66 \pm 0.8\%$ of the total conidia; student's *t* test, $P < 0.0001$) during co-infection. The 6 VCGs that produced the largest proportions of conidia were atoxigenic, and 7 of the 10 VCGs that

produced the smallest proportions of conidia were aflatoxin producers.

As expected, the aflatoxin content of maize inoculated with one VCG was correlated with the aflatoxin content of both kernels and conidia from maize kernels co-infected with that VCG and CG136 (Table 3). However, the combination of competitive ability and aflatoxin-producing potential (from single VCG inoculations) was not a reliable predictor of aflatoxin in co-infected kernels (Table 5). Eight VCGs produced significantly lower aflatoxin B₁ in co-infected kernels than was predicted by multiplying the percent infecting hyphae by aflatoxin produced during single VCG inoculations (student's *t* test, $P < 0.05$). VCG BR02 was ranked higher than CG136 for aflatoxin production but, when co-inoculated with CG136, aflatoxin concentrations in the kernels were reduced 31% compared with kernels infected with CG136 alone. VCGs that produced less aflatoxin than CG136 were predicted to lower aflatoxin concentrations during co-infection but, for 15 and 19 out of 22 VCGs for kernels and conidia, respectively, the actual aflatoxin concentrations were lower than predicted based on aflatoxin-producing ability and percent displacement of CG136; 14 VCGs were significantly lower for either kernels, conidia, or both (Table 5). None of the kernels or conidia from co-inoculated treatments had significantly more aflatoxin than expected.

Relationship between displacement of VCG CG136 and aflatoxin reduction by atoxigenic VCGs. Atoxigenic VCGs varied in ability to both reduce aflatoxin ($P < 0.0001$) and displace CG136 ($P = 0.003$) during infection of maize kernels (Table 6). The quantity of aflatoxin B₁ in conidia from co-infected kernels was significantly different among atoxigenic VCGs ($P =$

Fig. 1. Competitive ability of different *Aspergillus flavus* vegetative compatibility group (VCG) isolates co-infecting living maize kernels with VCG CG136. The percent of total *A. flavus* DNA that is composed of CG136 DNA is inversely proportional to the competitive ability of the co-infecting VCG. Combined data from two independent trials are shown. Error bars indicate one standard error.

0.02) but mean separations were not detected (Table 6). The percentage of CG136 conidia produced during co-infection varied among atoxigenic isolates ($P = 0.0009$). There was a weak correlation between percent displacement of CG136 and percent aflatoxin reduction (kernels: $r^2 = 0.24$, $P < 0.0001$; conidia: $r^2 = 0.14$, $P < 0.0001$). Six of the VCGs had significantly higher levels of aflatoxin reduction than would be predicted by displacement of CG136 in the kernels, and three VCGs had less aflatoxin in conidia than would be predicted (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to quantitatively compare competitive abilities of genetically diverse *A. flavus* during infection of a living host. Pyrosequencing allowed highly precise (standard deviation [SD] < 1%) quantification of competitiveness during maize co-infection. Living kernels were co-inoculated with equal

TABLE 2. Quantities of conidia produced by vegetative compatibility group (VCG) CG136, the co-infecting VCG, and the two VCGs combined on maize kernels infected with either CG136 alone or CG136 and another VCG

Co-inoculated VCG ^y	No. of conidia ($\times 10^9$) ^z		
	VCG CG136	Co-infecting VCG	Total
CG136 alone	3.6 A	3.6 ABC	...
YV13	1.8 AB	1.9 ABC	3.7 AB
BR01	1.5 ABC	1.7 ABC	3.2 ABC
MR17	1.5 BC	1.7 ABC	3.2 ABC
RB04	1.5 BCD	1.7 ABC	3.2 ABC
BR02	1.3 BCDE	1.8 ABC	3.0 ABC
ST02	1.2 BCDE	3.1 A	4.3 A
WN03	1.2 BCDEF	3.0 AB	4.2 AB
EC01	1.2 BCDEF	2.4 ABC	3.6 ABC
RB02	1.2 BCDEF	2.1 ABC	3.3 ABC
KR01	1.2 BCDEF	2.5 AB	3.7 ABC
TF01	1.2 BCDEF	1.9 ABC	3.1 ABC
OD01	1.1 BCDEF	2.3 ABC	3.4 ABC
WS23	1.1 BCDEF	2.0 ABC	3.1 ABC
MR30	1.1 BCDEF	1.6 ABC	2.7 ABC
OD02	1.1 BCDEFG	2.1 ABC	3.2 ABC
WM01	0.9 BCDEFGH	2.3 ABC	3.3 ABC
MR34	0.9 BCDEFGH	1.5 BCD	2.4 BC
DV901	0.9 BCDEFGH	1.3 C	2.2 C
DE01	0.9 BCDEFGH	2.5 AB	3.3 ABC
RB07	0.8 BCDEFGH	1.9 ABC	2.7 ABC
AL31	0.8 CDEFGH	2.8 AB	3.6 ABC
TI01	0.8 CDEFGH	1.8 ABC	2.6 ABC
YV04	0.8 CDEFGH	2.9 AB	3.6 ABC
EB03	0.8 CDEFGH	1.8 ABC	2.5 BC
YV10	0.7 CDEFGH	2.7 AB	3.4 ABC
MN902	0.7 CDEFGH	2.0 ABC	2.7 ABC
EB901	0.7 CDEFGH	1.7 ABC	2.4 BC
VY10	0.7 CDEFGH	1.9 ABC	2.6 BC
WN01	0.7 DEFGH	2.8 AB	3.5 ABC
EB01	0.7 DEFGH	2.4 ABC	3.1 ABC
CH01	0.7 DEFGH	1.9 ABC	2.6 BC
RB09	0.6 DEFGH	1.7 ABC	2.4 BC
RB01	0.6 EFGH	2.3 ABC	2.9 ABC
YV36	0.6 EFGH	2.4 ABC	3.0 ABC
AL32	0.6 FGH	2.0 ABC	2.5 BC
YV150	0.5 GH	2.2 ABC	2.7 ABC
GC02	0.4 GH	2.6 AB	3.1 ABC
DV06	0.4 H	2.3 ABC	2.8 ABC

^y VCG co-inoculated with CG136. Isolates used from each VCG are listed in Table 1.

^z Numbers of VCG CG136 and co-infecting VCG conidia were calculated by multiplying the total number of conidia by the percentage of each VCG as determined by pyrosequencing. Data were log transformed prior to mixed model analysis of the combined trials. The total number of conidia ($P = 0.0002$) and numbers of conidia produced by CG136 ($P < 0.0001$) and the co-infecting VCG ($P = 0.0003$) were significantly different among co-inoculation treatments. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different with Tukey-Kramer groupings for least-square means.

amounts of standard and challenge VCGs. If the co-inoculated VCGs were equally competitive, the standard VCG would comprise 50% of *A. flavus* DNA at termination. However, observed proportions of the standard VCG were 15.4 to 54.3%, reflecting a wide range of competitive ability among the challenge VCGs. The co-infection experiment was performed twice, and differences among isolates in both proportion of total *A. flavus* DNA and ranking for competitive ability were reproducible, indicating that competitive ability is a characteristic of specific isolates. However, though each isolate belonged to a distinct VCG, we do not know if the observed competitiveness is a characteristic of the VCG or just the examined isolate. Recently, microsatellite markers were developed that reveal considerable variability within VCGs of *A. flavus* (28). The gradient of competitive ability observed in this study suggests that multiple genes influence competitive ability. Further work will be required to determine whether competitive ability during host infection is more consistent within than between VCGs.

Intraspecific competition within *A. flavus* has been examined (15,61,62) but this is the first study to quantify variation in competitive ability among many isolates. Diverse assemblages of *A. flavus* exist both in soil and on crops (4,31,49), and interactions among VCGs occur throughout the environment. Less-competitive VCGs would be expected to become rare or absent from the environment over time but divergent adaptations to different ecological niches or life strategies facilitate the coexistence of closely related microorganisms (36,41,42). VCGs varied in both invasion of host tissues and sporulation during co-infection but the observation that the best colonizers were not the best sporulators indicates VCGs have different life strategies. Differences in strategy among VCGs might be explained by considering VCGs to express different proportions of two general strategies: strategy 1, colonize-disperse, and strategy 2, ramify-hold. Several isolates may coexist on a single ear of maize, with some succeeding by superficial infection followed by rapid sporulation (strategy 1: colonize-disperse) and others by deeply invading host tissues (strategy 2: ramify-hold).

Our results suggest that aflatoxin-producing ability has differential importance for the two strategies. During co-infection, atoxigenic isolates were better sporulators, on average, than toxigenic isolates. The top six sporulators were atoxigenic, suggesting that some atoxigenics favor colonize-disperse strategies. Although there was no correlation between toxigenicity and competitive ability during kernel invasion, aflatoxin-producing ability may favor ramify-hold strategies by providing selective advantage in retaining plant substrates by reducing herbivory (6). Fitness costs associated with resistance to herbivory have been observed in plants (59), and resources required for aflatoxin production may have a similar fitness cost reflected in reduced sporulation (51). This is in contrast to studies that found a positive relationship between toxin production and sporulation in *A. nidulans* (63), *A. parasiticus*, and *A. flavus* (60). However, isolates were grown in axenic culture and not subject to the effects of competition; when nutrients are limiting, the cost of producing aflatoxin may reduce the reproductive capacity of the fungus. The S-strain may be an extreme example of the ramify-hold strategy because isolates typically produce few conidia and high concentrations of aflatoxin (10).

The colonize-disperse strategy is epidemiologically important because even small differences in sporulation may have large influences on the composition of crop-associated *A. flavus* communities. For example, if isolate X has a three to two advantage over isolate Y, X will comprise 60% of the spores after one generation and more than 90% after six generations. Thus, isolates that contribute the greatest proportion of conidia during competition for host resources dominate *A. flavus* communities associated with crop production and have a proportional influence on contamination regardless of how competitive isolates are

during ramification of host tissues. This may be an important aspect of the success of atoxigenic strains in biocontrol of aflatoxin contamination (19).

In addition to infecting many plant species (21), *A. flavus* is the second most common causal agent of *Aspergillus* infections in humans (29), and frequently infects insects (55). St. Leger et al. (57) concluded that *A. flavus* lacks host specialization because, even though individual isolates vary in virulence, human-, insect-, and plant-derived isolates all cause disease in both the animal and plant kingdoms. However, Scully and Bidochka (55,56) found host restriction after serial passage of *A. flavus* through an insect. Larval mortality did not increase but the number of conidia produced on the larvae did, and growth and sporulation on plant hosts declined. Increased sporulation during competition on a host may be an early adaptive step in the path to host specificity; increased reproduction on a host confers a more frequent association that may be important in evolution of host specificity from a generalist life strategy.

Differences in competitiveness of *A. flavus* isolates should be considered when selecting for resistant crops. Maize lines resistant to aflatoxin contamination are known (8) but host-specific interactions between crops and different *A. flavus* isolates have not been taken into account. Variation among VCGs in ability to overcome host defenses may have contributed to the observed differences in competitive ability. Isolates used in this study originated from soil and cottonseed from regions where both cotton and maize are grown (35); therefore, even though the isolates were not from maize, the VCGs exist in maize-cotton rotations. VCG frequencies on maize are unknown but it would be interesting to test the extent to which the most competitive VCGs in the current study are the most common on maize. Breeding for resistance should include the isolates most competitive and common on the target crop in order to optimize selection. Similarly, efficacy of biocontrol may be influenced by host specificity

(37), and competitive ability on a specific crop should be evaluated when selecting biocontrol strains.

Individual crop components are frequently infected with mixtures of *A. flavus* VCGs (4) and the resulting intraspecific interactions influence the extent to which crops become contaminated with aflatoxins. Effects of intraspecific competition on

Fig. 2. Relationship between proportions of vegetative compatibility group (VCG) CG136 DNA from maize kernels and conidia from the surface of maize kernels. Kernels were co-infected with CG136 and 1 of 38 other VCGs. Proportions were quantified by pyrosequencing. For each trial, four replicates per co-inoculated VCG pair are shown.

TABLE 3. Pearson correlation coefficients between aflatoxin production and sporulation by individual vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs) of *Aspergillus flavus*, aflatoxin production and sporulation by VCGs co-inoculated with CG136, and relative quantities of VCG-specific DNA in co-infected maize kernels or conidia produced on co-infected maize kernels^a

Variables	Kernel aflatoxin (single VCG) ^t	Kernel aflatoxin (co-infection) ^u	Kernel DNA (%) ^v	Conidia aflatoxin (single VCG) ^w	Conidia aflatoxin (co-infection)	Conidia DNA (%) ^x	Conidia of CG136 ^y	Conidia of non-CG136 VCG ^z	Total conidia (co-infection)
Kernel aflatoxin (co-infection)	0.89	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	<0.0001	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kernel DNA (%)	-0.21	-0.27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	0.19	0.1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Conidia aflatoxin (single VCG)	0.93	0.88	-0.18	...	...	...	...	...	...
	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.29	...	...	...	...	...	...
Conidia aflatoxin (co-infection)	0.92	0.93	-0.23	0.88	...	...	...	...	...
	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.16	<0.0001	...	...	...	...	...
Conidia DNA (%)	-0.4	-0.55	0.6	-0.45	-0.4	...	...	...	...
	0.01	0.0003	<0.0001	0.005	0.01	...	...	...	...
Conidia of CG136	0.34	0.56	-0.46	0.38	0.35	-0.87	...	...	...
	0.03	0.0002	0.004	0.02	0.03	<0.0001	...	...	...
Conidia of non-CG136 VCG	-0.35	-0.25	0.46	-0.35	-0.28	0.6	-0.19	...	...
	0.03	0.12	0.004	0.03	0.09	<0.0001	0.25	...	...
Total conidia (co-infection)	-0.08	0.15	0.11	-0.06	-0.02	-0.04	0.49	0.76	...
	0.62	0.38	0.52	0.71	0.91	0.83	0.002	<0.0001	...
Total conidia (single VCG)	-0.04	0.003	0.08	-0.05	-0.06	0.23	-0.03	0.51	0.43
	0.83	0.99	0.64	0.76	0.73	0.16	0.84	0.001	0.008

^a Top values are Pearson product moment correlations (*r*); bottom values are *P* values.
^t Aflatoxin B₁ (ppb) in infected kernels inoculated with individual VCGs.
^u Aflatoxin B₁ (ppb) in infected kernels co-inoculated with each of 38 VCGs and VCG CG136.
^v Kernel DNA is the percentage of *A. flavus* DNA from co-infected kernels contributed by the non-CG136 VCG. This is a measure of VCG competitiveness.
^w Aflatoxin B₁ (ppb) in conidia produced on kernels inoculated with individual VCGs.
^x Percentage of DNA from conidia contributed by the non-CG136 VCG during co-infection of kernels with CG136. This indicates sporulation relative to VCG CG136.
^y Total number of conidia produced by CG136 during co-infection with another VCG. This was calculated by multiplying the total number of conidia by the percentage conidial DNA from CG136.
^z Total number of conidia produced by the non-CG136 VCG during co-infection with CG136. This was calculated by multiplying the total number of conidia by the percentage of conidial DNA from the non-CG136 VCG.

aflatoxin production were quantified in this and previous studies (11,15,16,24,27,32,61,62). The high resolution allowed by the pyrosequencing assay used in this study permitted identification of both isolates for which competitive exclusion is sufficient to explain aflatoxin reductions and isolates that reduce aflatoxin significantly more than predicted by competitive exclusion. This is similar to previously published observations where some atoxigenics apparently function by competitive exclusion alone (32) and others reduce contamination through a combination of competitive exclusion and a second, undefined mechanism that presumably disrupts aflatoxin biosynthesis independent of competition (15,24,61). Although competitive exclusion is an important and dominant mechanism of biocontrol by atoxigenic isolates, influences on the regulation of aflatoxin biosynthesis through an unknown mechanism may be important to optimal reductions in aflatoxin contamination (15). Similarly, two VCGs that alone produced higher concentrations of aflatoxin than CG136 reduced aflatoxin when co-inoculated with CG136. Competitive interactions may reduce aflatoxin contamination even when *A. flavus* communities are composed of high aflatoxin producers; therefore, complexity of the fungal community may be important in modulating the aflatoxin content of plant tissues.

In the current study, aflatoxin concentrations in maize kernels and in conidia produced on kernel surfaces were correlated in both the single isolate and co-infection experiments. Thus, as crop aflatoxin content increases, so does the aflatoxin content of associated conidia. Airborne mycotoxins have significant health implications (26), and *A. flavus* is an important component of the airborne microflora in many environments (7,43). Weekly averages of *A. flavus* at over 400 CFU m⁻³ have been quantified in air from agricultural areas (7). At this level, conidia of the most toxigenic isolate reported in the current study would result in daily exposure to 56 pg of aflatoxin B₁, assuming a standard breathing rate of 1 m³ h⁻¹. Use of strains for biocontrol results in high proportions of atoxigenic conidia without increasing the total quantity of *A. flavus* in the air (7). This reduces exposure of humans and animals to aflatoxin via respiratory routes and, in so doing, may provide an additional health benefit of atoxigenic strain use (19).

Previous measurements of competition during host infection have either relied on isolations of *A. flavus* and complementation of nitrate nonutilizing auxotrophs (*nit* mutants) to identify VCGs (12,15) or used aflatoxin concentrations as a measure of

competition between atoxigenic and toxigenic isolates (11,16,27,61). In this study, pyrosequencing was used to quantify the proportion of CG136 from mixtures of *A. flavus* DNA with a high level of resolution and reproducibility (SD ≤ 1%). Sampling error is greatly reduced here compared with estimates of VCG frequency by complementation of *nit* mutants (4,15,31), where there is a low likelihood of identifying rare VCGs. With pyrosequencing, a VCG that is 1% of the total *A. flavus* in a sample can be detected. Real-time PCR can distinguish and quantify closely related microorganisms but is limited by the requirement for multiple primers or probes and the inaccuracy of quantification at the lower limits of detection (3,39,45). With real-time quantitative PCR, variability increases as DNA concentrations decrease, and the limits of quantification can be as much as 100 times higher than the limits of detection (45). For example, when competition between isolates of *Pochonia chlamydosporia* colonizing tomato roots was examined, fungal DNA was below limits of quantification in some replicates, so percentages of the target isolate were highly variable (coefficient of variation ≈100%) and significant differences among treatments could not be determined (3). With pyrosequencing, SNPs can be used to quantify frequencies of multiple isolates simultaneously, and even small quantities of DNA can be analyzed using nested PCR (20). Sensitivity and precision make pyrosequencing a useful tool for studying interactions in the environment among microorganisms for which sequence data is available.

TABLE 4. Concentrations and total quantities of aflatoxin B₁ produced by individual isolates of *Aspergillus flavus* from different vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs) on living maize kernels^v

VCG ^w	Kernel aflatoxin (µg/g) ^x	Conidia aflatoxin (µg/g) ^y	Total kernel aflatoxin (µg) ^z	Total conidia aflatoxin (µg)
YV13	194 A	35 A	775 A	4.0 A
VY10	174 AB	26 AB	692 AB	2.7 AB
BR02	150 ABC	20 AB	607 ABC	2.1 ABC
CG136	141 ABC	23 AB	550 ABCD	2.2 ABC
DV901	138 ABC	42 A	569 ABC	2.9 AB
CH01	121 ABCD	24 AB	476 ABCDE	2.1 ABC
OD02	109 ABCDE	29 AB	429 ABCDE	3.4 ABC
EB901	105 ABCDE	17 AB	428 ABCDE	1.1 ABC
TF01	92 BCDEF	25 AB	371 BCDEF	2.7 ABC
KR01	90 CDEFG	27 AB	358 CDEF	3.3 ABC
RB07	69 DEFGH	11 AB	277 DEFG	1.0 ABC
RB04	64 DEFGHI	21 AB	255 EFG	2.3 ABC
WM01	59 EFGHIJ	13 AB	239 EFGH	1.3 ABC
WN03	52 FGHIJ	10 AB	208 FGH	1.1 ABC
RB01	48 GHIJK	5 AB	196 FGHI	0.6 ABC
EC01	47 HIJKL	17 AB	190 FGHIJ	2.0 ABC
RB09	37 HIJKLM	9 AB	154 GHIJK	0.4 BC
EB01	35 HIJKLM	10 AB	146 GHIJK	0.8 ABC
MR17	33 JKLM	4 AB	134 HIJK	0.4 BC
YV10	32 IJKLM	6 AB	135 GHIJK	0.6 ABC
BR01	25 KLM	8 AB	103 IJK	0.8 ABC
MN902	24 LM	5 B	94 JK	0.3 C
DE01	21 M	5 B	87 K	0.4 BC
OD01	0.30 N	0.01 C	1.3 L	0.002 D
ST02	0.22 N	ND	0.88 L	ND
EB03	0.15 N	ND	0.59 L	ND

^v ND, none detected. The limit of detection for aflatoxin B₁ in the conidia was 0.2 µg/g.

^w Isolates from each of the VCGs are listed in Table 1.

^x Kernel aflatoxin is the mean concentration of aflatoxin B₁ extracted from maize kernels after conidia were washed from the surface. All aflatoxin data were log transformed prior to analysis of the combined trials. Kernel and conidia aflatoxin were significantly different among isolates (VCGs) ($P < 0.0001$). Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different with Tukey-Kramer grouping for least-square means.

^y Conidia aflatoxin is the mean concentration of aflatoxin B₁ extracted from conidia washed from the surface of the maize kernels.

^z Total kernel and conidia aflatoxin were calculated by multiplying the concentration of aflatoxin B₁ by the total dry weight of the kernels or conidia.

Fig. 3. Vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs) of *Aspergillus flavus* for which the proportion of VCG CG136 DNA in infecting hyphae differs significantly from the proportion in conidia from co-inoculated maize kernels. Percent CG136 DNA is the proportion of total *A. flavus* DNA composed of CG136 and is inversely proportional to the percent of non-CG136 DNA. Error bars indicate one standard error.

The atoxigenic biocontrol strain AF36 (isolate YV36 in this study), which has been applied commercially to cotton (14), ranked fifth for competitiveness among the atoxigenic isolates but second for aflatoxin reduction in kernels. AF36 reduced aflatoxin significantly more than predicted by competitive exclusion alone. Overall, AF36 ranked 13 among 38 isolates for competitiveness and was more competitive than the highest aflatoxin producers in this study. For reduction of sporulation by the co-infecting isolate, AF36 ranked fifth and displaced CG136 conidia by 78%. Superior competitive ability during tissue invasion and reproduction com-

bined with excellent interference with aflatoxin biosynthesis help to explain the efficacy of AF36 in reducing aflatoxin contamination (14). Identification of isolates with competitive abilities similar or superior to those of AF36 suggests that many highly effective atoxigenic strains may be available for use as biocontrol agents. Several of the isolates examined in the current work may have such value.

The pyrosequencing assays described in the current study may provide insights for selection of additional atoxigenic strains for biocontrol. Atoxigenic VCGs vary in ability to reduce crop

TABLE 5. Expected and observed concentrations of aflatoxin B₁ when an isolate from vegetative compatibility group (VCG) CG136 was co-inoculated with an isolate from a different VCG

VCG ^y	Aflatoxin B ₁ relative to aflatoxin produced by VCG CG136 alone ^z			
	Infected maize kernels		Conidia from surface of kernels	
	Expected (SE)	Observed (SE)	Expected (SE)	Observed (SE)
YV13	128 (9)	107 (11)	141 (15)	101 (22)
VY10	129 (18)	89 (10)	142 (33)	104 (27)
BR02	110 (9)	69 (7)*	95 (7)	94 (25)
CG136	100	100	100	100
DV901	104 (7)	83 (11)	171 (50)	93 (14)
CH01	96 (7)	79 (16)	129 (30)	87 (11)
OD02	87 (6)	63 (11)	111 (16)	64 (8)*
EB901	86 (5)	72 (9)	101 (27)	105 (26)
KR01	74 (8)	75 (8)	119 (21)	88 (14)
TF01	79 (7)	88 (8)	109(12)	61 (8)*
RB07	70 (5)	43 (5)*	72 (7)	49 (14)
RB04	65 (5)	57 (8)	106 (12)	33 (4)**
WM01	57 (6)	60 (9)	77 (9)	49 (10)
WN03	60 (4)	67 (10)	64 (5)	65 (17)
EC01	58 (2)	72 (11)	86 (4)	88 (23)
RB01	55 (5)	36 (5)*	48 (6)	30 (8)
RB09	52 (5)	25 (4)**	56 (10)	33 (8)
EB01	40 (4)	26 (3)*	58 (10)	38 (14)
MR17	66 (2)	45 (5)*	58 (3)	48 (11)
YV10	37 (3)	30 (3)	48 (6)	25 (4)*
BR01	53 (1)	44 (9)	67 (5)	37 (10)*
MN902	53 (2)	36 (6)*	44 (8)	28 (5)
DE01	42 (3)	47 (10)	41 (6)	39 (14)
OD01	26 (0.09)	40 (12)	36 (0.07)	20 (6)*
ST02	32 (0.04)	37 (8)	31 (0)	21 (5)
EB03	28 (0.02)	15 (3)*	30 (0)	13 (3)**

^y VCGs are ordered from highest to lowest aflatoxin producers on maize kernels inoculated with a single VCG.

^z Expected and observed values were calculated as described in the Materials and Methods; SE = standard error. Observed values followed by asterisks are significantly different from the expected relative concentration of aflatoxin B₁ (student's *t* test); * indicates *P* < 0.05; ** indicates significant after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons, *P* < 0.002.

TABLE 6. Relationship between competitive displacement of vegetative compatibility group (VCG) CG136 by an isolate from an atoxigenic VCG and the reduction of aflatoxin B₁ in infected maize kernels and conidia washed from the surface of the kernels^x

VCG	Kernels ^y			Conidia ^z		
	Reduction (SE)	Displacement (SE)	<i>P</i>	Reduction (SE)	Displacement (SE)	<i>P</i>
DV06	88 (4) A	78 (3) AB	0.006	80 (9) A	83 (2) AB	0.78
YV36	86 (4) AB	74 (2) AB	0.01	82 (7) A	78 (3) ABCD	0.38
YV150	86 (4) AB	73 (2) AB	0.003	90 (2) A	82 (2) ABC	0.07
GC02	83 (5) AB	77 (2) AB	0.24	88 (4) A	84 (2) A	0.21
WN01	83 (4) AB	66 (4) AB	0.009	86 (6) A	81 (2) ABCD	0.27
MR34	80 (3) ABC	61 (3) B	0.0009	80 (5) A	62 (3) CDE	0.0009
AL32	79 (6) ABC	68 (5) AB	0.001	78 (6) A	78 (2) ABCD	0.90
TI01	79 (5) ABC	81 (4) A	0.47	85 (4) A	67 (4) ABCDE	0.0002
YV04	74 (4) ABC	82 (1) A	0.11	79 (5) A	79 (2) ABCD	0.92
RB02	67 (6) BCD	62 (2) B	0.45	74 (8) A	62 (2) DE	0.19
AL31	60 (7) CD	65 (5) AB	0.34	71 (12) A	75 (4) ABCDE	0.68
MR30	60 (6) CD	61 (5) B	0.86	74 (4) A	57 (4) E	0.01
WS23	57 (8) C	68 (2) AB	0.26	67 (10) A	64 (2) BCDE	0.81

^x Reduction = percent aflatoxin reduction, the percent reduction in aflatoxin B₁ concentrations relative to maize kernels infected with only VCG CG136. Percentage data were arcsine transformed prior to mixed model analysis of the combined trials. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different with Tukey-Kramer groupings for least-square means. Displacement = percent displacement of VCG CG136, the percentage of *Aspergillus flavus* DNA from co-infected maize kernels contributed by the atoxigenic VCG. SE = standard error. Percent aflatoxin reduction and percent displacement were compared with a paired *t* test. Significant *P* values after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons is *P* < 0.004.

^y Kernels indicates co-infected maize kernels.

^z Conidia were washed from the surface of the co-infected maize kernels.

aflatoxin content when co-inoculated with aflatoxin producers (2,11,16), and this is one criterion for selection of atoxigenics for biocontrol. In the current study, ability to reduce aflatoxins, competitive ability, and sporulation during competition were measured for each atoxigenic VCG; the most competitive isolates were not necessarily either the most effective at reducing aflatoxin contamination or the best sporulators. For example, VCG TI01 was the second most competitive of the 13 atoxigenic isolates but ranked 8 and 9 for aflatoxin reduction and sporulation, respectively. VCG DV06 was slightly less competitive than VCG TI01 but reduced toxin by 88% compared with 79% and comprised 83% compared with 67% of the conidia from co-infected maize kernels. Based on this study, DV06 has the potential to be a slightly more effective biocontrol strain than AF36. The best isolate for long-term biocontrol is one that both reduces aflatoxin on the treated crop and remains a dominant component of the *A. flavus* community over multiple years (19). Understanding interactions between genetically diverse atoxigenic and toxigenic isolates will aid in the design of biocontrol strategies to induce long-term, relatively stable reductions in the average aflatoxin-producing potential of fungal communities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the Cotty lab, especially D. Downey and J. Tran, for laboratory assistance with this work. This work was supported by the United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service CRIS project 5347-42000-019-00D.

LITERATURE CITED

- Atehnkeng, J., Ojiambo, P. S., Donner, M., Ikotun, T., Sikora, R. A., Cotty, P. J., and Bandyopadhyay, R. 2008. Distribution and toxigenicity of *Aspergillus* species isolated from maize kernels from three agroecological zones in Nigeria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 122:74-84.
- Atehnkeng, J., Ojiambo, P. S., Ikotun, T., Sikora, R. A., Cotty, P. J., and Bandyopadhyay, R. 2008. Evaluation of atoxigenic isolates of *Aspergillus flavus* as potential biocontrol agents for aflatoxin in maize. *Food Addit. Contam.* 25:1266-1273.
- Atkins, S. D., Peteira, B., Clark, I. M., Kerry, B. R., and Hirsch, P. R. 2009. Use of real-time quantitative PCR to investigate root and gall colonisation by co-inoculated isolates of the nematophagous fungus *Pochonia chlamydosporia*. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 155:143-152.
- Bayman, P., and Cotty, P. J. 1991. Vegetative compatibility and genetic diversity in the *Aspergillus flavus* population of a single field. *Can. J. Bot.* 69:1707-1711.
- Bayman, P., and Cotty, P. J. 1993. Genetic diversity in *Aspergillus flavus*: association with aflatoxin production and morphology. *Can. J. Bot.* 71:23-34.
- Bilgrami, K. S., and Sinha, K. K. 1992. Aflatoxins: their biological effects and ecological significance. Pages 59-86 in: *Handbook of Applied Mycology*, Vol. 5, Mycotoxins in Ecological Systems. Marcel Dekker, Basel.
- Bock, C. H., Mackey, B., and Cotty, P. J. 2004. Population dynamics of *Aspergillus flavus* in the air of an intensively cultivated region of south-west Arizona. *Plant Pathol.* 53:422-433.
- Brown, R. L., Chen, Z.-Y., Cleveland, T. E., and Russin, J. S. 1999. Advances in the development of host resistance in corn to aflatoxin contamination by *Aspergillus flavus*. *Phytopathology* 89:113-117.
- Cleveland, T. E., and Cotty, P. J. 1991. Invasiveness of *Aspergillus flavus* in wounded cotton bolls is associated with production of a specific fungal polygalacturonase. *Phytopathology* 81:155-158.
- Cotty, P. J. 1989. Virulence and cultural characteristics of two *Aspergillus flavus* strains pathogenic on cotton. *Phytopathology* 79:808-814.
- Cotty, P. J. 1990. Effect of atoxigenic strains of *Aspergillus flavus* on aflatoxin contamination of developing cottonseed. *Plant Dis.* 74:233-235.
- Cotty, P. J. 1994. Influence of field application of an atoxigenic strain of *Aspergillus flavus* on the populations of *A. flavus* infecting cotton bolls and on aflatoxin content of cottonseed. *Phytopathology* 84:1270-1277.
- Cotty, P. J. 1997. Aflatoxin producing potential of communities of *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* from cotton producing areas in the United States. *Mycol. Res.* 101:698-704.
- Cotty, P. J., Antilla, L., and Wakelyn, P. J. 2007. Competitive exclusion of aflatoxin producers: farmer driven research and development. Pages 241-253 in: *Biological Control: A Global Perspective*. CABI, Oxfordshire, UK.
- Cotty, P. J., and Bayman, P. 1993. Competitive exclusion of a toxigenic strain of *Aspergillus flavus* by an atoxigenic strain. *Phytopathology* 83:1283-1287.
- Cotty, P. J., and Bhatnagar, D. 1994. Variability among atoxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* strains in ability to prevent aflatoxin contamination and production of aflatoxin biosynthetic pathway enzymes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60:2248-2251.
- Cotty, P. J., Cleveland, T. E., Brown, R. L., and Mellon, J. E. 1990. Variation in polygalacturonase production among *Aspergillus flavus* isolates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56:3885-3887.
- Cotty, P. J., and Jaime-Garcia, R. 2007. Influences of climate on aflatoxin producing fungi and aflatoxin contamination. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 119:109-115.
- Cotty, P. J., Probst, C., and Jaime-Garcia, R. 2008. Etiology and management of aflatoxin contamination. Pages 287-299 in: *Mycotoxins: Detection Methods, Management, Public Health, and Agricultural Trade*. CABI, Oxfordshire, UK.
- Das, M. K., Ehrlich, E. C., and Cotty, P. J. 2008. Use of pyrosequencing to quantify incidence of a specific *Aspergillus flavus* strain within complex fungal communities associated with commercial cotton crops. *Phytopathology* 98:282-288.
- Diener, U. L., Cole, R. J., Sanders, T. H., Payne, G. A., Lee, L. S., and Klich, M. A. 1987. Epidemiology of aflatoxin formation by *Aspergillus flavus*. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 25:249-270.
- Donner, M., Atehnkeng, J., Sikora, R. A., Bandyopadhyay, R., and Cotty, P. J. 2009. Distribution of *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* in soils of maize fields in three agroecological zones of Nigeria. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41:37-41.
- Dorner, J. W., Cole, R. J., Connick, W. J., Daigle, D. J., McGuire, M. R., and Shasha, B. S. 2003. Evaluation of biological control formulations to reduce aflatoxin contamination in peanuts. *Biol. Control* 26:318-324.
- Ehrlich, K. 1987. Effect on aflatoxin production of competition between wild-type and mutant strains of *Aspergillus parasiticus*. *Mycopathologia* 97:93-96.
- Ehrlich, K. C., Montalbano, B. G., and Cotty, P. J. 2007. Analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms in three genes shows evidence for genetic isolation of certain *Aspergillus flavus* vegetative compatibility groups. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 268:231-236.
- Fischer, G., and Dott, W. 2003. Relevance of airborne fungi and their secondary metabolites for environmental, occupational and indoor hygiene. *Arch. Microbiol.* 179:75-82.
- Garber, R. K., and Cotty, P. J. 1997. Formation of sclerotia and aflatoxins in developing cotton bolls infected by the S strain of *Aspergillus flavus* and potential for biocontrol with an atoxigenic strain. *Phytopathology* 87:940-945.
- Grubisha, L. C., and Cotty, P. J. 2009. Twenty-four microsatellite markers for the aflatoxin-producing fungus *Aspergillus flavus*. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 9:264-267.
- Hedayati, M. T., Pasqualotto, A. C., Warn, P. A., Bowyer, P., and Denning, D. W. 2007. *Aspergillus flavus*: human pathogen, allergen and mycotoxin producer. *Microbiology* 153:1677-1692.
- Horn, B. W., and Dorner, J. W. 1999. Regional differences in production of aflatoxin B₁ and cyclopiazonic acid by soil isolates of *Aspergillus flavus* along a transect within the United States. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65:1444-1449.
- Horn, B. W., and Greene, R. L. 1995. Vegetative compatibility within populations of *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, and *A. tamarii* from a peanut field. *Mycologia* 87:324-332.
- Horn, B. W., Greene, R. L., and Dorner, J. W. 2000. Inhibition of aflatoxin B₁ production by *Aspergillus parasiticus* using nonaflatoxigenic strains: role of vegetative compatibility. *Biol. Control* 17:147-154.
- Horn, B. W., Greene, R. L., Sobolev, V. S., Dorner, J. W., Powell, J. H., and Layton, R. C. 1996. Association of morphology and mycotoxin production with vegetative compatibility groups in *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, and *A. tamarii*. *Mycologia* 88:574-587.
- Jaime-Garcia, R., and Cotty, P. J. 2006. Spatial distribution of *Aspergillus flavus* and its toxigenic strains on commercial cottonseed from south Texas and its relationship to aflatoxin contamination. *Plant Pathol.* 55:358-366.
- Jaime-Garcia, R., and Cotty, P. J. 2006. Spatial relationships of soil texture and crop rotation to *Aspergillus flavus* community structure in south Texas. *Phytopathology* 96:599-607.
- Johnson, Z. I., Zinser, E. R., Coe, A., McNulty, N. P., Woodward, E. M. S., and Chisholm, S. W. 2006. Niche partitioning among *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes along ocean-scale environmental gradients. *Science* 311:1737-1740.
- Kloepper, J. W. 1996. Host specificity in microbe-microbe interactions. *BioScience* 46:406-409.
- Lee, L. S., Wall, J. H., Cotty, P. J., and Bayman, P. 1990. Integration of ELISA with conventional chromatographic procedures for quantification of aflatoxin in individual cotton bolls, seeds, and seed sections. *J. Assoc.*

- Off. Anal. Chem. 73:581-584.
39. Lehman, S. M., Kim, W.-S., Castle, A. J., and Svircev, A. M. 2008. Duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction reveals competition between *Erwinia amylovora* and *E. pyrifoliae* on pear blossoms. *Phytopathology* 98:673-679.
 40. Leslie, J. F. 1993. Fungal vegetative compatibility. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 31:127-150.
 41. MacLean, R. C., Dickson, A., and Bell, G. 2005. Resource competition and adaptive radiation in a microbial microcosm. *Ecol. Lett.* 8:38-46.
 42. Miller, S. R., Williams, C., Strong, A. L., and Carvey, D. 2009. Ecological specialization in a spatially structured population of the thermophilic cyanobacterium *Mastigocladus laminosus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75:729-734.
 43. Morrow, M. B., Meyer, G. H., and Prince, H. E. 1964. A summary of air-borne mold surveys. *Ann. Allergy* 22:575-587.
 44. Novas, M. V., and Cabral, D. 2002. Association of mycotoxin and sclerotia production with compatibility groups in *Aspergillus flavus* from peanut in Argentina. *Plant Dis.* 86:215-219.
 45. Okubara, P. A., Schroeder, K. L., and Paulitz, T. C. 2008. Identification and quantification of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *R. oryzae* using real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Phytopathology* 98:837-847.
 46. Orum, T. V., Bigelow, D. M., Nelson, M. R., Howell, D. R., and Cotty, P. J. 1997. Spatial and temporal patterns of *Aspergillus flavus* strain composition and propagule density in Yuma County, AZ, soils. *Plant Dis.* 81:911-916.
 47. Papa, K. E. 1986. Heterokaryon incompatibility in *Aspergillus flavus*. *Mycologia* 78:98-101.
 48. Park, D. L., and Liang, B. 1993. Perspectives on aflatoxin control for human food and animal feed. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 4:334-342.
 49. Pildain, M. B., Vaamonde, G., and Cabral, D. 2004. Analysis of population structure of *Aspergillus flavus* from peanut based on vegetative compatibility, geographic origin, mycotoxin and sclerotia production. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 93:31-40.
 50. Pons, W. A., Robertson, J. A., and Goldblatt, L. A. 1966. Objective fluorometric measurement of aflatoxins on TLC plates. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 43:665-669.
 51. Pringle, A., and Taylor, J. W. 2002. The fitness of filamentous fungi. *Trends Microbiol.* 10:474-481.
 52. Probst, C., Njapau, H., and Cotty, P. J. 2007. Outbreak of an acute aflatoxicosis in Kenya in 2004: identification of the causal agent. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73:2762-2764.
 53. Rustom, I. Y. S. 1997. Aflatoxin in food and feed: Occurrence, legislation and inactivation by physical methods. *Food Chem.* 59:57-67.
 54. Schroeder, H. W., and Boller, R. A. 1973. Aflatoxin production of species and strains of the *Aspergillus flavus* group isolated from field crops. *Appl. Microbiol.* 25:885-889.
 55. Scully, L. R., and Bidochka, M. J. 2005. Serial passage of the opportunistic pathogen *Aspergillus flavus* through an insect host yields decreased saprobic capacity. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 51:185-189.
 56. Scully, L. R., and Bidochka, M. J. 2006. A cysteine/methionine auxotroph of the opportunistic fungus *Aspergillus flavus* is associated with host-range restriction: A model for emerging diseases. *Microbiology* 152:223-232.
 57. St. Leger, R. J., Screen, S. E., and Shams-Pirzadeh, B. 2000. Lack of host specialization in *Aspergillus flavus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:320-324.
 58. Stoloff, L., Van Egmond, H. P., and Park, D. L. 1991. Rationales for the establishment of limits and regulations for mycotoxins. *Food Addit. Contam.* 8:213-222.
 59. Strauss, S. Y., Rudgers, J. A., Lau, J. A., and Irwin, R. E. 2002. Direct and ecological costs of resistance to herbivory. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 17:278-285.
 60. Torres, J., Guarro, J., Suarez, G., Suñe, N., Calvo, M. A., and Ramirez, C. 1980. Morphological changes in strains of *Aspergillus flavus* link ex fries and *Aspergillus parasiticus* speare related with aflatoxin production. *Mycopathologia* 72:171-174.
 61. Wicklow, D. T., Bobell, J. R., and Palmquist, D. E. 2003. Effect of intraspecific competition by *Aspergillus flavus* on aflatoxin formation in suspended disc culture. *Mycol. Res.* 107:617-623.
 62. Wicklow, D. T., and Horn, B. W. 2007. Association between vegetative compatibility and aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus* species during intraspecific competition. *Mycoscience* 48:267-273.
 63. Wilkinson, H. H., and Ramaswamy, A. 2004. Increased conidiation associated with progression along the sterigmatocystin biosynthetic pathway. *Mycologia* 96:1190-1198.