Field, Cereal, and Forage Crops

Assessment of Fungicides for Control of Barley Head Diseases in Georgetown, DE, 2022

Joseph A. Cinderella and Alyssa M. Koehler[†]

Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Delaware, Georgetown, DE 19947, U.S.A.

[†]Corresponding author: A. M. Koehler; akoehler@udel.edu

[Abstract]

Keywords: Caramba, Deoxynivalenol, *Fusarium*-damaged kernels, Miravis Ace, Prosaro, Prosaro Pro, Sphaerex, tebuconazole

Malting barley 'Violetta' was grown at the University of Delaware Carvel Research and Education Center in Georgetown, Delaware to evaluate the effectiveness of various fungicides for disease control and impact on yield. Barley was planted on 20 October 2021 into corn residue in Rosedale loamy sand. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with five replicates. Trial plots were 22 feet long and 10 feet wide with alleys five feet wide. Production practices outlined by the University of Delaware Cooperative Extension Service were followed. Treatments included a non-treated control, five treatments of Prosaro, Caramba, Miravis Ace, Prosaro Pro, and Sphaerex applied at anthesis and three treatments of Miravis Ace applied at anthesis followed by Prosaro Pro, Sphearex, and Tebuconazole applied five days after anthesis. Fungicide treatments were applied at anthesis (Feekes 10.5/Zadoks 59) on 25 April and five days after anthesis (DAA) on 29 April. Applications were made using a CO_2 backpack sprayer equipped with Turbo TwinJet 11002 nozzles calibrated to deliver 20 GPA at 30 psi. In addition to natural inoculum, infested corn spawn was applied to treatment plots at a rate of 1.7 lb/plot on 13

Commented [CD1]: Include the disease(s), trial year, and location in the title.

Commented [CD2]: APS prefers spelling out given names, as shown here, but initials are OK if that is your preference.

Commented [CD3]: Indicate the corresponding author.

Commented [CD4]: Please follow the new instructions on abstracts here: https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/page/php/pdmr

April. Frost damage was evaluated on 19 May by visually rating damage incidence (% damaged heads) and severity (% damaged glumes per head) of 10 randomly selected barley heads. Fusarium head blight (FHB) was evaluated on 19 May by visually rating disease incidence (% heads with symptoms) and severity (% of symptomatic glumes per head) of 20 randomly selected barley heads. Plots were harvested on 21 June and yields were adjusted to 13.5% moisture. Subsamples of grain from each plot were collected for assessment of *Fusarium*-damaged kernels (FDK) and for submission for deoxynivalenol (DON) levels. Disease and yield data were analyzed using ANOVA and means were separated using Fisher's least significant difference ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Environmental conditions were conducive to FHB disease development. A late frost during early heading occurred causing 46-70 percent frost incidence with no difference in incidence or severity across treatments. FHB incidence and severity did not vary significantly among treatments, but there were differences in FDK and DON. Reduced FDK was observed with Miravis Ace applied at anthesis or in combination with any of the 5 DAA applications, Prosaro Pro at anthesis, and Sphaerex at anthesis. All treatments except for Prosaro at anthesis had lower DON than the control. The lowest DON was observed in Miravis Ace at anthesis followed by Prosaro Pro. Miravis Ace applied at anthesis and Miravis Ace followed by Tebuconazole 5 DAA had significantly increased yields compared to the control. Phytotoxicity was not observed in any treatment (Supplementary Table S1).

Field, Cereal, and Forage Crops

Evaluation of Fungicide Standards for Cotton Disease Control in Central Alabama, 2022

A. Strayer-Scherer,[1,†] K. Burch,[1] and H. Motte[2]

[†]Corresponding author: A. Strayer-Scherer; ascherer@auburn.edu

[1] Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Auburn University, AL 36849, U.S.A.

[2] E.V. Smith Research Center- Field Crops Unit, Shorter AL 36075, U.S.A.

Commented [CD5]: For multiple authors at different institutions, follow this format.

[Abstract]

Commented [CD6]: Please follow the new instructions on abstracts here: https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/page/php/pdmr

Keywords: Miravis Top, Priaxor, Revytek

Commented [CD7]: Include as many descriptive keywords as needed to aid in discoverability. These can include, for example, the names of all fungicides tested.

One fungicide trial consisting of ten different fungicide spray programs using 'Deltapine 1646 B2XF' was planted on 20 May at the E.V. Smith Research Center- Field Crops Unit in Shorter, AL in a field with a cotton-soybean rotation at a rate of approximately 2 seed/row ft. Recommendations of the Alabama Cooperative Extension System for tillage, fertility, weed, and nematode control were followed. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. Individual plots consisted of four 30 ft rows spaced 3 ft apart. Fungicide programs were broadcast with a high clearance sprayer on 20 July (1st week of bloom), 3 August (3rd week of bloom), and 16 August (5th week of bloom) with AITTJ60-11002VP nozzles on 18 in. centers using 15 gal/A of spray volume at 40 psi. Areolate mildew and target spot severity were assessed on 17 September using a 1 to 10 leaf spot scoring system where 1 = no disease, 2 = very few lesions in canopy, 3 = few lesions noticed in lower and upper canopy, 4 = some lesions seen and < 10% defoliation, 5 = lesions noticeable and < 25% defoliation, 6 = lesions numerous and < 50% defoliation, 7 = lesions very numerous and < 75% defoliation, 8 = numerous lesions on few remaining leaves and <90% defoliation, 9 = very few remaining leaves covered with lesions and < 95% defoliation, and 10 = plants defoliated. Defoliation values for areolate mildew and target spot were calculated separately using the formula [% Defoliation = 100/(1 + e(-(disease score - 6.0672)/0.7975)]. Cotton growth was managed with a 10 August application of 1 pt/A Potenza. Cotton was prepared for harvest with a 19 September application of Ginstar EC at 1 pt/A + 8 oz/A Boll'd fl oz/A and 3 October application of DFT 6 EC at 2 pt/A + Aim EC at 1.5 oz/A. Cotton was mechanically harvested on 24 October. Significance of interactions was assessed using the PROC GLIMMIX procedure in SAS [SAS Institute, Cary, NC]. Statistical analyses were calculated on rank transformation for non-normal data for areolate mildew and target spot defoliation (%), boll counts, and yield. Non-transformed data are reported. Means were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) test ($P < 0.05$).

During the 2022 production season, temperatures were near yearly norms during June, July, August, September, and October while monthly rainfall totals were at or above yearly norms during June, July, August, September, and October. Overall, both areolate mildew and target spot pressure were moderate in this trial as demonstrated by the nontreated controls (Supplementary Table S1). All fungicide spray programs, except for Miravis Top at 13.6 fl oz applied at the 5th week of bloom, significantly reduced areolate mildew severity

Commented [CD8]: Include a callout for Supplementary Table S1.

when compared to the nontreated control; however, no significant differences were observed in target spot severity, boll counts, or cotton yield.

Tree Fruits, Small Fruits, and Nuts

Evaluation of Bactericide Programs for the Management of Fire Blight on 'Empire' Apples in New York, 2020

K. M. Ayer, M. Choi, and K. D. Cox[†]

Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology Section, Cornell University, AgriTech, Geneva, NY 14456-0462, U.S.A.

[†]Corresponding author: K. D. Cox; kdc33@cornell.edu

[Abstract]

Commented [CD9]: Please follow the new instructions on abstracts here: https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/page/php/pdmr

Keywords: Actigard, Alum, Apogee, Blossom Protect, Buffer Protect, Cinnerate, Cueva, Double Nickel, LifeGard, FireLine, FireWall, Kasumin, Prophyt, Regulaid, Serenade Optimum, Vacciplant

A trial was conducted at Cornell AgriTech in Geneva, NY to evaluate the effectiveness of bactericide programs for the management of blossom blight and shoot blight on apple (Ayer et al. 2019, 2020). The orchard site is a planting of 22-yr-old 'Empire' trees on M.9/M.111 interstem rootstocks. Treatments were applied using a gas-powered backpack sprayer calibrated to deliver 100 gal/A (0.5 gal/tree) at the following timings: "tight cluster" (29 April), "full pink" (7 May), 40% bloom (16 May), 80% bloom (20 May), full bloom/petal fall (22 May), petal fall/early terminal shoot growth (29 May), active terminal shoot growth (5 June). Applications of systemic acquired resistance inducers (SAR) were made both prior to and during terminal elongation to manage shoot blight. Bloom began on 8 May and trees progressed from 40% bloom (16 May) to full bloom/petal fall (22 May) with daily temperature highs ranging from 45°F to 85°F. Trees were inoculated at 80 to 90% bloom (21 May) with *Erwinia amylovora* strain Ea 273 at 1×10^6 CFU ml^{-1} using a hand-pumped

Commented [CD10]: If references are used, they should appear as in-text author-year citations.

References are not recommended but can be included to cite a method.

Solo backpack sprayer. Blossom blight and shoot blight symptoms were assessed on blossom clusters and terminal shoots on 7 June and 19 June, respectively. The incidence of blossom blight was expressed as the number of blighted blossom clusters out of five clusters with 20 cluster assessments for four replicate trees per treatment. Shoot blight was assessed as the percentage of terminal shoots with discoloration or ooze out of the total number of shoots for four replicate trees. The incidence of chemical injury in the form of russeting on fruit was calculated from the number of fruit with russeting out of five randomly collected fruit. Ten fruit collections were evaluated for each of four treatment replications. Disease incidence and chemical injury data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a randomized block design using accepted statistical procedures and software (i.e. Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLIMMIX)) procedure of SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All percentage data were subjected to arcsine square root transformation prior to analysis.

The incidence of blighted blossom clusters ranged from 2 to 88%, and the incidence of blighted shoots ranged from 1 to 54.7% in all treatments. While fire blight pressure was higher in 2020 than 2019 and 2018 due to warmer bloom time temperatures, most treatment programs still resulted in less than 30% incidence of blossom blight. All programs provided still more than 70% control of blossom blight. The programs focusing on excluding the pathogen or impeding invasion of tissues (e.g. Blossom Protect and Apogee) provided the highest levels of control. Similar trends were observed for the incidence of shoot blight, which developed from blossom blight infections. None of the fire blight management programs resulted in any fruit finish damage in the form of russeting (Supplementary Table S1).

References

Ayer, K. M., Choi, M.-W, and Cox, K. D. 2020. Evaluation of bactericide programs for the management of fire blight on 'Gala' apples in NY, 2019. Plant Dis. Manag. Rep. 14:PF020.

Ayer, K. M., Cox, K. D., and Choi, M.-W. 2019. Evaluation of bactericide programs for the management of fire blight on 'Gala' apples in NY, 2018. Plant Dis. Manag. Rep. 13:PF002.

Commented [CD11]: If references are used, they should contain full publication information.